

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/6455/P	Christine Bryce	92 Kingsgate Road	06/12/2015 17:24:11	OBJEMAIL	<p>Infrastructure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The development will bring in a minimum of 400 new residents. There is insufficient infrastructure to support this number with the Ballymore development due to complete in June 2016. Camden, TFL and the utilities have all given little or no consideration to the social and environmental impact of so many developments in such a short space of time. No planning for extra healthcare services has been done. The development will result in a substantial increase in parking demand, the growing pressure on public transport and pedestrian numbers in the space of two blocks around the interchange. The footfall on the underground, trains and buses – without including additional traffic from West Hampstead Square (196 flats)– is already at dangerously high peak levels.
2015/6455/P	Robert Spigel	95 Marlborough Mansions Cannon Hill NW6 1JT NW6 1JT	04/12/2015 11:00:56	COMMEM AIL	<p>On the whole I am in favour of the proposed development but I have three concerns.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The side elevation of the buildings (the side that runs along the railway track) looks out of keeping with the front elevation which itself is in sympathy with Canterbury Mansions. Why can't the side also be in sympathy? It affords the longest view of the development and will be an eyesore if allowed to be out of keeping with the West End Lane view. I will oppose the development if the percentage of dwelling space for affordable home falls from 50%. I got the impression at a couple of meetings that the affordable housing and its entrances will be separate from the purely commercial dwellings.. I am opposed to that. It will stigmatise the 'affordable' residents.make the site truly equal for all residents. <p>Thank you for listening. Robert Spigel</p>
2015/6455/P	Kay Boyd	50b Crediton Hill	06/12/2015 17:52:09	OBJ	<p>I object to the scale and the design of this proposal. It is too big for the site it would occupy. I appreciate the need for housing but the design should be more in keeping with the surrounding area. I would also have serious concerns about the dramatic increase in the local population (taking into consideration all the other developments going on in West Hampstead) since there seem to be no plans to deal with the demand for extra GP's, school places, and overcrowding at the stations.</p>
2015/6455/P	Kay Boyd	50b Crediton Hill	06/12/2015 17:51:48	OBJ	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/6455/P	Professor Michael Duchen	7 Crediton Hill flat 1 NW61HT NW61HT NW61HT	06/12/2015 22:15:19	OBJ	<p>I wish to object to the proposed development of the Travis Perkins site in the strongest possible terms. West Hampstead is already hopelessly overcrowded and congested. I walk my children to school in the mornings along West End Lane and the hordes of people streaming to the tube makes this deeply uncomfortable, if not dangerous. The pavements are too narrow, the road is over congested with traffic, while people marching and hurrying for transport links are often pushing and shoving in their haste – it really is horrible. It is clear that the local amenities are simply no longer fit for purpose. Even the tube station often cannot accommodate the numbers of people trying to reach the platforms. I am really dreading the opening of the new development at West Hampstead Square, with hundreds more people streaming out onto the morning streets, and the prospect of yet further development is absolutely appalling.</p> <p>The whole character of the neighbourhood is being radically transformed from that of a peaceful village to a bustling over congested nightmare. As a sign of the increased congestion, it has become very difficult to find a parking space whenever we go out with our car, often having to drive around several blocks searching for somewhere to park, and that is also likely to get worse with hundreds more people moving into the area.</p> <p>All these arguments really have a profound impact on the quality of life in the area, quite apart from arguments about preserving the architectural character of the neighbourhood.</p> <p>I also worry about increasing population of children of school age. West Hampstead is a black hole for state schools with inadequate provision of places and many residents struggling to place their children in state schools, especially at secondary school level, and being effectively forced to elect for private education, at enormous cost. The provision of huge numbers of additional apartments will inevitably radically increase the numbers of children in need of school places and so any such development MUST be matched by increased state funded school facilities.</p> <p>I beg you not to approve this horrible development that only represents the greed of the developers and brings no benefit to the area whatsoever.</p>