156 West End Lane





Arboricultural Report

November 2015

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

Contents

| | | 3 |
|----|---|----------|
| | Instruction | 3 |
| | Scope and Purpose of the Report | 3 |
| | Drawings | 3 |
| | Site Overview | 4 |
| | Brief Description (Existing Layout) | 4 |
| | | |
| | Tree Survey and Data ScheduleSurvey Details | ? |
| | Data Schedule | 5 |
| | Data Schedule | 5 |
| | | |
| | Vegetation Overview (independent of proposals) | 6 |
| | Preliminary Management Recommendations | 6 |
| | Tree Protection Status – Site Specific | 6 |
| | Tree Protection – General Notes | |
| | • | |
| | Arboricultural Impact Assessment | 8 |
| | Overview | 8 |
| | Tree Removal | 8 |
| | Impact on Tree Canopies | |
| | Impact on Tree Roots | 9 |
| | Demolition Activities Cabins and Site Excilities | 9 9 |
| | Cabins and Site Facilities Boundary Treatments | 9 9 |
| | Impact of Retained Trees on the Development | 9 |
| | | 9 |
| | | |
| | Method Statement | 10 |
| | Tree Protection Barriers - Overview | 10 |
| | Planning Status | 10 |
| | Overview of Protection Measures | 11 |
| | Timing of Operations | 11 |
| | Section B: Restrictions on Activities - Specific Zones | 12 |
| | Restricted Activity Zone A | 12 |
| | Section C: Restrictions on Activities – Throughout the Site | |
| | Installation of Foundations | |
| | Installation of New Surfaces | |
| | Scaffolding | 12 |
| | Site Inspection | 13 |
| | Inspection Schedule | 13 |
| | The Appointed Arborist | 13 |
| | Tree Works Schedule | 14 |
| | Tree Works Specification | |
| | | |
| | Tree Protection Barriers Detailed Specification | 15 |
| | The In-Ground System | |
| | The Back-Stay System The Barrier-Mech System | 15 16 |
| | The Barrier-Mesh System | 16 |
| | | |
| | Photographs | 17 |
| | Signature | 19 |
| | | |
| en | dix 1: BS 5837: 2012 – Guidance Notes | 20 |
| | dix 2: Explanation of Tree Data & Glossary | |
| | dix 3: Survey Methodology | |
| | dix 4: Author's Qualifications | |
| | dix 5: Further Information | |
| 'n | dix 6: Tree Data Schedule and Site Plan(s) | 28 |
| | | |

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

1. Introduction

1.1. Instruction

1.1.1. We are instructed by A2Dominion Developments Ltd to undertake an Arboricultural Survey at 156 West End Lane and produce our findings in a report. We are also instructed to assess the likely impact of development proposals and produce a Method Statement detailing how trees shall be protected from the proposed construction activity.

1.2. Scope and Purpose of the Report

- 1.2.1. This report is designed to accompany a planning application for development proposals at the above site. Its purpose is to assist and inform the planning process. It is produced according to the guidance and recommendations within BS 5837: 2012 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction.
- 1.2.2. The Method Statement should be viewed as a *Heads of Terms* Method Statement which specifies the general principles to be adopted during construction and demolition. However, specific construction activities proposed within Root Protection Areas may need to be agreed in more detail if requested by the local authority at the reserved matters stage.

1.3. Drawings

- 1.3.1. The tree locations shown on the accompanying plans which are reproduced in Appendix 6 have been plotted according to measurements taken on site. Because all trees are located off-site, their locations should be treated as approximate.
- 1.3.2. The *Tree Constraints Plan* shows the existing layout. For each tree the stem location is indicated and scaled according to its diameter, the canopy is indicated according to measurements taken along the four cardinal points of the compass. Root protection areas (RPAs) are indicated which are calculated according to the guidelines within BS 5837 (2012).
- 1.3.3. Where appropriate, the shapes of the RPAs have been amended to reflect actual site conditions or where trees have been heavily pruned. The 'original' RPAs are indicated as a dashed line whereas the amended RPAs are indicated as a solid line.
- 1.3.4. The *Impact Assessment Plan* indicates the tree constraints with the proposals overlaid. Where applicable, this plan shows where works are proposed in Root Protection Areas and which trees are to be pruned or removed. This plan accompanies the Impact Assessment which is to be found in Section 5.
- 1.3.5. The *Tree Protection Plan* shows the protection measures that are to be installed during the construction phase. This plan accompanies the Method Statement which is to be found in Section 6.

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

2. Site Overview

2.1. Brief Description (Existing Layout)

2.1.1. The site co-ordinates are 51.548731° -0.190368° and the altitude is approximately 57m above sea level. (Co-ordinates may be pasted or typed into the following site: http://maps.google.co.uk/ where maps, satellite imagery and street views may be accessed).






Figure 1 Extent of the survey (image is not current).

- 2.1.3. The site comprises a builders' merchant's storage yard which contains no significant vegetation.
- 2.1.4. North of the site, beyond the boundary, are the rear gardens of residential properties. Some of these gardens contain trees which were included in our survey. Along this boundary is a large retaining wall which retains the soils within the gardens at a significantly higher level than the ground level within the site. This retaining structure and change in ground levels will ensure that tree roots do not proliferate within the site.
- 2.1.5. Therefore the only potential impact of construction activities could be on the canopies of trees, where they overhang the site.
- 2.1.6. The only potential conflict between trees and buildings could be where buildings are proposed very close to these tree canopies.
- 2.1.7. The Tree Constraints Plan and Tree Data Schedule should be referred to for descriptions and locations of all trees.
- 2.1.8. Photographs of the site are included in Section 10.

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

3. Tree Survey and Data Schedule

This page is largely generic. Tree officers and other persons familiar with arboricultural reports may go straight to the following section and refer to the tree data in Appendix 6.

3.1. Survey Details

- 3.1.1. A ground level survey was undertaken on the 2nd May 2015. The survey was conducted by Ivan Button. No climbed inspections or specialist decay detection were undertaken. Only trees with a stem diameter over 75mm were included, which lie within the site boundary or relatively close to it.
- 3.1.2. Where applicable, trees with significant defects have been highlighted and appropriate remedial works have been recommended. However, this report should not be seen as a substitute for a full *Safety Survey* or *Management Plan* which are specifically designed to minimise risk and liability associated with responsibility for trees.
- 3.1.3. Wherever possible, dimensions are obtained using diameter tapes, logger's tapes, distometers and clinometers. Where obstacles prevent accurate measurement, dimensions are estimated. Trees on privately owned third party are surveyed from the best available vantage point and observations relating to the condition of these trees should be treated accordingly. All height measurements should be regarded as approximate.

3.2. Data Schedule

- 3.2.1. The findings of the survey are presented in The Tree Data Schedule which is provided as a separate document as well as being appended to the end of this document within Appendix 6.
- 3.2.2. The Schedule includes scaled tree images based on measurements recorded for stem diameter, crown spread, crown height and overall height. Their purpose is to indicate, at a glance, the relative dimensions of each tree.
- 3.2.3. A definition of the Retention Categories can be found in Appendix 1. All other terms used within the Tree Data Schedule are defined and explained in Appendix 3.

3.3. RPA calculation - Single Stems & Multiple Stems

3.3.1. For single stemmed trees, the RPA is calculated according to the following formula:

RPA radius = 12 x stem diameter (measures at 1.5m above ground level)

- 3.3.2. Where a tree has more than one stem, the equivalent-single-stem diameter is usually recorded. This is calculated by adding the squares of the stems and then finding the square root of this total. The radius of the Root Protection Area is then calculated by multiplying the equivalent-stem-diameter by 12.
- 3.3.3. Occasionally this method is not appropriate (e.g. for coppiced specimens where there are numerous stems). In such cases the diameter at ground level may be recorded or a stem diameter which would provide a suitable Root Protection Area calculation. The form of the tree is recorded in the notes section.

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

4. Vegetation Overview (independent of proposals)

This section summarises all the recommendations within the Tree Data Schedule regardless of whether trees are to be retained, felled or pruned to facilitate the proposed development. It does not specify works that may be required to facilitate the development proposals. The protection status of the trees is also reported in this section.

4.1. Preliminary Management Recommendations

4.1.1. The trees surveyed could only be viewed from within the site so have not been inspected in detail. No significant defects were observed. Consequently, no remedial works have been recommended.

4.2. Tree Protection Status – Site Specific

- 4.2.1. On 10th April 2015, we were informed, by Matthias Gentet of London Borough of Camden that:
 - The site is not within a conservation area.
 - There are no tree preservation orders affecting trees within the site.
 - There are no tree preservation orders affecting trees immediately adjacent to the site, though they are within a conservation area.

