

Comments Form

Name MR. L. N. P. MILBURN	***********
Address PLAS 4, 210 CAMDEN ROAD, NWI	
Telephone number	
Planning application number.	g
Planning application address. 57 CAMDEN MEWS	
I support the application (please state reasons below) I object to the application (please state reasons below)	
Your comments	
PLEASE SEE THE ATTACHMENT.	
ø	

Please continue on extra sheets if you wish

I occupy the basement flat at 210 Camden Road with my wife and four children. The enjoyment of our garden is very important to us.

We object to the proposed development principally on the ground that the building is too tall. A three storey building will substantially diminish light and air to our flat and the garden. Such light and air as we do get at the back of the house is entirely dependent on its not being blocked by the buildings in the mews.

The mass of the proposed building is too great. It is pushed up to the boundaries in all directions squeezing out garden space and blocking up all the gaps that exist between the present buildings. I'm aware one has no 'right to a view'; however, the somewhat higgledy piggledy nature of the existing buildings does allow more openness and contributes to what makes the mews attractive today. The proposed buildings at 57-61 Camden Mews, if permitted to be built, will constitute a uniform, formulaic, unforgiving wall of fashionably acceptable materials.

A tall building will necessitate deeper foundations with added risk of damage to my property.

I note that a third storey is said to be effectively invisible from the mews. Be that as it may a third storey is anything but invisible to those living on Camden Road. A third storey on a building of far greater bulk than the existing building would loom monstrously over our garden creating a canyon effect; damper, darker and windier.

Effectively the mews developers (of whom we now have three in a row behind 210 Camden Road) want to maximise land use without regard for the appearance of the area or consideration for the well-being of the existing residents directly affected by their plans. The cramming, in each case, of two poky little town houses into the space previously occupied by a single family house and garden pplooks like overdevelopment.