

From: Peter Beardsell [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 October 2015 16:00
To: Planning
Cc: STURE RYDMAN
Subject: Planning Application 2015/5872/T - 71 Haverstock Hill

From Eton CAAC:

We do not think that the fine horse chestnut tree at 71 Haverstock Hill should be felled: cut back if necessary, yes, but not felled.

We appreciate that the application may be based on getting more light into the front of the house, as it is acknowledged that the tree is not diseased nor is it causing harm to the property. Cutting back, may therefore, be required.

This particular tree is part of a very attractive composition that forms the end of the public terrace outside nos.73 to 81 Haversack Hill. Looking down Haversack Hill from the end of Steeles Road it contributes to a view that would be greatly altered by its absence.

There are a number of horse chestnut trees in this part of the conservation area and they lend it a certain presence. This is especially true of course in the spring and autumn.

Surely the felling of a mature tree such as this one should not be sanctioned in a conservation area unless there are reasons out of the ordinary.

Kind regards,

Peter Beardsell
Sture Rydman

Eton CAAC