4.3. Tree Protection – General Notes

- 4.3.1. Where trees are located in a conservation area, works are not permitted without first giving the local authority 6 weeks' notice of intention. During this time the local authority may elect to create a tree preservation order or to inform the applicant that they have no objection to the proposed works. If the local authority does not respond within 6 weeks, then the intended work may be undertaken. Note: the local authority cannot refuse consent for works to trees within a conservation area; they may only create a tree preservation order if they wish to have further control over what works are undertaken.
- 4.3.2. Where planning permission is granted and tree works have been approved as part of the planning consent, no further application is required in respect of protected trees and no further notice is required in respect of trees within a conservation area.

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

4.4. Species Present – Additional Information

4.4.1. The table below contains general information about the tree *species* (rather than the actual tree *specimens*) included in the survey. Its purpose is to assist readers who are unfamiliar with the characteristics of the various species.

| Species | Typical Height at Maturity (m) | Typical Canopy Spread at Maturity (m) | General Notes |
|------------------------|--|---|---|
| Apple | 6 | 8 | Deciduous tree native across Europe and W. Asia. Hundreds of cultivars available due to its popular fruit. Flowers white, pink or red in spring. Some species will self pollinate. Most species have a relatively untidy habit. Older specimens are susceptible to a variety of rusts, moulds and cankers. Excellent habitat tree. Visit https://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Malus+domestica for more info. |
| Beech | 25 | 18 | Deciduous tree native to W and S Europe. Does not have resilient heartwood, therefore typically lives for 100 - 150 years before decay may cause structural failure if unmanaged. Can be an extremely attractive tree at maturity due to its size and majesty. Young branches may retain their foliage through winter as is evidenced in beech hedges. Visit http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Fagus+sylvatica for more info. |
| Golden False Acacia | 20 | 12 | Deciduous fast growing tree native to the US. Part of the pea family and its roots fix nitrogen. Bright yellow 'Frisia' cultivar is widely planted in gardens. All parts are toxic except the flowers which appear in June. Seed pods ripen in winter. Visit http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Robinia+pseudoacacia for more info. |
| Lime | 25 | 12 | Very common street tree. Several species exist; the one most often found in woods is 'common lime' which produces a mass of suckers at the stem base, making it very cheap to propagate. Limes have non-symmetrical heart shaped leaves which are much loved by aphids (hence the sticky honeydew on cars parked beneath). Limes are tolerant of heavy pruning and are often managed as pollards. Old limes tend to support a lot of small dead branches. Visit http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Tilia+x+europaea for info. |
| Pear | 8 | 8 | Deciduous tree native across Europe and W Asia. Hundreds of cultivars available due to its popular fruit. White flowers in spring along with bright green foliage. More upright growth habit than most apples. |
| Stag's Horn Sumach | 6 | 6 | Small but highly ornamental garden tree, having interesting form (like antlers), excellent autumn colour, and large clusters of deep red fruits which persist through winter. Produces an abundance of suckers. Originates from E. USA. Fruits soaked in water make a refreshing 'lemonade'. Visit http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Rhus+typhina for more info. |
| Sycamore | 25 | 16 | Deciduous tree native to S. Europe, widely naturalised in the UK. Often regarded as a weed species due to its invasive nature and ability to tolerate most conditions. Responds well to pruning. Not a good tree to park beneath in summer due to the sticky sap secreted by aphids. Visit http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Acer+pseudoplatanus for more info |

4.4.2. The figures quoted regarding typical height and canopy spread should be treated as approximate. Actual heights and spreads vary according to several environmental factors such as soil conditions, climate and presence of competing vegetation. The figures quoted are not the maximum dimensions that the species may attain.

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

5. Arboricultural Impact Assessment

5.1. Overview

- 5.1.1. Demolition of all existing buildings and redevelopment of the site is proposed in order to provide 164 mixed-tenure homes (Use Class C3), new floorspace for town centre uses (Use Classes A1, A2, A3, D1 or D2), new employment floorspace (including four dedicated units for start-up businesses) (Use Class B1), a community meeting room and new and improved public open spaces, together with associated new landscaping, on-site access, servicing and disabled car parking.
- 5.1.2. The proposed layout is indicated on the plans in Appendix 6 in pale green.
- 5.1.1. The table below summarises the potential impact on trees due to various activities.

| Activity | Trees Potentially Affected |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Tree Removal: Retention Category A | None |
| Tree Removal: Retention Category B | None |
| Tree Removal: Retention Category C | None |
| Tree Removal: Retention Category U | None |
| Tree Pruning | T2, T9 |
| RPA: Foundations | None |
| RPA: New Surface | None |
| RPA: Underground Services | None |
| RPA: Change of Ground Levels | None |
| RPA: Soil Compaction | None |

- 5.1.2. Other potentially damaging activities often associated with construction sites include demolition or the careless use of plant machinery, hazardous materials, or fires.
- 5.1.3. All of the above potential impacts are considered in detail throughout this section. Section <u>6</u> specifies the measures proposed to minimise all possible potential risks of damage to the retained trees.

5.2. Tree Removal

5.2.1. All trees surveyed are to be retained.

5.3. Impact on Tree Canopies

- 5.3.1. It is proposed to prune the overhanging branches of the pear tree, T9, back to the boundary. This will ensure that the branches are not accidentally damaged during the demolition of the adjacent building or the construction of the new buildings.
- 5.3.2. The overhanging branches of T2 are also to be pruned in order to create a clearance distance of 2.5m from the proposed nearest building. This shall only require the pruning of relatively small tertiary branches.
- 5.3.3. The above pruning works shall not damage or disfigure these trees and the impact on local visual amenity shall be negligible.

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

5.4. Impact on Tree Roots

- 5.4.1. The surveyed trees are all growing outside of the site in ground retained at a height of approximately 2m above that of the ground levels within the site. The retaining structure is heavily engineered and will have substantial foundations. Consequently, no roots are expected to proliferate within the site itself.
- 5.4.2. No damage to roots is therefore anticipated due to any construction activity.

5.5. Demolition Activities

- 5.5.1. To is the only tree potentially affected by demolition, since the canopy of this tree overhangs an existing building. The proposed pruning of the canopy (back to the boundary) shall ensure branches are not accidentally damaged.
- 5.5.2. The tree protection measures specified within Section 6 should be installed prior to the commencement of all demolition activities (including soil stripping) to prevent any detrimental impact on tree health.

5.6. Cabins and Site Facilities

5.6.1. There is ample room for the siting of cabins and storage of materials / spoil during the construction phase without impacting on trees.

5.7. Boundary Treatments

5.7.1. I am not aware of any changes are proposed to the existing boundary features.

5.8. Impact of Retained Trees on the Development

5.8.1. Some occasional trimming of the canopies of T2 and T3 may be required in the future to maintain an appropriate distance from the proposed buildings. These trees are a lime and a sycamore. These species are often managed by regular trimming as they respond well to even relatively heavy pruning.

5.9. Summary

- 5.9.1. The proposal seeks to retain all of the vegetation surveyed.
- 5.9.2. Pruning is proposed to two trees in order to create an adequate clearance from all proposed demolition and construction activity.
- 5.9.3. Minor future pruning will be required of two trees in order to maintain an adequate clearance distance.
- 5.9.4. No rooting activity is anticipated within the site so no damage to root systems shall occur as a consequence of any proposed demolition and construction activity.
- 5.9.5. Tree protection measures are specified throughout Section 6 that will ensure no negative impact on retained trees due to construction activity.
- 5.9.6. Adequate space has been allowed between the proposal and all trees such that no future pressure to overly-prune or remove trees shall occur as a consequence of the proposal.

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

6. Method Statement

Section A: Introduction and Overview

6.1. Tree Protection Barriers - Overview

6.1.1. The Tree Protection Plan indicates the location of all proposed tree protection barriers according to the following legend and overview:

| Symbol on Tree Protection Plan | Barrier type See Section 9 | Location |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| | In-Ground System or Back-Stay System | N/A |
| | Back-Stay System | Around Restricted Activity Zones as indicated on the Tree Protection Plan. |
| | Barrier Mesh System | N/A |
| | Plywood Boxing | N/A |
| \Q | Cloth and Wire Wrap | N/A |

- 6.1.2. The barriers shall be installed prior to the commencement of any demolition or construction activity including soil stripping and delivery of materials. It will be necessary to first remove any obstacles that are in the way of the proposed barrier locations.
- 6.1.3. A detailed specification of the barriers can be found in Section 9.

6.2. Planning Status

- 6.2.1. Tree protection measures specified within this report should be agreed with the local authority so that they may be conditioned upon planning consent.
- 6.2.2. The site manager must be familiar with all aspects of this Method Statement and should liaise with the author of this report for clarification, or regarding any unforeseen issues where trees may be impacted upon.
- 6.2.3. A copy of this Method Statement shall be available on-site at all times. All personnel working on the site shall be made aware of any sections appertaining to their work. This includes short term contractors and persons responsible for deliveries and installation of services.

