

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/5894/P	Druvi and Sharmini de Saram	Basement 23 Hampstead Hill Gardens London NW32PJ	17/12/2015 20:06:10	COMMNT	The new proposed plans an additional floor at 23A will make it higher than our building at No. 23, thereby restricting the views from the windows of the top flat. We are also concerned that the solar panels on the roof of 23A will be in full view of the windows and should be repositioned or eliminated as they would cause glare and be such an eyesore for the residents of the top flat at No. 23. We would also like to record our disappointment that the proposed new plan has not used this ideal opportunity to detatch No. 23A from No. 23. It is impractical and unnecessary for the two houses to be attached.
2015/5894/P	AM Hurley	Flat 3 23 Hampstead Hill Gardens London NW3 2PJ	17/12/2015 14:56:43	COMNOT	<p>I have the following comments on the Minor Material Amendments to Application reference 2013/8020/P.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 23A is only attached to 23 Hampstead Hill Gardens because the two houses were in the same ownership at the time that existing 23A was built. The mansard roof and the fenestration in the approved application were introduced to respect the adjoining house, 23 Hampstead Hill Gardens. These features are now being revised and the opportunity should be taken to detach/separate the two houses.</li> <li>2. The top flat of 23 Hampstead Hill Gardens has views over the roof of 23A. The approved new 23A is higher and wider than existing 23A so these views are already diminished. The 12 Solar Photovoltaics will "be hidden from view behind the raised parapet" from the street but will be in full view of the windows of the top flat of 23 Hampstead Hill Gardens. The flat roof should be a living green roof with access only for maintenance.</li> <li>3. I'm pleased that the impractical hedge which lined the light well and the passage between 23A and 23 Hampstead Hill Gardens has been replaced by a brick wall. The access to 23A from the passage between 23A and 23 Hampstead Hill Gardens is now redundant and should be removed.</li> <li>4. I'm also pleased that the "corten" fence on the rear boundary of 23 and 23A Hampstead Hill Gardens is being replaced and that the height of the boundary fence has been modified. I suggest that the boundary fence between the gardens of 23 and 23A Hampstead Hill Gardens is the same as the one between the rear gardens of 25 and 23A Hampstead Hill Gardens i.e. a brick wall with sweet chestnut fence above. I don't think that the sweet chestnut fence and gate lining the pavement of 23A is appropriate in the street scene of Hampstead Hill Gardens as all the other houses in the street have combinations of brick walls, iron railings and hedges.</li> </ol>