Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	Printed on:	21/12/2015	09:05:17
2015/6218/P	J and T Varsanyi	27a Frognal London NW3 6AR			ohn and Tania Varsanyi . 27a Frognal, London NW3 6AR Tel:020 7794 8575			
					Mr James Clark Planning Officer London Borough of Camden Development Management Camden Town Hall Judd St. London WC1H 9JE	15.12.	2015	
					Planning Application Nos: 2015/6218/P Location: Rear of 29 and 33 Arkwright Rd., and 2015/6231/P Location: Garages on alleyway at rear of 29 and 33 Arkwright Rd.			
					We strongly object to these Planning Applications, in a Conservation Area.			
					The area in question is already extremely densely populated and our green spaces make an essential contribution to the mental and physical well-being of not just local residents but of all of those in what is even now a congested city. In this case we will lose green space, trees, wildlife including birds, bats, hedgehogs, insects that support this wildlife. A bat survey should be conducted in May.			
					Local congestion already being such that traffic is almost at a standstill during rush-hours and school drop-off and collection times, further homes with their additional vehicles can only make a bad situation worse. In addition, in view of the fact that there will be "no formal on site parking" (Design and Access 4.0.1) where will the new residents park? Local streets are already full! Or perhaps they will use the "informal" parking areas marked on the plans for electric cars!			
	Notwithstanding this, given that the access to the proposed new houses is via the selection (Regardless of the parking arrangements it is likely that vehicles, both personal and using the lane more frequently) our concern is that increased traffic in that lane, en from what is at the moment a little used route, crossing a pavement from which, for of and from the lane is partially obscured, will inevitably introduce an increased					personal and d hat lane, emer m which, from	elivery will be ging to Frognal one side, the vio	ew

With reference to comments in the Planning Statement we would question the observation that "There is overwhelming policy evidence in support of new housing, both in the Borough and in the wider context...." (Page 7 of the Planning Statement) as being justification for the provision of high cost, high value private homes, particularly when being squeezed into inappropriate locations.

pedestrians, particulary children. There is also no dedicated pedestrian access along the lane, a further

risk that increases with the number of dwellings.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Pr Response:	nted on:	21/12/2015	09:05:17
					We understand the ever increasing need for housing but feel that the negate environment of the loss of green space, of the construction of these houses homes in this very congested location with its implications in terms of increpollution and further reduction in amenity and safety of the area, all contridevelopment would be severely detrimental to the area. Yours sincerely,	of the ac	dition of further pulation density,	
					John and Tania Varsanyi			