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

6.3. Overview of Protection Measures

6.3.1. Below is a list of potential arboricultural impacts and a summary of the proposed protection measures:

| Reference | Comments | Potential Impact | Protection measures |
|------------|---|---------------------|--|
| T2, T9 | Canopy is close to proposed construction or demolition activity | Damage to branches. | Prior to commencement, pruning to be undertaken as specified in Section <u>8</u> -Tree Works Schedule). |
| T2, T3, T5 | Branches overhang into the site | Damage to branches. | Protective fencing installed as specified in Section 9 and Restricted Activity Zone created No machinery in excess of 3m tall shall be permitted in the Restricted Activity Zone. |

6.3.2. The above measures are described in more detail throughout the remainder of this section.

6.4. Timing of Operations

6.4.1. Activity within the site shall be phased according to the following chronology:

| Order | Phase | Activity |
|-------|--------------------------------|--|
| 1st. | Pre- | Undertake all specified tree pruning (see Section <u>8</u> -Tree Works Schedule). |
| 2nd. | Construction Phase | Install the tree protection barriers (see Tree Protection Plan and Section 9 -Tree Protection Barriers. |
| | Prote | ection measures confirmed acceptable by the local authority |
| 3rd. | Construction | Demolish existing structures and remove existing surfaces where applicable. |
| 4th. | Phase | Install new buildings, hard surfaces and services taking into account restricted activities as specified in Sections $\underline{6.5}$ onwards |
| 5th. | Post- Construction Phase | Remove protective barriers. |

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

Section B: Restrictions on Activities – Specific Zones

6.5. Restricted Activity Zone A

- 6.5.1. Within these zones (indicated on the Tree Protection Plan) the branches of T2, T3 and T5 may be liable to accidental damage. The following restrictions shall apply:
 - Vehicles or plant machinery in excess of 3m tall shall not be permitted in this area unless carefully marshalled to avoid any contact with overhead branches.
 - If materials require installation or delivery, this shall be done without the use of overhead cranes.
 - If materials are to be installed or delivered close to tree canopies (but not beneath them) and a crane is required, they shall be carefully marshalled in order to ensure that branches are not accidentally damaged.
 - No fires shall be permitted.

Section C: Restrictions on Activities - Throughout the Site

6.6. Installation of Foundations

6.6.1. All foundations are to be excavated outside of areas where rooting activity is anticipated so their method of installation is of no arboricultural concern.

6.7. Installation of New Surfaces

6.7.1. No new surfaces shall pass through any Root Protection Areas therefore no restrictions on their design or installation are required from an arboricultural perspective.

6.8. Scaffolding

6.8.1. Prior to the installation of any scaffolding within 0.5m of any tree branches, the appointed arborist shall be consulted to specify any pruning works that may be required.

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

7. Site Inspection

7.1. Inspection Schedule

7.1.1. In order to ensure that the trees are adequately protected it shall be necessary to confirm that the tree protection barriers and ground protection measures are installed to the satisfaction of the local authority. This will be done by the local authority tree officer or an appointed arborist (see Section 7.2 below) who will provide the tree officer with a copy of inspection details.

7.1.2. The following inspection schedule is suggested though the local authority may specify additional supervision where deemed necessary.

| Inspection | Attendees | Comments |
|--|---|--|
| Pre- Start To occur prior to any works taking place on the site. | N/A. | Site manager to study this Method Statement & contact the appointed arborist to agree all protection measures. |
| Pre-Construction Meeting After tree works completed & tree protection barriers / ground protection measures installed. Prior to any other activity, inc. demolition & soil stripping. | Site manager, appointed arborist and/or local authority tree officer. * | Tree protection fencing locations & specification checked. Additional ground protection measures checked. Further protection measures / restrictions agreed. |
| Post-Construction Meeting Post major construction activity but prior to removal of fencing & landscaping operations. | Site manager, appointed arborist and/or local authority tree officer. | Retained trees inspected. Further landscaping operations and restrictions to be agreed. |

^{*} Where agreed with the L.A. it may be acceptable to supply photographs of the fencing to avoid the necessity for a site visit.

7.2. The Appointed Arborist

- 7.2.1. The appointed arborist must be acceptable to the local authority. He / she must have a good understanding of the project requirements and be suitably qualified to understand the hazards associated with development near to trees.
- 7.2.2. The appointed arborist should work closely with the site manager and shall have the authority to insist upon work stoppage until resolution of any major issues arising which could be detrimental to the health of protected or important trees.
- 7.2.3. The appointed arborist must keep the local authority updated at each of the stages within the inspection schedule and will advise on any unexpected issues arising throughout the project which could impact on trees.

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

8. Tree Works Schedule

8.1. Tree Works Specification

8.1.1. The following table specifies the tree works which will be required prior to the commencement of construction activity:

| Tree Reference | Action Required | Notes |
|----------------|---|--|
| T2 | Prune overhanging branches to create a clearance distance of 2.5m from the proposed nearest building (branches should not overhang the northern boundary by more than 1.4m) | Branches to be pruned back to a secondary branch junction or the branch collar wherever possible. Pruning to be kept to a minimum to achieve the desired clearance of 2.5m. |
| Т9 | Trim back the canopy to the boundary. | Branches to be pruned back to a secondary branch junction or the branch collar wherever possible. |

8.1.2. **Pruning Standards:** Sympathetic pruning shall be carried out to BS 3998 (2010). Lopping of branches is to be avoided. Instead as system of 'drop crotching' or 'reduction via thinning' is to be used to achieve the desired clearance without spoiling the appearance, or form, of the trees. All pruning cuts shall be made close to the branch collar or a secondary growth point. Cuts to be made with sharp, clean tools. No wound sealants to be used.

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015


9. Tree Protection Barriers

Detailed Specification

9.1.1. The purpose of tree protection barriers is to keep construction activity away from Restricted Activity Zones or Construction Exclusion Zones. They should be appropriate to the nature and proximity of activity within the site. The barriers should be erected prior to the commencement of all activity including demolition, soil stripping and delivery of materials and demolition (except where existing structures require demolition to enable the barriers to be installed). Barrier systems are specified below and should be installed according to the legend on the Tree Protection Plan.

9.2. The In-Ground System

- 9.2.1. This system may be installed where indicated by a solid purple line on the Tree Protection Plan. It should be robust enough to withstand occasional knocks by plant machinery and, once installed, shall remain in place throughout the entire construction phase.
- 9.2.2. Vertical scaffold poles are driven into the ground, onto which are affixed horizontal scaffold poles and diagonal bracing struts. Weldmesh panels (or similar - e.g. Heras type fencing panels, or 18mm+ plywood boards) are secured to this scaffold framework using sturdy clips e.g. standard scaffold clips. The system illustrated in the diagram to the right and is based on BS 5837 guidelines.




9.3. The Back-Stay System

9.3.1. This system may be installed where indicated by a solid or dashed purple line on the Tree Protection Plan. It is more practical over existing hard surfaces or where the fencing needs to be moved to enable permitted activities within a Restricted Activity Zone. This

system should be able to withstand occasional knocks by machinery and should not be relocated except with the consent of the site manager and the approval of the local authority.

9.3.2. Within this system, weldmesh fencing panels (minimum height 2m) are affixed into rubber or concrete feet and clipped together with anti-tamper couplers. Where topography permits, two couplers should be used, spaced at least 1m apart. Alternate panels should be



Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

attached to a diagonal back stay connected to an additional foot or baseplate secured with ground pins or additional ballast. Where ground pins are not used, the total weight of the foot/plate plus ballast should total not less than 32kg.


- 9.3.3. Alternatively, timber struts may be used to affix the panels to existing walls using brackets and screws where the fence panels are sufficiently close for this to be effective.
- 9.3.4. Where it is not possible to install diagonal struts (such as very close to a hedge) then the front feet shall be secured using ground pins or ballast.

9.4. The Barrier-Mesh System

construction machinery.

9.4.1. Where indicated by a thick red line (solid or dashed) on the Tree Protection Plan, it shall be acceptable to install a less robust system than those specified above. This is because of the nature of construction activity or its distance from tree protection areas. The purpose of such a system shall be to demarcate the protection zone. It is not intended that such fencing will withstand knocks by

9.4.2. In this system, high visibility plastic safety fencing, 1m high, minimum grade 140g/m2, is secured onto alternate wooden posts and fencing pins. Wooden posts to be located at 5m intervals, minimum dimensions 75mm.



9.5. Notices

9.5.1. Suitable weather-proof notices should be displayed to identify tree protection zones. They should state the purpose of the fencing and that it should not be moved, or traversed, other than by authorised personnel.

PDF readers select page-width for detail & page-view for scrolling Arboricultural Report to BS 5837: 2012 for: A2

A2Dominion Developments Ltd Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

11th November 2015 Ivan Button

Author: Date:

Photographs 10.

Refer to the Tree Constraints Plan for photo locations





Photo 2.



Photo 3.



Photo 4.



Photo 5.



Photo 6.



