

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Avril Nakouzi [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 19 October 2015 10:36  
**To:** Planning; Planning  
**Subject:** Fwd: PLANNING APPLICATION 2015/3447/P

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Avril Nakouzi [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: PLANNING APPLICATION 2015/3447/P  
**Date:** 27 September 2015 15:34:00 GMT+01:00  
**To:** [planning@camden.gov.uk](mailto:planning@camden.gov.uk)  
**Cc:** Jennifer Chivers <[jennifer.chivers@camden.gov.uk](mailto:jennifer.chivers@camden.gov.uk)>

To The Planning Department,

Re: NOTIFICATION OF RECEIPT OF REVISED OR AMENDED PLANS FOR AN APPLICATION FOR PROPOSED WORKS OR DEVELOPMENT

As we have no detailed information from the applicant we can only respond on what has been submitted.

To replace a solid functional fire door (much needed in the case of the proposed business with floating debris and large machinery) with a small version of our front doors with added open grills and a duct at the top to let out or take air in.

OBJECTION

The applicant has already been told that they cannot use our private area as any aid to their extraction units. Either to take air in or let debris out. We do not want hair, dander, noise and smells in our entrance/garden/lane area.

Should their large intended machines fail in any way and together with the heavy use of the grooming parlour 7 days a week it is inevitable this will happen.

We have also been told by technical directors of 4 large extraction unit companies (names available on request) that it would be impossible to guarantee 100% efficient performance of any sort of equipment of this sort.

There will be continual seepage polluting our private area.

We are now being threatened with pollution, noise and smells at the front and the rear of the shop.

#### OTHER COMMENTS ABOUT THE APPLICATION

None of the applicants existing 3 Barkers shops are beneath or near residents so a comparison cannot be made.

It is not acceptable to conduct a dog grooming business beneath residents per se, especially as there are two whose lives would be threatened by exposure to animal dander.

This business is NOT ancillary.

IT IS A DOG GROOMING BUSINESS with retail, functioning 7 days a week, long hours and occupying 38-40% (including machinery) of the ground and only retail floor. NOT 14% as submitted by the applicants representative when applying for a Certificate of Lawfulness.

The basement is to be a staff room, an office and storage, servicing both grooming and retail.

This was misleading and we would ask this to be looked into as it seems a Certificate of Lawfulness was not appropriate in this case.

The layout plan, posted on the planning portal on the 5/08/2015 (now with added machinery, not present previously when the Certificate was granted) gives further evidence to this.

Yours sincerely,

Avril Nakouzi

14A Wentworth Mansions,  
Keats Grove,  
Hampstead,  
London NW3 2RL

