

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/4373/P	oliver froment	10 Pilgrim's Lane nw31sl nw31sl nw31sl	14/10/2015 17:25:19	OBJ	<p>application:2015/4373/P 13 Kemplay road</p> <p>Dear Mr Tulloch,</p> <p>I fully agree with the objections of the Heath and Hampstead Society and the Resident Association. In addition to their comments, I would like to object on the following ground:</p> <p>1- Trees There are two trees of very significant amenities that would be disappear as a result of this application. There are two a very tall and large trees (more than 15 meters high) and as such no trees of similar amenity could be replanted. DP 24, DP 25</p> <p>2-the BIA is faulty and therefore CPG4 and DP 27, 23 are not complied with.</p> <p>Some examples: 1b this is a desk top survey. No boreholes have been conducted. This explains why on page 10 of the BIA, there are no information on the water table on the same page of the BIA,</p> <p>- point 2 is faulty: there are maps that shows there was a water pump near the site. Furthermore all maps show the presence of a pound in that area. The aquifer is also unknown as a result</p> <p>-6 how can the BIA states in point 6 that no trees will be felt and any work proposed within any tree protection zones when all indications show that this will definitely be the case?!</p> <p>-7 contrary to what the BIA states there is a history of subsidence near by (e.g. 11 Pilgrim"s Lane)</p> <p>- page 12- point 6 is faulty: we have reports from homecheck that shows that the area is at risk of surface water flooding</p> <p>Considering the documented surface risk documents that we have from home check, the sloppy terrain, the presence of clay gate, the major subsidence and heave problems that have happened to nearby properties (e.g. 11 Pilgrim"s Lane) boreholes work need to be conducted before determination.</p> <p>On a separate note , the view of the church would be compromised from the street and the proposed architecture of the house should be upgraded to much higher external visual standards in this precious conservation area. DP 24 has been breached.</p> <p>We wished that Camden had advised the applicant at least that desk study only is insufficient under the circumstances and that trees are at risk.</p> <p>Please refuse</p> <p>Thank you</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					Regards
					Oliver R Froment

2015/4373/P	oliver froment	10 Pilgrim's Lane nw31sl nw31sl nw31sl	14/10/2015 17:25:01	OBJ	
-------------	----------------	---	---------------------	-----	--

2015/4373/P	oliver froment	10 Pilgrim's Lane nw31sl nw31sl nw31sl	14/10/2015 17:24:57	OBJ	
-------------	----------------	---	---------------------	-----	--

2015/4373/P	oliver froment	10 Pilgrim's Lane nw31sl nw31sl nw31sl	14/10/2015 17:24:53	OBJ	
-------------	----------------	---	---------------------	-----	--

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/4373/P	oliver froment	10 Pilgrim's Lane nw31sl nw31sl nw31sl	14/10/2015 16:45:34	OBJ	<p>application:2015/4373/P 13 Kemplay road</p> <p>Dear Mr Tulloch,</p> <p>I fully agree with the objections of the Heath and Hampstead Society and the Resident Association. In addition to their comments, I would like to object on the following ground:</p> <p>1- Trees There are two trees of very significant amenities that would be disappear as a result of this application. These are very tall and large trees (more than 15 meters high) and as such no trees of similar amenity could be replanted. DP 24, DP 25</p> <p>2-the BIA is faulty and therefore CPG4 and DP 27, 23 are not complied with.</p> <p>Some examples: 1b this is a desk top survey. No boreholes have been conducted. This explains why on page 10 of the BIA, there are no information on the water table on the same page of the BIA, point 2 is faulty: there are maps that shows there was a water pump near the site. Furthermore all maps show the presence of a pound in that area. The aquifer is also unknow as a resut</p> <p>6 how can the BIA states in point 6 that no trees will be feltand any work proposed within any tree protection zones when all indications show that this will definitely not be the case?!</p> <p>7 contrary to what the BIA states there is a history of subsidence near by (e.g. 11 Pilgrim"s Lane)</p> <p>page 12- point 6 is faulty: we have reports from homecheck that shows that the area is at risk of surface water flooding</p> <p>Considering the documented surface risk documents that we have from home check, the sloppy terrain, the presence of clay gate, the major subsidence and heave problems that have happened to nearby properties (e.g. 11 Pilgrim"s Lane) boreholes work need to be conducted before determination.</p> <p>On a separate note , the view of the church would be compromised from the street and the proposed architecture of the house should be upgraded to much higher external visual standard in this precious conservation area. DP 24 has been breached.</p> <p>We wished that Camden had advised at least that both the BIA is obviously faulty.</p> <p>Please refuse</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>Regards</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					Oliver R Froment
2015/4373/P	Miranda Hall	18A Kemplay Road London NW3 1SY	14/10/2015 19:47:15	OBJEMPER	<p>Objection to 2015/4373/P, 13 Kemplay Road</p> <p>This seems a poorly designed attempt to create a new house approximately 4 times the size of the existing one, with no thought given to the existing look and character of Kemplay Road and the wider Hampstead conservation area.</p> <p>The proposal for a large basement excavation is particularly concerning, including the prolonged disruption and noise while it is being built and the possibility, due to the entirely inadequate Basement Impact Assessment, of damage to surrounding properties. The loss of green space, mature trees and the impact on the building and grounds of the Grade 2 listed Rosslyn Chapel is also highly objectionable.</p> <p>I was only recently made aware of this application by concerned neighbours as it appears the single notice posted by the council was repeatedly removed or hidden from view during the earlier part of the consultation period. I believe the consultation period should therefore be extended to allow chance for comments by other local residents who were also unaware of the plans.</p> <p>Regards Miranda Hall</p>
2015/4373/P	Sonia Daniels	4c Kemplay Road Hampstead London NW3 1SY	14/10/2015 13:40:35	COMMNT	<p>I have the following serious concerns about this project:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Excavation to create a basement could damage the foundations of other houses in the street. On that side of the road at least one house had to be demolished some years ago. 2. The proposal is to build a house that does not visually match the neighbourhood where currently there is a row of similar houses. The neighbourhood is an attractive area, but this is now under threat. 3. Despite being a conservation area another house in the street recently developed a basement and built a structure that is not in keeping with the neighbourhood, again putting the character of a unique part of London under threat. 4. The proposal will create more building and less space, which is damaging to the environment. 5. Cutting down a tree is damaging to the environment.
2015/4373/P	Sonia Daniels	4c Kemplay Road	14/10/2015 13:39:59	COMMNT	