PDF readers select page-width for detail & page-view for scrolling Arboricultural Report to BS 5837: 2012 for: A2I

A2Dominion Developments Ltd Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Date: 11th November 2015 Author: Ivan Button





Photo 8.



Photo 9.



Photo 10.



Photo 11.



Photo 12.



PDF readers select page-width for detail & page-view for scrolling

Arboricultural Report to BS 5837: 2012 for: A2Dominion Developments Ltd

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

11. Signature

This report represents a true and factual account of the trees and potential impact of development along with proposed protection measures at

156 West End Lane West Hampstead London NW6 1SD

Signed

Ivan Button N.C.H. (Arb), FDSc (Arb), BSc (Hons), P.G.C.E., M. Arbor. A.

on behalf of

Crown Consultants Ltd

Dated

11th November 2015



Tree consultants throughout England and Wales

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

Appendix 1: BS 5837: 2012 – Guidance Notes

This Standard prescribes the principles to be applied to achieve a satisfactory juxtaposition of trees and structures. It sets out to assist those concerned with trees in relation to design, demolition and construction to form balanced judgements.

It acknowledges the positive contribution trees may offer to a site, as well as the negative aspects of retaining inappropriate trees. It addresses the negative impacts that construction activity may have upon trees and offers mitigation strategies to minimise these impacts.

The Standard suggests a three stage approach to ensure best practice is followed when developing close to trees:

A1.1 Stage 1: Survey of Existing Trees

This identifies the existing trees on and adjacent to the site. Data is recorded for each tree and is presented in a Tree Data Schedule. Each tree is allocated a **Retention Category** according to its size, amenity value, condition and safe useful life expectancy. The categories are allocated independently of development proposals. Our interpretation of the Retention Categories is explained below:

A1.1.1 Retention Categories

A Category: Trees of high quality and amenity value. Usually, mature trees with a significant life expectancy which would enhance any development. Retention of these trees is strongly encouraged.

B Category: Trees of moderate quality and amenity value. Usually these are maturing trees or younger trees with exceptional form. Retention of these trees is desirable though the removal of occasional specimens may be acceptable.

C Category: Trees of low quality or small specimens with a relatively low amenity value. These trees are not considered to be a material planning constraint and their removal will generally be seen as acceptable in order to facilitate development.

U Category: Trees of such low quality that their removal is recommended regardless of development proposals.

A1.1.2 Occasionally trees are borderline and do not fall neatly into one of the categories A, B or C. In such cases we apply a superscript (+/-) such that:

C⁺ Indicates borderline C/B, though Category C is deemed to be most appropriate.

B Indicates borderline C/B, though Category B is deemed to be most appropriate.

A1.1.3 The British Standard suggests that each of the A, B and C categories may be further subdivided (A1, A2, A3, B1, B2, B3 etc) such that subcategory 1 denotes mainly arboricultural values, subcategory 2 denotes mainly landscape values and subcategory 3 denotes mainly cultural values (including conservation). Multiple subcategories may be used.

Our experience suggests that these subdivisions lack clarity and can be confusing. Within this report subcategories are **not** denoted. Where appropriate, the use of phrases such as 'Part of a formal group', or 'Has a high ecological value', or 'Offers good screening to the site' are incorporated into the observation section of the Tree Data Schedule. We believe this conveys all relevant landscape and cultural information without any confusion.

A1.1.4 **Tree Constraints Plan (TCP).** This indicates the position, crown spread, Retention Category and Root Protection Area of each tree. It is used to inform where development may proceed without causing damage to trees.

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

A1.1.5 **Root Protection Area (RPA).** This is the area around each tree likely to contain the majority of roots. It should ideally remain undisturbed to avoid a detrimental impact on tree health. For single stemmed trees It is calculated according to the formula "radius of RPA" = "12 x stem diameter". For multiple-stemmed trees a more complex formula is used which may occasionally produce an RPA which seems inappropriately large relative to the trees canopy. This shape can then be modified to take into account site factors which influence rooting activity, e.g. foundations, soil type or impermeable surfaces. Where development works are proposed within the RPA they should be undertaken in a sympathetic manner to minimise root disturbance.

A1.1.5 **Shade Constraints.** The previous Standard (BS 5837 2005) suggested that shade constraints should be indicated on the TCP. This are denoted as a circle-segment drawn northwest to due east with a radius equal to the height of the tree. These do not represent the actual shade pattern which varies through the seasons. Rather, they indicate the area most shaded by the tree throughout the course of the year. Ideally habitable room windows should be located outside of these shade constraints. Where we consider it appropriate, we will include shade constraints information on our Impact Assessment Plan or Proposed Layout Plan.

A1.2 Stage 2: Arboricultural Impact Assessment

After the initial survey and the production of the Tree Constraints Plan, arborists and designers are encouraged to work together to establish a design proposal with minimal impact on the high quality trees. An assessment should be made of all possible impacts including the impact that the trees may have upon the proposal. The arborist may recommend mitigation strategies to minimise these impacts and help achieve a more harmonious juxtaposition between buildings and trees.

A1.3 Stage 3: Arboricultural Method Statement

This type of report specifies the measures necessary to protect trees against damage from construction activity. The Method Statement should be written in a manner that it may be conditioned and enforced by the local authority upon granting of planning permission. The site manager should be familiar with all aspects of the Method Statement and should ensure that all persons working on the site are aware of those aspects which appertain to their work. This includes service installation engineers and operators of plant machinery.

156 West End Lane, West Hampstead Crown Ref: 09299

11th November 2015 Author: Ivan Button Date:

Appendix 2: Explanation of Tree Data & Glossary

This section explains the terms used in the Tree Data Schedule (see Section 3 and Appendix 6).

General Observations A4.1

Numbering System: Each item of vegetation has its own unique number prefixed by a letter such that T1=Tree 1, G2=Group 2, H3=Hedge 3 and A4.1.1

W4=Woodland 4, S5=Shrub 5.

A4.1.2 Age Categories:

> Usually less than 10 years old. Young

Semi-Mature Significant future growth to be expected, both in height and crown spread (typically below 30% of life expectancy). Early-Mature $Full\ height\ almost\ attained.\ Significant\ growth\ may\ be\ expected\ in\ terms\ of\ crown\ spread\ (typically\ 30-60\%\ of\ life\ expectancy).$ Mature Full height attained. Crown spread will increase but growth increments will be slight (typically 60% or more of life expectancy). Veteran A level of maturity whereby significant management may be required in order to keep the tree in a safe condition.

Over Mature As for veteran except management is not considered worthwhile.

Common names and Latin names are given. A4.1.3

Height: Measured from ground level to the top of the crown.

A4.1.5 Stem Diameter: Taken at 1.5m above ground level where possible. On multi-stemmed trees this measurement may be taken at ground level,

though usually an indication of the number of stems and average diameter is given, e.g. 3 x 30cm.

A4.1.6 Crown Height: Measured from ground level to the height at which the main crown begins. Where the crown is unbalanced it is measured on the side deemed to be most relevant. This is usually the side facing the area of anticipated development.

Tree Diagram: This scaled drawing is computer generated based on measurements taken for stem diameter, crown height and spread, and A4.1.7

overall height. It is designed to help the reader rapidly assess the data. It is not an accurate representation of the form of the

Crown Spread: Measured N, E, S & W, taken from the centre of the stem and usually rounded up to the nearest metre.

Observations: If a tree's position is considered to be relevant it will be commented upon (e.g. overhanging a children's play area). Tree form

and pruning history are also recorded along with an account of any significant defects. Defects and descriptive terms are dealt

with in more detail at the end of this section.

A4.1.10 Recommendations: Usually based on any defects observed and intended to ensure that the tree is in an acceptable condition.

Priority Scale: Depending upon the threat posed by the tree, and the likelihood of failure, recommendations should be carried out according to A4.1.11

the following priority scale:

Urgent To be carried out as soon as possible. Very High . To be carried out within 1 month. High To be carried out within 3 months Moderate To be carried out within 1 year. To be carried out within 3 years

Inspection Frequency: A4.1.12

An interval of 6 months, 1 year, 1.5 years or 3 years is allocated before the next inspection is due. Wherever practical, consideration should be given to seasonal changes so that deciduous trees are not always surveyed in winter when they have no

leaves, or in summer when leaves may obscure branches within the upper crown.

An indication of growth rate and the tree's ability to cope with stresses: Vigour: A4.1.13

> High Having above average vigour. Moderate Having average vigour. Having below average vigour.

Tree is struggling to survive and may be dying. Verv Low

Physiological Condition: A4.1.14

> Good Healthy and with no symptoms of significant disease.

Fair Disease present or vigour is impaired

Significant disease present or vigour is extremely low. Poor Very Poor

Tree is dying.

Structural Condition: A4.1.15

> Good Having no significant structural defects.

Some defects observed though no high priority works are required. Poor Significant defects found. Tree requires monitoring or remedial works.

