

E-mail Message

From: [Suzy Kendall \[SMTP: \[REDACTED\]\]](#)
To: [Chivers, Jennifer \[EX:/O=LONDON BOROUGH OF CAMDEN/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP \(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT\)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=Chivers, Jennifer638\]](#)
Cc:
Sent: 9/2/2015 at 10:32
Received: 9/2/2015 at 10:32
Subject: OBJECTION: APPLICATION REF: 2014/6067/P

Attachments: ~WRD000.jpg
 image001.jpg

Objection to application ref 2014/6067/P from S Kendall 4 The Mount NW3 6SZ

Dear Jennifer

Further to Victoria Nicholl's message to you (copied in below), I would also like to add my objections to the gate and trellis at 3, The Mount, which are ugly and overbearing and considerably exceeding 2m in height.

I live at no 4 The Mount which is semi detached to no 3. The photo I have included is from my bedroom window. I now have an unsightly view of a wooden fence stuck on top of a listed wall and an industrial gate much taller than the existing wall. This wooden extension stuck on top of the wall can in no way be described as a "trellis". Trellis is for supporting greenery and as you can tell from the lack of soil and the rear of the gate no plants can grow here.

This is, I believe, a bid to extend the height of a listed wall in order to disguise the now extended height of the previous garage door. This gives no thought to the character of this beautiful street in a conservation area.

This wooden structure is even extended around the corner above Holly Steps, making a once pleasing view walking up the steps now like a new build on a modern estate. This is all out of keeping in a conservation area. I also agree with Mr Munro that this is a breach of Camden's own conservation area statement as stated in his email of 8th Feb to you

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,

Suzy Kendall

cid:image47A9FDAF-A39D-40A7-A2C1-0AE5FF6C05D2

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: VICTORIA NICHOLL
 To: "jennifer.chivers@camden.gov.uk"
 Sent: Sunday, 8 February 2015, 16:15
 Subject: OBJECTION: APPLICATION REF: 2014/6067/P

OBJECTION TO DETAILS OF WORKS COMPLETED BEFORE APPROVAL OF DETAILS UNDER APPLICATION REF: 2014/6067/P

Dear Ms Chivers

1. The original application to demolish the garage at this site under reference 2013/4569/C stated that it should be removed so that "the visual integrity of the original boundary wall will be re-instated and a large overbearing bulk will be removed from the streetscene."

The trellising on top of the boundary wall (picture below) should be removed as it compromises the visual integrity of the wall that the applicant claimed would be preserved by removing the garage. It is also not in keeping with the historic street view that is an integral feature of this conservation area.

2. For the same reasons, the height of the gate should be in line with the original boundary wall.

3. Both the trellising and the gate are over 2 metres high and reduce the light in our homes.

Image removed by sender.

Please let us know if you need any further information.

Regards

The residents of 3 and 4 Holly Mount NW3 6SG