

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 November 2015 10:39
To: Planning
Subject: Comments on Applications 4710, 5989, 5990

Re: Planning 3 Honeybourne Road Applications 2015/471/P/, 2015/5989/P, 2015/5990/P and other relevant applications.

I am the freeholder of No. 5 Honeybourne Road. I am staggered by the amount of correspondence in these applications and very confused. I am having to take professional advice before I can meaningfully comment on the above. Unfortunately my surveyor has been away this week and is due back early next week.

Up until your letter dated November 5th 2015 which I received on the 12th November, I had not been served by your authority requesting comments on any planning applications submitted by the owners of No. 3 Honeybourne Road. My address would have been found if a search of the Land Registry had been undertaken. Therefore, I am requesting additional time to make comments about the proposed works.

I am sorry if this creates a difficulty, but please understand that these applications will have a major effect on my property. I am confused by the number of applications and my confusion is being compounded by the differences in the drawings in the parts of the property that are not affected by the works applied for.

As far as application to 2015/4710/P is concerned, I was told by the surveyor retained by the owners of No. 3 Honeybourne Road in connection with the party wall, that the application to modestly increase the size of the existing basement coal hole was being withdrawn. It appears now that an application for a basement to cover the footprint of the house has been submitted.

With regard to the The Basement Impact assessment, it contradicts itself by saying "the basement extends over a relatively small area of the property" In fact it extends underneath all of the property.

I have looked at applications 4710, 5859, and 5990, which to me are different drawings and different plans for the same ground floor area. The plan for elevation in application 5990 does not seem to have any context with the application, nor does the block plan. None of this makes much sense to me.

In my view, this has further added to my confusion and it would seem to be more appropriate to have a single application for all the proposed works.

By the way, I went to the property yesterday because my tenants had complained about the noise of building work which started on Saturday at 7.30am and 7am on Monday. It appears that stripping out has started and there are piles of concrete slabs in the front garden.

I will be able to contact you again, after I have had a meeting with my surveyor next week.

Please kindly confirm that you have received this e mail.

Sharron Trisk