Very Poor Major defects which will usually require significant remedial works or tree removal.

A4.1.16 **Amenity Value:**

> Very High Exceptional specimen, observable by a large number of people. Attractive specimen, observable by a significant number of people. High Moderate

One of the above factors is not applicable. Low Unattractive specimen or largely hidden from view.

Life Expectancy: The estimated number of years before the tree may require removal. Classified as (<10), (10 - 20), (20 - 40), or (40+). A4.1.17

These are explained in detail in Appendix 1. A4.1.18 Retention Category:

A4.2 **Evaluation of Defects**

A4.2.1 Cavities, wounds, deadwood etc are all evaluated as follows:

Such that structural integrity is, or will become, compromised and the tree is, or will inevitably become, hazardous.

Significant A defect that may over time become a major defect, though not necessarily so. This will depend on the vigour of the tree and its

ability to deal with decay etc.

Mino A defect that is not likely to compromise the tree's structural integrity

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

General Glossary

| Adaptive growth | In tree biomechanics, the process whereby wood formation is influenced both in quantity and quality by the action of gravitational forces and mechanical stresses on the cambial zone. |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Aerobic | Conditions in which oxygen is freely available, or to biomechanical processes that depend on the presence of oxygen. |
| Anaerobic | A condition marked by the absence of oxygen; Generally such areas are unsuitable for normal life and growth of plant tissues. These sites tend to be populated by bacteria capable of surviving low oxygen conditions often associated with Slime Flux. |
| Arboriculture | The culture and management of trees as groups and individuals primarily for amenity and other non-forestry purposes. |
| Arborist | A person possessing the technical competence through experience and related training to provide management of trees or other woody plants in a landscape setting. Generally involved with the development or management of trees for visual amenity or land management rather than the growth of trees for product or profit. |
| Barrier zone | A layer within an annual increment of wood which contains abnormal xylem cells, laid down by the cambium in response to wounding or other trauma. |
| Body language | In trees, the outward display of growth responses and or deformation in response to mechanical stress. |
| Bole | Or Trunk, the main stem of a tree below its first major branch. |
| Bracket | A type of fruiting body produced by various fungal species, plate like to hoof like in shape and often a one sided attachment to the wood or bark. |
| Branch bark ridge | A ridged area located at the union of a branch to a trunk or stem. |
| Branch Collar | Trunk tissue that forms around the base of a branch between the main stem and the branch, or between a main branch and a lateral branch. As a branch decreases in vigour or begins to die, the collar usually becomes more pronounced and completely encircles the branch. |
| Brown Rot | Form of decay where cellulose is degraded, while lignin is only modified. |
| Buttress Root | Roots that emerge from the base of the tree stem, normally large and well developed that rapidly reduce in diameter to create the Root Plate this offers structural support for the tree. Buttress roots divide rapidly forming the connection between the stem and the transport roots. |
| Cabling Bracing | Installing cables within the crown of a tree to prevent collapse. |
| Callus | Undifferentiated cells often formed at the edges of recent injuries. This tissue quickly becomes differentiated, forming cells of the type characteristic of that position on the tree (e.g. forming wood, bark, roots, etc.) see wound response tissue. |
| Cambium | A thin layer of actively growing and dividing cells, located between the xylem (sapwood) and bark of a plant; the part responsible for radial growth of a tree stem or branch. |
| Canopy | The topmost layer of twigs and foliage in a woodland, tree or group of trees. |
| Canker | A localised area of dead bark and cambium on a stem or branch, caused by fungal or bacterial organisms, characterised by woundwood development on the periphery. This may be annual or perennial. |
| Cavity | An open and exposed area of wood, where the bark is missing and internal wood has been decayed and dissolved. |
| Chlorotic | Also Chlorosis. A condition of the plant marked by yellowing of normally green foliage, often indicating nutrient deficiency or plant dysfunction. |
| Clinometer | Devices that measures vertical angles, and provides direct height measurements of objects by triangulation. |
| Co-dominant stems/trunk | Are forked branches or trunks of nearly the same size in diameter and lacking a normal branch union. |
| Compacted soils | Soils in which the air-space (oxygen space) has been reduced or eliminated, reducing water infiltration and percolation, reducing root presence and inhibiting new root development. |
| Compartmentalisati on | The physiological process that creates the chemical and mechanical boundaries that act to limit the spread of disease and decay organisms. |
| Compression Failure | Localized buckling of fibres and other longitudinal elements produced by compression of wood along the grain; compression failures sometimes develop in standing trees. |
| Compression Strength | The ability of a material or structure to resist failure when subjected to compressive loading; measurable in trees using special drilling devices |
| Compression Wood Conservation Area | Abnormal wood formed on the lower side of branches and curved stems, with physical properties different from normal wood. In Great Britain, designated areas of architectural or historical interest, in which there are special procedures for planning |
| | applications. Additionally tree works cannot generally be undertaken without prior notification (Currently 6 weeks) to the relevant local planning authority. See also Tree Preservation Orders. |
| Core Sample | A sample of wood extracted from a trunk or branch, using an increment borer tool. The resulting core can be analysed for characteristics of growth, wood strength, structure, decay, and for species identification. |
| Crotch | The union of two or more branches; the auxiliary zone between branches. |
| Crown | The upper canopy of a tree, including upper trunk, scaffold branches, secondary branches, stems and leaves. |
| Crown lifting / | Crown Lift The removal of the lowest branches, usually to a given height. It allows more residual light and greater clearance |
| raising | underneath for vehicles etc. |
| Crown reduction | The reduction of a tree's height or spread while preserving its natural shape. |
| Crown thinning | The removal of some of the density of a tree's crown, usually 5-25% allowing more light through its canopy and reducing wind resistance. |
| Deadwood (noun) | Deadwood is often present within the crown or on the stems of trees. It may be an indication of ill health, however, it may also indicate natural growth processes. If a target is present beneath the tree, deadwood may fall and cause injury or damage and should be removed, otherwise deadwood can remain intact for conservation purposes (insects, fungi, birds etc.). |
| Deadwood (verb) | The removal of dead branches from a tree's canopy, usually of a specified size (in diameter). |
| Decay | Progressive deterioration of organic tissues, usually caused by fungal or bacterial organisms, resulting in loss of cell structure, strength, and function. In wood, the loss of structural strength. |
| Decay Detection | The assessment of decay within a tree has been traditionally difficult, but recent advances have made it possible to achieve accurate representations of the internal section of a tree in both 2D and 3D, removing doubt over the condition of the tree and allowing accurate management decisions. |
| Defect | In relation to tree hazards, any feature of a tree which detracts from the uniform distribution of mechanical stress, or which makes the tree mechanically unsuited to its environment. |
| Defoliation Dieback | The losing of plants foliage. Progressive death of buds, twigs and branch tissues, on individual limbs resulting in Deadwood, or throughout the canopy, |

PDF readers select page-width for detail & page-view for scrolling
Arboricultural Report to BS 5837: 2012 for: A2Dominion Developments Ltd

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Date: 11th November 2015 Author: Ivan Button

