
# **Arboricultural Report**

& Impact Assessment











# **Overview**

This report presents the results of a tree survey to British Standard 5837 (2012). It is designed to accompany a planning application for development proposals at 13 Elsworthy Road. Guidance is given within the Appendices to help the reader interpret our findings. The Tree Data Schedule and all drawings are included in Appendix 6.

This section of the report provides an overview and summary of our findings. The report author will gladly assist with any queries that may arise. His contact details can be found within the footer sections throughout the report.





#### **Executive Summary.**

- The condition of all trees on site has been assessed and a Retention Category allocated for each tree. Tree locations, canopy spreads and Root Protection Areas are plotted on a Tree Constraints Plan within Appendix 6. Data specific to each tree is recorded in a Tree Data Schedule, also in Appendix 6. Photographs of the site may be viewed in Section 8.
- It is proposed to construct a new garden office towards the rear of the back garden. Sympathetic building techniques shall be utilised to ensure minimal disturbance to the roots of a nearby mulberry tree (T1).
- The proposal seeks to retain all of the vegetation surveyed.
- One tree (T1) requires minimal pruning to create an adequate clearance from the proposal.
- No new hard surfacing is proposed in any Root Protection Areas.
- Foundations are proposed within the Root Protection Area of T1. However, a highly sympathetic foundation type is proposed which will preserve the root system and rooting environment.

#### **Contact Details**

Local Authority: London Borough of Camden

LA Contact: Rav Curry

Report Author: Ivan Button (Crown Consultants Ltd). Tel. 01422 316660


#### **Tree Protection Status**

We are informed that:


- The site is within the Elsworthy Conservation Area.
- There are no TPOs affecting trees within the site though there is a protected tree adjacent to the site.

See Section 4 for further details.

#### **Recommended Works**



#### Site Location: 13 Elsworthy Road, London








Extent of the survey


Trees surveyed as individual specimens: 12 groups: 1 hedges: 0 shrubs: 0


woodlands:











Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# **Contents**

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Instruction	3
1.2.	Scope and Purpose of the Report	3
1.3.	References	3
1.4.	Drawings	3
2.	Site Overview	4
2.1.	Brief Description (Existing Layout)	 4
2.2.	Soils	4
3.	Tree Survey and Data Schedule	5
3.1.	Survey Details	
3.2.	Data Schedule	
3.3.	RPA calculation - Single Stems & Multiple Stems	
4.	Vegetation Overview (independent of proposals)	
4.1.	Preliminary Management Recommendations	
4.2.	Work Priority and Future Inspections	6
4.3.	Tree Protection Status – Site Specific	7
4.4.	Tree Protection – General Notes	7
4.5.	Species Present – Additional Information	8
5.	Arboricultural Impact Assessment	9
5.1.	Overview	9
5.2.	Tree Removal	
5.3.	Impact on Tree Canopies	9
5.4.	Impact on Tree Roots	
5.5.	Demolition Activities	
5.6.	Hazardous Materials	11
5.7.	Cabins and Site Facilities	
5.8.	Boundary Treatments	11
5.9.	Impact of Retained Trees on the Development	11
5.10.	Summary	11
5.11.	Arboricultural Method Statement	11
6.	Tree Works Schedule	12
6.1.	Tree Works Specification	12
7.	Photographs	13
8.	Signature	15
	<u> </u>	
Annoi	ndiv 4: BS 5827: 2012 - Guidanco Notos	16
	ndix 1: BS 5837: 2012 – Guidance Notes	
	ndix 2: Explanation of Tree Data & Glossary	
	ndix 3: Survey Methodology	
	ndix 4: Author's Qualifications	
Appe	ndix 5: Further Information	23
Annei	ndix 6: Tree Data Schedule and Site Plan(s)	24

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. Instruction

1.1.1. We are instructed by Xul Architecture to undertake an Arboricultural Survey at 13 Elsworthy Road and produce our findings in a report. We are also instructed to assess the likely impact of development proposals.

### 1.2. Scope and Purpose of the Report

1.2.1. This report is designed to accompany a planning application for development proposals at the above site. Its purpose is to assist and inform the planning process. It is produced according to the guidance and recommendations within BS 5837: 2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction.

#### 1.3. References

1.3.1. We have liaised with Xul Architecture throughout the writing of this report in order to attain an adequate understanding of the project to enable us to carry out an accurate assessment of the proposals.

