

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/5996/P	Roger Mason	27A Prince of Wales Road Kentish Town London NW5 3LH	17/11/2015 15:11:50	OBJLETTE R	<p>Objection to planning application 2015/5996/P for a ground floor extension to 29 Prince of Wales Road, London NW5 3LH.</p> <p>From: Roger Mason, PhD, FGS of 27 Prince of Wales Road. I am the owner of the Ground Floor Flat A at 27 Prince of Wales Road and CEO of the Company that manages the freehold of the whole block. Please do not redact any of this objection.</p> <p>I object to this application. The extension that is the subjects of the plans has already been built and extends more than 0.6m farther into the rear garden than shown in plans submitted with application 2015/3037/P. The building comes right to the boundary between the gardens of 27 and 29 Prince of Wales Road and might require alteration of the boundary line. It will certainly shorten the fence that I own between our gardens, and the applicants have not requested permission for this. The plans submitted as "Existing..." in the present application are out of date, failing to show a large extension adjacent to No.31 and a mansard roof 3rd floor extension that are already virtually complete. Nor do they show my garden shed that replaced a lean-to extension at No.27 in 2014. The newly constructed ground floor building extends beyond my shed, which is wider than the former lean-to. Architects and their representatives have visited my garden several times and had ample opportunity to modify the plans. The unapproved building has been faced with plaster and presents a blank wall towards my property. If the previous application 2015/3037/P is approved, allowing construction of a first floor extension directly above the party wall between No. 27 and No. 29, it will have a major deleterious effect on my rear garden and on the light and outlook of all three flats at No.27.</p> <p>This is the fifth application submitted by Mr Kander for extensions to No.29. Construction has already continued for over 18 months and caused damage and disruption to Nos. 27 and 31, and we were told last month by the Built Project Director John McGarrity that it would not end before late summer 2016. This timescale presupposes that the owners and developers have actually decided on their final plans. Contractors appear to have ignored plans and geotechnical reports in previous applications, and appear to have done so in this case. Please ask them to submit a genuine consolidation application that does not extend their development any farther than in plans later 2014/1977/P, 2013/7680/P and 2013/1350/P, to which objections were submitted. We have not been informed that they were approved without modification. They should agree a final set of plans with Camden Council and stick to them, desisting from further external construction until they are approved, especially if they extend at the back.</p> <p>I reiterate comments that I made in my objection to 2015/3037/P on the manner in which the applicants have conducted themselves in respect to Camden Council Planning Department and neighbours ever since application 2013/1350/P.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/5996/P	Jan Bockelmann	27 Prince of Wales Road	17/11/2015 18:44:28	OBJ	<p>I object the proposed rear extension. It is invasive and negatively impacts the balanced green space in the area.</p> <p>Given that the extension is already built it seems as if this has been done without building permission; I have to ask what impact this has on the planning process?</p> <p>In addition I need to say that given the sheer number of planning permissions granted and requests filed I have now no clear idea of what the overall project plans for #29 are.</p> <p>As the continued disruption and damage the #29 project is causing to neighbouring properties is not rectified by the #29 owners and project managers I would like to ask Camden Council to act in the interest of all impacted neighbours and to help and protect neighbouring properties before granting further planning permissions.</p> <p>Despite numerous complaints addressed to the #29 party wall surveyor as well as to the #29 project management firm damage caused to our property remains unrepaired or even acknowledged.</p> <p>While I realise that this is not directly impacting the planning process as far as planning regulations are concerned, I would like Camden Council to take this into consideration when making its decision. The interests and property needs at least as much protection from aggressive developments as property owners need to be able to remodel their house – another balance that is under severe strain with regards to this development.</p>

---