| | extreme cases can result in Stag Heading. |
|--------------------------|---|
| Dripline | A projected line on the ground that corresponds to the spread of branches in the canopy; the farthest spread of branches. |
| Epicormic shoots | Fast growing, weakly attached shoots/branches that often grow as a response to stress factors upon a tree or branch removal. |
| Failure | In connection with tree hazards, a partial or total fracture within the wood tissue or loss of cohesion between roots and soil. (I total failure affected parts will snap or tear away completely, Partial failure there is a crack or deformation, which results in an |
| | altered distribution of mechanical stress. |
| Feeder Roots | Fine fibrous Water and nutrient absorbing roots located in the outer root system. |
| Flush-Cut | In trees and shrubs, a pruning cut close to the parent stem, which removes the branch bark ridge. |
| Foliage | The live leaves or needles of the tree; the plant part primarily responsible for photosynthesis. |
| Formative pruning | The trimming of a tree to remove weaknesses and irregularities which may lead to problems. The formative pruning operation |
| . 0 | is aimed at reducing the potential for future weaknesses or problems within the tree's crown. |
| Gall | An abnormal, disorganized growth of plant tissues, caused by parasitic or infectious organisms such as insects, fungi, bacteria, or viruses. |
| Girdling | In woody plants, any form of damage that destroys the bark and / or the Cambium all the way around the stem, branch or root, normally resulting in death of the damaged section. |
| Girdling Root | In woody plants, a root that grows across the buttress, or across other roots, eventually causing constriction of the radial growth. |
| Growth Increment | The incremental growth added as new annual ring develops each season over existing wood. This is seen as (growth) rings in cross-sections of wood. |
| Hazard beam | An upwardly curved branch in which strong internal stresses may occur without the compensatory formation of extra wood (longitudinal splitting may occur in some cases). |
| Heartwood | Inner non functioning tissues that provide structural support to trunk. |
| Heave | In relation to shrinkable clay soils, expansion due to rewetting of a volume of soil previously subjected to the removal or water by plant / trees following felling or root severance. Also in relation to root growth, the lifting of pavements and other structure by radial expansion. Also in relation to tree stability, the lifting of one side of a wind rocked root plate. |
| Herbicide | A chemical compound that causes the death of a plant. |
| Included Bark | Bark that becomes embedded in a crotch between branch and trunk or between co-dominant stems, usually found in narrow or tight crotches, and causes a weak structure. |
| Increment Borer | A tool that cuts and extracts a narrow cylinder of wood from a tree for analysis of the wood tissue and growth increments. |
| Leader | The primary terminal shoot or trunk of a tree. |
| Limb | A large lateral branch growing from the main trunk or from another larger branch. |
| Lion Tailing | Often the result of poor pruning practices; the main leader or branches are largely devoid of side branches, growth is restricted to the end of branches and is likely to suffer damage through end loading. |
| Lopping | In trees, a general term that related to the removal of branches from a tree. |
| Monitoring | Due to the relative life span of trees in relation to our own, long-term monitoring provides a valuable insight to the health of trees, identifying decline and or stabilisation and or improvement. |
| Mulch | A material laid over the root system of a tree to help conserve moisture within the soil. Additionally it may help control the development of weeds close to the tree. |
| Mycelium | A mass of growing filaments (hyphae) formed by fungi. |
| Mycorrhizae | The symbiotic relationship between roots and certain beneficial fungi. Mycorrhizae are the combined root / fungal growth. |
| Occluding tissue | The general tern of wood, cambium and bark that develop around the site of a wound on a woody plant |
| Pathogen | A microorganism that causes diseases within another organism. |
| Phloem | The principle conductive tissue that the products of Photosynthesis are transported around the plant |
| Photosynthesis | The process were light energy is used to create energy (Carbohydrate) for use within the plant. |
| Pollard | A term for a pollarded tree. |
| Pollard head | The swollen section of branch / stem that forms behind the pollarding cut. |
| Pollarding | The complete or partial removal of the crown of a young tree so as to encourage the development of numerous branches either for amenity or historically as fodder, repeated management is required cyclically to maintain the feature |
| Prune or Pruning | Selective removal of woody plant parts of any size, using saws, Loppers, Secateurs, or other pruning tools. |
| Reaction Wood | Wood with distinctive anatomical characteristics, formed in parts of leaning or crooked stems and in branches to provide additional strength / support. In hardwoods, tension wood usually forms. In conifers, compression wood is usually found. |
| Reaction Zone | A zone normally darker than surrounding wood that denoted the boundary often a defensive one between functional sapwood and dysfunctional or decaying wood. |
| Re-grading | The raising or lowering of a soil profile from its original grade. |
| Remedial pruning | The removal of old stubs, deadwood, epicormic growth, rubbing or crossing branches and other unwanted items from the tree' crown. |
| Resistograph | Invasive decay detection technique whereby the resistance offered by the timber to a spinning probe is measured and plotted. |
| Rib | In tree body language, a long narrow, axial protuberance which often over lays a crack. |
| Ring Barking | Artificial Girdling of the stem, to result in the death of a tree. May be used in habitat creation were the retention of dead standing trees is required. |
| Rod Bracing / | Traditionally, this has relied upon the Installation of steel rods or bolts through the stems or limbs, to reduce twisting or |
| Bolting Root Barriers | splitting of the wood. The installation of such features does require legal interpretation. Both Buildings and services can benefit from the installation of root barriers to protect a soil volume from the ingress of roots. |
| Root Collar | The basal area of the tree; transition zone from trunk to root. Also sometimes called trunk flare. |
| Root Plate | The primary support area for the tree; an area of the root system close to the base that structurally anchors the tree to the soil. |
| Root Rot | Either a general term for decay within the wood of the lower stem / buttress roots, or a disease in which the fine roots are killed. |
| Root System | The portion of the tree containing the root organs, including buttress roots, transport roots, and fine absorbing roots; all underground parts of the tree. |
| Root Zone | The area and volume of soil around the tree in which roots are expected. May extend to three or more times the branch spread of the tree, or several times the height of the tree. |
| Sail Area | That area or the tree subjected to wind load. |

PDF readers select page-width for detail & page-view for scrolling

Arboricultural Report to BS 5837: 2012 for: A2Dominion Developments Ltd

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

| Sapwood | Xylem wood tissue, usually light in colour, representing the outer growth rings of the wood. Usually living, reactive wood tiss |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| • | in a healthy tree. See heartwood |
| Scaffold limbs / scaffold Branches | The branches that from the main network framework of the crown of a tree. |
| Senescent | A decline in growth and vigour due to age or stress factors. |
| Shrub | A woody plat that branches at or close to the ground level and so does not have a single stem. |
| Slime Flux | Relating to a toxic condition from the spreading of bacteria or their products from a source of infection; characterized by malodorous gases, or salt deposits upon the bark. If these products enter the sap stream, localised vessel necrosis can result, usually associated with anaerobic conditions. |
| Soft Rot | A kind of wood decay, were a fungi degrades cellulose within the cell wall, without causing overall degradation. |
| Soil Compaction | The compression of soil, causing a reduction of pore space and an increase in the density of the soil. Air is squeezed out and nutrients become locked. Tree roots cannot grow in compacted soil. |
| Sonic Decay | Non invasive method whereby sound waves are passed through the tree and the speed is measured. Slow speeds indicate dec |
| Detection | and a tomography picture representing the inner stem is produced. |
| Stag Heading | In a tree, a state of dieback were dead branches protrude beyond the current living crown. |
| Stress | In plant physiology, conditions were one or more physiological functions Are not working within normal parameters. |
| Stump Grinding | The removal of a tree stump using a specialist grinding machine. |
| Subsidence | In relation to vegetation, the removal of water by plant growth resulting in localised shrinkage in the soil volume. |
| Sucker | Same as sprout. |
| Suppressed | Trees which are dominated by surrounding vegetation and whose crown development is restricted from above. |
| Systemic | Affecting the whole plant or organism. A systemic compound is carried throughout the entire plant to all parts through the vascular system. |
| Target | Any person or object within reach of a falling tree or part of a tree that may be injured or damaged. |
| Target Pruning | The pruning of a branch were the wound affects only branch material, often result in a target shaped wound. |
| Tension Wood | Reaction wood typically formed on the upper side of limbs or curved stems; characterized by lack of cell wall lignifications (higher ratios of cellulose to lignin). |
| Tight Union / Tight Crotch | Also, narrow crotch. A crotch with a narrow angle between branches, often having included bark. |
| Tomography | The comparison of sound or stress waves through the tree allows the creation of a 2D or 3D representation of the internal structure of a stem or branch section and highlights areas of damage. Virtually non-injurious. |
| Topography | The configuration of surface features, including the vertical and horizontal relationships of the ground and other features. |
| Topping | Cutting large limbs back severely, without regard to form or habit of the tree. Cuts are usually made between lateral branch nodes. This practice is extremely injurious to trees, and promotes decay and structural weakness within the crown. |
| Tree | A woody plant that typically has a single stem, at maturity has a height of a least 4 metres and a stem diameter at breast heig of at least 75mm. |
| Tree Preservation Order | In Great Britain, an order made by the local planning authority, were consent must be gained before undertaking all but exem works to a tree. |
| Trunk Flare | The basal area of the trunk that flares or widens, and merges with the main roots. See root collar |
| Veteran Tree | Veteran trees are often found in large parks or estates and commonly affected by extensive decay or have been subject to extensive works. These trees are retained for historical importance and often pose greater risk than normal, which is general justified. They need careful management and often propping or bracing to support them, some require fencing to limit access |
| Vigour | Active, healthy growth of plants: ability to respond to stress factors. |
| Visual Tree | An assessment of the mechanical condition of trees based upon their 'body language'. Trees are dynamic and respond to faul |
| Assessment (VTA) | decay / environmental factors in various ways, these responses can be indicative of structural integrity. |
| Wetwood | An infection caused by bacteria living inside the plant tissues. The bacteria ferment the plant fluids, resulting in death of near cells, and often causing exudations of fluid from the bark, often referred to as a Slime Flux. |
| White Rot | A kind if wood decay were a fungi attacks the lignin within the wood matrix |
| Wind loading | Forces placed upon tree canopy, branches, trunk and roots of a tree under windy conditions. |
| Wind Throw | The failure of a tree due to wind loading. |
| Witches Broom | A deformed or unusual growth of twigs from adventitious buds, caused by insects, disease, or dieback of twigs and buds. |
| Wood | Secondary Xylem; the main structural support and water conducting tissue of trees and shrubs. |
| Wound Response Tissue | Also Occluding Tissue, Wound Wood or Callus. Differentiated wood tissue that grows around the margins of a wound or injur |
| Wound Wood | Wood with atypical features, formed in the vicinity of a wound and a term to describe the occluding tissues around a wound |
| Xylem | Plant tissues with special function of translocation of water and dissolved nutrients. |

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

Appendix 3: Survey Methodology

- A2.1 Ground level visual surveys are carried out using the Visual Tree Assessment technique described by Mattheck and Broeler (1994) and endorsed by the Arboricultural Association (LANTRA Professional Tree Inspection course, 2007).
- A2.2 Structural condition is assessed by inspecting the stem and scaffold branches from all angles looking for weak branch junctions or symptoms of decay. Particular attention is paid to the stembase. Cavities are explored using a metal probe in order to assess the extent of any decay. If this is not possible further inspection is recommended in the form of a climbed inspection or using specialist decay detection equipment.
- A2.3 The physiological condition is assessed by inspecting the stem, branches and foliage for symptoms of disease. The overall vigour of the tree is also taken into account.
- A2.4 Where significant defects are observed, recommendations are made according to a scale of priority in order to reduce the likelihood of structural failure. The position of the tree and its potential targets are taken into account.
- A2.5 Measurements are obtained using a diameter tape, clinometer, distometer and loggers tape. Where this is not practical measurements are estimated.
- A2.6 Some trees are surveyed as groups, though this is usually avoided close to areas likely to be developed.
- A2.7 Finally, a Retention Category is allocated as described in Appendix 1.1.1.

