Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 21/12/2015 09:05:1 Response:	17
2015/6455/P	R.Gelbart	99 priory road Nw62nl	19/12/2015 23:32:33	COMMEMP ER	I object to the proposed blocks in west hampstead that at 7 stories high will completely overshadow existing houses nearby, take away direct light from properties in Lymington Road impact on local amenities such as the underground which is already frequently overcrowded, pavements, etc. in addition it will bring further road traffic to the area which has severe congestion on West End Lane already	
2015/6455/P	ian thomas	10 acol road london nw6 3ah	18/12/2015 11:55:43	COMMNT	The proposed development is excessively large/dense and, inter alia, will result in overshadowing of surrounding amenities including childrens' play areas. It is not in keeping with the size and profile of adjacent buildings.	
2015/6455/P	Oonagh O'Hagan	Flat 3 24 Lymington Rd	20/12/2015 23:30:26	OBJ	<ul> <li>Dear Josleen Chug</li> <li>I am an immediate neighbour to the site of the proposed development referred to above. The proposed development fails to comply with Camden's development policies and related plans, and will have a serious impact on my standard of living. I strongly object to the proposed development on the basis of the following material considerations:</li> <li>1. Loss of light. The proposed blocks will overshadow local homes and gardens, causing local residents particularly those of us who live on Lymington Road to suffer a significant and unacceptable loss of light, including in numerous habitable rooms and gardens. In my case, the loss of light would be suffered in a living room, kitchen, south-facing balcony and garden. The daylight and sunlight report accompanying the planning application for the proposed scheme appears to be lacking and there is insufficient support for a scheme of this magnitude, contrary to Camden Development Policies 2010-2025, Local Development Framework at section 26.3.</li> <li>2. Loss of privacy and overlooking. Properties on Lymington Road, especially on the south side of the road, will be directly and severely overlooked (particularly from the proposed north facing balconies on the blocks towards the east of the site), causing a significant and unacceptable loss of privacy. Building a series of 7 storey blocks directly behind existing houses on Lymington Road would create an oppressive and overbearing environment, and infringe rights to privacy and quiet enjoyment of property (see Article 8 of the Human Rights Act and Article 1 of the First Protocol).</li> <li>3. Inappropriate design and appearance. The design, size and, in particular, the height of the new buildings, are inappropriate for the site and area. These key elements are out of character and out-of-scale with surrounding residential buildings and local architecture. ? Camden's own plan documents describe West Hampstead as being "well loved for its village feel" and ha</li> </ul>	

Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/6455/P	Marion Shaughnessy	Lower Flat 20 Kylemore Road London NW6 2PT	18/12/2015 10:24:57	OBJEMPER	I object to this development plan on two counts. Firstly, the so called "affordable" housing quota from the Liddell Road scheme was supposed to be met IN ITS ENTIRETY on this scheme. This has certainly not been done. The word "affordable" should also be banned from any of Camden's literature because it is used as a misrepresentation of the facts as none of this housing is affordable. We need social housing which is within the reach of the normal working poor of this borough. I also see that parking is being provided on the site./ I do recall that Camden Council made it very clear when 187-199 West End Lane was given permission that Camden was a "no car development" borough and no parking would be issued to new developments at all. How is it that Camden Council can break their own rules without consequence in this way?
2015/6455/P	Clelia Iruzun Martins	36 Honeybourne Road NW6 1JE NW6 1JE NW6 1JE	18/12/2015 09:33:36	OBJ	I object to this new development as it will hav e a great impact on the area. We have already terrible traffic and parking problems. There are already two big developments being built without provision of garages for the new residents. We do not need to increase the number of residents in the area.
2015/6455/P	Michelle Shine	6a kiddepore ave	18/12/2015 15:17:02	OBJ	I object to this application because the height, style and bulk of the proposed building will have a negative affect on its surroundings.