Dike, Darlene

From: Max Fordham

Sent: 18 November 2015 16:33

To: Planning

Subject: Re: Comments on 2015/5583/P have been received by the council.

Attachments: 17 Nov15 Planning comment.docx

Dear Sirs

Is it in order for me to attach the original letter I composed for comment. Never mind if not.

Max Fordham

On 18 November 2015 at 16:18, planning@camden.gov.uk wrote:

Dear Camden.

Planning Application Ref 2015/5583/P 35 Camden Mewsn NW1 9BY

I have commented before on planning applications in this neighbourhood. There is generally a strong group who seem to coordinate opposition to applications. I have looked at this application and walked up Camden Mews to remind myself what 35 Camden Mews looks like. The heights and narrowness of the Mews development are varied. It is a narrow mews with the development having been sporadic throughout its history. Presumably originally planned to service the larger houses along Camden Rd. and Camden Square. Since a rocky start, in the last century, the recent building has almost completed the frontage facing the Mews. What is proposed at 35 Is an attempt to improve the recent past. It should not be condemed out of simple dislike of the new.

Max Fordham Max Fordham

Comments made by Fordham of 3 Camden Square, NW1 9UY

Preferred Method of Contact is Post

Comment Type is Comment Made

3 Camden Square, London, NW1 9UY

17 Nov 2015

Dear Camden .

Planning Application Ref 2015/5583/P 35 Camden Mewsn NW1 9BY

I have commented before on planning applications in this neighbourhood. There is generally a strong group who seem to coordinate opposition to applications. I have looked at this application and walked up Camden Mews to remind myself what 35 Camden Mews looks like. The heights and narrowness of the Mews development are varied. It is a narrow mews with the development having been sporadic throughout its history. Presumably originally planned to service the larger houses along Camden Rd. and Camden Square. Since a rocky start, in the last century, the recent building has almost completed the frontage facing the Mews. What is proposed at 35 Is an attempt to improve the recent past. It should not be condemed out of simple dislike of the new.

Max Fordham