Appendix 4: Author's Qualifications

Qualifications & Experience of Ivan Button N.C.H. (Arb), FDSc (Arb), BSc (Hons), P.G.C.E., M. Arbor. A.

Construction

Between 1983 and 1995 Ivan worked primarily within the construction industry and received training in a broad range of practical building skills and general construction principles. During this time he obtained a BSc (Hons) at Leeds University followed by a P.G.C.E at The University of Wales.

Arboriculture

He obtained a NCH (Arboriculture) at the University of Lincoln and became a member of the Arboricultural Association. He then worked for an Arboricultural Consultancy for one year before establishing a tree surgery and landscaping business in 1998. In 2005 Ivan commenced full time employment with a leading Arboricultural Association approved consultancy and soon adopted a senior role responsible for five consultants.

He obtained a FDSc in arboriculture at the University of Lancashire, which he passed with distinction and is now a Director and Principal Consultant of Crown Consultants Ltd. He is accredited as a LANTRA *Professional Tree Inspector*. A qualification produced in association with the Arboricultural Association and generally recognised as appropriate for all levels of tree inspection.

He is a member of the Consulting Arborist Society and is listed within their areas of professional expertise for QTRA and as an expert witness.

Ivan is a professional member of the Arboricultural Association and the International Society of Arboriculture.

He is a licensed Quantified Tree Risk Assessment user.

Ivan has undertaken professional expert witness training and has been registered as a Sweet and Maxwell Checked Expert Witness since 2008.

Throughout 2009 acted as the principal Tree Officer for Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council.

Ivan has produced several hundred Arboricultural Reports for the purposes of Development, Safety, Management, Mortgage, Subsidence, Mitigation and Litigation.

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead

Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015

Appendix 5: Further Information

Building Near Trees - General

National Joint Utilities Group publication # 10 (1995), Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Services in Proximity to Trees. Downloadable at www.njug.demon.co.uk/pdf/NJUG%20Publication10.pdf

NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2., Trees and Buildings.

Horticulture LINK project 212. (University of Cambridge, 2004), Controlling Water Use of Trees to Alleviate Subsidence Risk.

Tree Planting and aftercare

See www.trees.org.uk/leaflets.php# for downloadable leaflets on selecting a garden tree, planting, aftercare and veteran tree management.

British Standards

BS 5837: 2012. Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations.

Bs 3998: 2010. Recommendations for Tree Work.

BS 3936: 1992. Nursery Stock. Part 1: Specification for Trees and Shrubs.

BS 3936: 1992. Nursery Stock. Part 10: Specification for Groundcover Plants.

BS 4043: 1989. Transplanting Root-balled Trees.

BS 8004: 1986. Foundations.

BS 8103: 1995. Structural design of Low-Rise Buildings.

BS 8206: 1992. Lighting for Buildings.

BS 8545:2014. Trees: From nursery to independence in the landscape – Recommendations

BS 3882: 2007. Topsoil.

BS 4428: 1989. General Landscaping Operations (excluding hard surfaces).

Permission to do Works to Protected Trees / Tree Law

Forestry Commission (Edinburgh, 2003), Tree Felling – Getting Permission. Country Services Division - Forestry Commission. Downloadable at www.forestry.gov.uk/website/pdf.nsf/pdf/wgsfell.pdf/\$FILE/wgsfell.pdf

Transport and the Regions (Department of the Environment, 2000), Tree Preservation Orders, A Guide to the Law and Good Practice. Downloadable at www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/tposguide

C. Mynors, The Law of Trees, Forests and Hedgerows (Sweet and Maxwell, London, 2002)

Communities and Local Government website with numerous downloadable documents, from: http://www.communities.gov.uk/planningandbuilding/planning/treeshighhedges/

Lighting Levels

P.J. Littlefair, B.R.E. 209: Site layout planning for daylight and sunlight A guide to good practice. B.R.E. Bookshop, London.

British Standards Institution. Code of practice for day lighting. British Standard BS 8206: Part 2 (1992).

Chartered Institution of Building Services Engineers. Applications manual: Window Design (London, 1987).

NBA Tectonics. A study of passive solar housing estate layout. ETSU Report S-1126. Harwell, Energy Technology Support Unit (1988).

I.P. Duncan; D. Hawkes, Passive solar design in non-domestic buildings. ETSU Report S-1110. Harwell, Energy Technology.

P. J. Littlefair, Measuring Daylight, BRE Information Paper 23/93 f3.50. (Advises on measuring daylight under the real sky or an artificial sky, allowing for the changing nature of sky light).

High Hedges

Communities and Local Government website with numerous downloadable documents, from: http://www.communities.gov.uk/planningandbuilding/planning/treeshighhedges/

Tree Specific Websites

www.crowntrees.co.uk Crown Consultants site containing useful information

www.trees.org.uk Arboricultural Association

www.rfs.co.uk Royal Forestry Society of England, Wales and N. Ireland

www.treehelp.Info The Tree Advice Trust
www.woodland-trust.org.uk The Woodland Trust
www.treecouncil.org.uk The Tree Council

Crown Ref: 09299 Site: 156 West End Lane, West Hampstead


Author: Ivan Button Date: 11th November 2015


Appendix 6: Tree Data Schedule and Site Plan(s)

The Tree Data Schedule and all plans accompanying this report follow this page. They are also provided as separate documents for ease of printing and referring between when viewing on a screen.

| Reference G = Group H = Hedge | A 0 S | t (m) | Crown Ht (m) | Diameter (cm) | Crov | d (m) | Scaled Tree Diagram (m) | Notes | | Recommendation (Independent of any | | Vigour | Amenity Value | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|--------|--------------|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|------|
| Refer G=Gr H=He | Age & Species | Height (m) | uwo. | amete | W N | E | | | Notes | developmen | t proposals) | Physiological Condition Structural | Life Expectancy (yrs) Retention | |
| | | | ა | ä | S | | 9 0 9 | | | Priority | Freq (yrs) | Condition | | |
| T1 | Mature Lime | 15 | 8 | 50 | 3 | 3 | - | Position: Form: History: | Situated on third party land. Twin-stemmed at 2m with a narrow, upright habit. Recently pollarded. | No action | required. | Moderate Fair | Moderate 40+ | |
| | Tilia sp. | | | | 3 | | | Defects: Other: | No significant defects observed. Limited inspection, dimensions estimated. | n/a | 3 | - Fair | В | |
| | Early-Mature | | | | 4 | | 25 | Position: | Situated on third party land. | | | Moderate | Moderate | |
| T2 | Lime | 15 6 65 | 65 | 4 4 | 4 | - | Form: History: Defects: | Multi-stemmed at 2m with a narrow, upright habit. Recently pollarded. No significant defects observed. | No action | required. | Fair | 40+ | | |
| | Tilia sp. | | | | 4 | | 0 | Other: | Limited inspection, dimensions estimated. | n/a | 3 | - Fair | В | |
| | Early-Mature Sycamore | | | | 5 | | 25 | Position: Form: | Situated on third party land. Multi-stemmed at 2m with a well-formed crown. | No action | roquirod | Moderate | Moderate | |
| Т3 | , | 16 | 6 | 65 | 5 5 | 5 | The state of the s | History: Defects: | Occasional pruning wounds due to crown lifting (cavities developing). Previously topped at 8m. No significant defects observed. | No action | required. | Good | 40+ | |
| | Acer pseudoplatanus. | | | | | | 0 | Other: | Limited inspection, dimensions estimated. | n/a | 3 | - Fair | В | |
| | Weeping Birch 6 2.5 | | | 2 | | - | Position: Form: | , | No action required. | | High | Low | | |
| T4 | | 6 2.5 25 | 5 2.5 25 | 2.5 25 | 2.5 25 | 5 25 | 1.5 | | | History: Defects: | No evidence of significant pruning. No significant defects observed. | TTO decion | requireur | Good |
| | Betula pendula. | | | | | | ο 1 | Other: | Limited inspection, dimensions estimated. | n/a | 3 | Good | | |
| | Semi-Mature Golden False | | | | 5 | | - | Position: Form: | Situated on third party land. Crown overhangs the boundary. Single stemmed and vertical with a well-formed crown. | No action | required. | High | Moderate | |
| T5 | Acacia Robinia | | 35 | 5 5 | 5 | | History: Defects: | No evidence of significant pruning. No significant defects observed. | TTO decion | requireu. | Good | 40+ | | |
| | pseudoacacia. | | | | | | _0 Γ25 | Other: | Limited inspection, dimensions estimated. | n/a | 3 | Good | В | |
| | Semi-Mature Apple | | | | 2.5 | 5 | - | Position: Form: | Situated on third party land. Crown overhangs the boundary. Twin-stemmed at 1.5m with a well-formed crown. | No action | roquirod | High | Low | |
| Т6 | Apple | 5.5 | 3 | 20 | 3 2 | 2 | - Alliforn | History: Defects: | No evidence of significant pruning. No significant defects observed. | NO action | required. | Good | 40+ | |
| | Malus sp. | | | | | | 0 | Other: | Limited inspection, dimensions estimated. | n/a | 3 | Good | C | |
| | Mature Beech | | | | 4 | | 25 | Position: Form: | Situated on third party land. Multi-stemmed at 4m with a compact crown. | No action | required | Moderate | Moderate | |
| T7 | | 11 | 5 | 70 | 4 4 | 4 | | History: Defects: | Recently heavily reduced. No significant defects observed. | 110 action | . equil eu. | Good | 40+ | |
| | Fagus sylvatica. | | | | | | 0 | Other: | Limited inspection, dimensions estimated. | n/a | 3 | Good | В | |

