

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/5316/P	Kathy Pimlott	6 Cambridge Court 4 Earlham Street London WC2H 9RZ	15/10/2015 11:49:06	COMMNT	<p>The assessment states that the closest noise receptor is flat 2. However, this flat is on the opposite end of the building from where the existing and proposed air-conditioning units are. Flats 6 and 7, on the ground floor, are very close to the proposed units and, thus, the noise impact assessment should have conducted its measurements from these windows. The location of the air-conditioning units is adjacent to the 17 flats at Cambridge Court where I live. We residents would be affected by noise and disturbance from the units as well as those within 4-10 Tower Street.</p> <p>Once a new acoustic report is received, in the Council is minded to grant approval, to protect residential amenity, any permission granted should restrict use to business hours only (06:30-22:00, as specified in the applicant's acoustic report – see page 9) and in condition 4 of planning permission granted 07-11-2013 for 2013/3023/P, which specifies that “the use hereby permitted shall not be carried out outside the following times 0630 - 2230 Mondays to Fridays, 0900 - 2230 on Saturdays, 1000 - 2230 on Sundays and not at all on Bank Holidays.”</p> <p>Additionally, any permission granted must include conditions that require the applicant to have at least annual maintenance performed on all equipment, including ducting, to ensure it is running effectively and is not causing disturbance to nearby residents or exceeding 10 decibels below background.</p>
2015/5316/P	Christy Rogers	36 Park Village East London NW1 7PZ	20/10/2015 12:42:48	COMMNT	<p>I am the owner (landlord) of Flat 6, 4-10 Tower Street. The air conditioning units applied for are situated directly outside the bedroom windows of my flat. I would ask that permission should be granted only on condition that the total noise level from the new 9 units does not exceed the current noise level from the existing 7 units. This can be achieved if the existing 7 units are replaced with quieter ones. If the noise level is to increase due to the replacement of the units and/or the addition of units then I strongly object to the application.</p>
2015/5316/P	Meredith Whitten	Covent Garden Community Association 42 Earlham Street WC2H 9LA	14/10/2015 18:41:45	COMMNT	<p>The CGCA questions the applicant's noise impact assessment. The assessment states that the closest noise receptor is flat 2. However, this flat is on the opposite end of the building from where the existing and proposed air-conditioning units are. Flats 6 and 7, on the ground floor, are very close to the proposed units and, thus, the noise impact assessment should have conducted its measurements from these windows. The CGCA also notes that the location of the air-conditioning units is adjacent to flats at Cambridge Court and, thus, these residents would be affected by noise and disturbance from the units, as well.</p> <p>Without an accurate noise report, the CGCA cannot comment on the proposals.</p> <p>To protect residential amenity, any permission granted should restrict use to business hours only (06:30-22:00, as specified in the applicant's acoustic report – see page 9) and in condition 4 of planning permission granted 07-11-2013 for 2013/3023/P, which specifies that “the use hereby permitted shall not be carried out outside the following times 0630 - 2230 Mondays to Fridays, 0900 - 2230 on Saturdays, 1000 - 2230 on Sundays and not at all on Bank Holidays.”</p> <p>Additionally, any permission granted must include conditions that require the applicant to have at least annual maintenance performed on all equipment, including ducting, to ensure it is running effectively and is not causing disturbance to nearby residents or exceeding 10 decibels below background.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/5316/P	Michael Leeson	Flat 11, 4-10 Tower Street London WC2H9NP	14/10/2015 13:32:26	OBJEMPER	The acoustic report refers to tests being made from flat 2 which is at the other end of our building from the proposed site of the replacement units. In addition flat 2's owner tells me that although he was asked a couple of years ago for access to his flat it was not followed up. Flats 6 and 7 are right by the units with flat 7 being unaware of any tests and flat 6 yet to reply to me. It is difficult to see how the tests could have been made without access given the layout. Please may we have evidence that the appropriate tests have been made as the units will be at the base of a well which will trap any noise I imagine
