

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/5480/P	Anna Hossain	28 Belsize Grove	14/12/2015 22:31:30	INT	<p>We live at number 28 Belsize Grove and have found copies of the full plans on the Camden planning website. We have not received a letter or other notice from you. We were notified of some but not all relevant information by the applicants. We appreciate that some form of extension to number 30 is acceptable but have a number of objections about the existing proposal. We would be grateful if we could have permission elaborate on the following points in due course:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Our primary objection is the scale of the proposal (depth, width and aspects of height). We feel the size of the proposal is rather overwhelming and would not be in keeping with a listed building or indeed other extensions on the terrace. It is not right that there are other precedents of extensions this size and nature on the terrace. 2. We believe that extension would give us a sense of enclosure, which may be contrary to the Camden Design Guide. We invite you to note that we would be able to see the extension from inside our drawing room, as well as from the garden level, so that our sense of enclosure would be felt in a large part of our family home. 3. We are concerned that the extension would effect the sunlight to our lower ground floor. We do not think we would be overlooked but we would like reassurance on this point. 4. We note that there is a timber decking on the flat roof and suggest there should be a condition to any permission granted that the roof cannot be used as a terrace. 5. We note that the skylights can be opened which we think would protrude and may cause unnecessary noise. 6. There are rather odd looking lips to the roof and outside wall of the design which seem rather clunky to us, although we are not architects. We would welcome the input of our local experts the Conservation Area Advisory Committee on the design of the extension. 6. We note that "harm to a listed building" may be caused and are concerned the works may adversely impact on our property, as well as number 26. We think the wrong test may have been applied by the applicants' advisors i.e. there is a presumption against development and the benefits must outweigh the harm. <p>We have not had an opportunity yet to seek advice about the party wall. We hope we have enough time to do that early next year.</p> <p>We are happy to work with you, the applicants and our local Advisory Committee towards agreeing a modest extension to number 26 more in keeping with our beautiful terrace - the only Georgian terrace in Belsize park.</p>