| Reference G = Group H = Hedge | Age & Species | Height (m) | vn Ht (m) | neter (cm) | Crown Spread (m) N W E | Scaled Tree Diagram (m) | Notes | | ndations ent of any proposals) | Vigour Physiological Condition | Amenity Value Life Expectancy (yrs) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------------------|---|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Re a | | He | Crown | Diam | S | 9 0 9 | | Priority | Inspect Freq (yrs) | Structural Condition | I Retention |
| G8 | Young Stag's Horn Sumach | av 4.5 | av 2.5 | av 20 | av 2 2 | [25 | Position: Situated on third party land. Form: Multi-stemmed at 2m with a compact crown. History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: No significant defects observed. | | | Moderate Fair | Low 20-40 |
| | Rhus typhina. | . , | | | each | 0 | Other: Limited inspection, dimensions estimated. | n/a | n/a 3 | Fair | C |
| Т9 | Semi-Mature Pear | | | | 3 | [25 | Position: Situated on third party land. Form: Single stemmed and vertical with a narrow, upright habit. | No action i | required | Moderate | Low |
| | Pyrus domestica. | 9 | 9 3 30 | 3 3 | 0 | History: No evidence of significant pruning. Defects: No significant defects observed. Other: Limited inspection, dimensions estimated. | n/a | 3 | Fair Fair | 20-40 C | |





Tree Constraints Plan



Photo 1











See the accompanying report for more photographs

| | 5,5335 | , | Radius (m) | m² | Square (r |
|----|---------------------|-----|------------|-----|-----------|
| T1 | Lime | 15 | 6.0 | 113 | 10.6 |
| T2 | Lime | 15 | 7.8 | 191 | 13.8 |
| T3 | Sycamore | 16 | 7.8 | 191 | 13.8 |
| T4 | Weeping Birch | 6 | 3.0 | 28 | 5.3 |
| T5 | Golden False Acacia | 11 | 4.2 | 55 | 7.4 |
| T6 | Apple | 5.5 | 2.4 | 18 | 4.3 |
| T7 | Beech | 11 | 8.4 | 222 | 14.9 |
| G8 | Stag's Horn Sumach | 4.5 | 2.4 | 18 | 4.3 |
| T9 | Pear | 9 | 3.6 | 41 | 6.4 |
| | | | | | |

| <u></u> | Trees of high quality with an estimated life expectancy of 40+ years. Usually large trees with significant presence or smaller trees with excellent form. Retention of these trees is highly desirable. | |
|----------|---|--|
| O | Trees of moderate quality with a life expectancy of 20+ years. Usually maturing trees, or younger trees with good form. Retention | |

Tree Retention Categories
Stems & canopies shown Category A tree
Category B tree Arboricultural Consultants 01422 316660 Category U tree

Root Protection Area having been amended to account for for site conditions


BS 5837 Root Protection Area (radius = 12xstem diameter

Root Protection Area needing amendment due to site conditions, e.g. presence of exising road or building.



Impact Assessment Plan

(Existing Layout with Proposals Overlaid)



Drawing No: CCL 09299 Impact Assessment Plan (Existing Layout with Proposals Overlaid) 0 5 10m Scale: 1:400 ______ Paper Size: A1

CROWN

Tree Retention Categories
Stems & canopies shown Category A tree
Category B tree Category C tree Arboricultural Consultants 01422 316660 Category U tree

Trees of high quality with an estimated life expectancy of 40+ years.
Usually large trees with significant presence or smaller trees with excellent form. Retention of these trees is highly desirable. Trees of moderate quality with a life expectancy of 20+ years.
Usually maturing trees, or younger trees with good form. Retention of these trees is desirable though less than Category A trees Unremarkable trees of low quality and merit. Individual specimens Trees unsuitable for retention due to their very poor condition.

Impact Assessment Plan

(Existing Layout with Proposals Overlaid)

| | BS 5837 Root Protection Area (radius = 12xstem diameter) | | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Root Protection Area needing amendment due to site conditions, e.g. presence of exising road or building. Root Protection Area having been amended to account for for site conditions | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| T1 = Tree | No 1 G2 = Group No 2 H3 = Hedge No 3 | | | | | |

MN = Measured North: Canopy spreads are sometimes Tree to be removed to facilitate the proposal

Tree to be removed due to its low quality

Tree to be removed due to its low quality

Tree to be removed due to its low quality

Golden False Acacia 11 4.2 55 7.4

Apple 5.5 2.4 18 4.3

Beech 11 8.4 222 14.9

Stag's Horn Sumach 9 3.6 41 6.4

Section B: Restrictions on Activities – Specific Zones

Restricted Activity Zone A

- Within these zones (indicated on the Tree Protection Plan) the branches of T2, T3 and T5 may be liable to accidental damage. The following restrictions shall apply:
- Vehicles or plant machinery in excess of 3m tall shall not be permitted in this area unless carefully marshalled to avoid any contact with overhead branches.
- If materials require installation or delivery, this shall be done without the use of
- If materials are to be installed or delivered close to tree canopies (but not beneath them) and a crane is required, they shall be carefully marshalled in order to ensure that branches are not accidentally damaged.

No fires shall be permitted.





Tree Protection Plan

| Fixed protective |
|--------------------|
| barrier: The 'In- |
| Ground System' |
| or the 'Backstay |
| System'. To remain |
| in place for all |
| |

Orange Barrier Mesh Moveable protective steel fencing pins barrier: The 'Backstay To remain in place throughout all construction activity


Stem protected to a

in place except when approved works are being undertaken in the Restricted Zone

The 'Back Stay System'



The 'In-Ground' System



Construction Exclusion Zone Within this area the following restrictions shall apply:

No excavation or land regrading whatsoever.

No storage of materials, rubble, soil or spoil.

No fires within the exclusion zone or within 10m of any tree canopy.


No site cabins or other temporary structures.


No discaharge of polluted water, cement or chemicals of any kind. No tree works without council consent.


Restricted Activity Zones


| strictions are detailed within the accompanying Method S | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Restricted Zone A | | Restricted Z | | | | |
| | Restricted Zone C | | Restricted Z | | | | |
| | Restricted Zone E | | Restricted Zo | | | | |

Drawing No: CCL 09299 Tree Protection Plan (Existing Layout with Proposals Overlaid)









Trees unsuitable for retention due to their very poor condition.



| | T1 | Lime | | |
|---|----|---------------------|--|--|
| N = Measured North: | T2 | Lime | | |
| = Measured North. | T3 | Sycamore | | |
| nopy spreads are sometimes | T4 | Weeping Birch | | |
| easured to an approximate N fined by site features. | T5 | Golden False Acacia | | |
| ten more accurate, especially | T6 | Apple | | |
| nere rows of trees are not | T7 | Beech | | |
| gned N-S or E-W. | G8 | Stag's Horn Sumach | | |
| | то | Pear | | |