### 1.4. Drawings

- 1.4.1. We have been supplied with a measured plan of the site with tree positions already plotted. Where applicable, additional trees have been plotted according to measurements taken on site.
- 1.4.2. The *Tree Constraints Plan* shows the existing layout. For each tree the stem location is indicated and scaled according to its diameter, the canopy is indicated according to measurements taken along the four cardinal points of the compass. Root protection areas (RPAs) are indicated which are calculated according to the guidelines within BS 5837 (2012).
- 1.4.3. The *Impact Assessment Plan* indicates the tree constraints with the proposals overlaid. Where applicable, this plan shows where works are proposed in Root Protection Areas and which trees are to be pruned or removed. This plan accompanies the Impact Assessment which is to be found in Section 5.

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# 2. Site Overview

### 2.1. Brief Description (Existing Layout)

- 2.1.1. The site co-ordinates are 51.541403° -0.163634° and the altitude is approximately 54m above sea level. (Co-ordinates may be pasted or typed into the following site: <a href="http://maps.google.co.uk/">http://maps.google.co.uk/</a> where maps, satellite imagery and street views may be accessed).
- 2.1.2. Our survey covered the area indicated in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Extent of the survey (image is not current).

- 2.1.3. The site comprises a semi-detached house with gardens to front and rear.
- 2.1.4. Our survey area encompassed all trees within the site and any trees close to it that could potentially be affected by works within the site.
- 2.1.5. The Tree Constraints Plan and Tree Data Schedule should be referred to for descriptions and locations of all trees.
- 2.1.6. Photographs of the site are included in Section 7.

#### 2.2. Soils

2.2.1. Geological maps (<a href="http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html">http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html</a> ) indicate that the underlying geology of the area is clay (London Clay) with no recorded superficial deposits. This means that soils throughout the site are likely to be susceptible to compaction and the root systems are likely to be relatively shallow.

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# 3. Tree Survey and Data Schedule

This page is largely generic. Tree officers and other persons familiar with arboricultural reports may go straight to the following section and refer to the tree data in Appendix 6.

### 3.1. Survey Details

- 3.1.1. A ground level survey was undertaken on the 25<sup>th</sup> May 2015. The survey was conducted by Ivan Button. No climbed inspections or specialist decay detection were undertaken. Only trees with a stem diameter over 75mm were included, which lie within the site boundary or relatively close to it.
- 3.1.2. Where applicable, trees with significant defects have been highlighted and appropriate remedial works have been recommended. However, this report should not be seen as a substitute for a full *Safety Survey* or *Management Plan* which are specifically designed to minimise risk and liability associated with responsibility for trees.
- 3.1.3. Wherever possible, dimensions are obtained using diameter tapes, logger's tapes, distometers and clinometers. Where obstacles prevent accurate measurement, dimensions are estimated. Trees on privately owned third party are surveyed from the best available vantage point and observations relating to the condition of these trees should be treated accordingly. All height measurements should be regarded as approximate.

#### 3.2. Data Schedule

- 3.2.1. The findings of the survey are presented in The Tree Data Schedule which is provided as a separate document as well as being appended to the end of this document within Appendix 6.
- 3.2.2. The Schedule includes scaled tree images based on measurements recorded for stem diameter, crown spread, crown height and overall height. Their purpose is to indicate, at a glance, the relative dimensions of each tree.
- 3.2.3. A definition of the Retention Categories can be found in Appendix 1. All other terms used within the Tree Data Schedule are defined and explained in Appendix 3.

### 3.3. RPA calculation - Single Stems & Multiple Stems

3.3.1. For single stemmed trees, the RPA is calculated according to the following formula:

RPA radius = 12 x stem diameter (measures at 1.5m above ground level)

- 3.3.2. Where a tree has more than one stem, the equivalent-single-stem diameter is usually recorded. This is calculated by adding the squares of the stems and then finding the square root of this total. The radius of the Root Protection Area is then calculated by multiplying the equivalent-stem-diameter by 12.
- 3.3.3. Occasionally this method is not appropriate (e.g. for coppiced specimens where there are numerous stems). In such cases the diameter at ground level may be recorded or a stem diameter which would provide a suitable Root Protection Area calculation. The form of the tree is recorded in the notes section.

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# 4. Vegetation Overview (independent of proposals)

This section summarises all the recommendations within the Tree Data Schedule regardless of whether trees are to be retained, felled or pruned to facilitate the proposed development. It does not specify works that may be required to facilitate the development proposals. The protection status of the trees is also reported in this section.

### 4.1. Preliminary Management Recommendations

- 4.1.1. The following recommendations are made in order to maintain the trees in an acceptable condition:
- 4.1.2. The purple beech, T9, requires further inspection with specialist decay detection equipment in order to accurately assess the extent of decay present at approximately 3m above ground level.
- 4.1.3. All other trees were deemed to be in an acceptable condition.

### 4.2. Work Priority and Future Inspections

4.2.1. The table below suggests a schedule for completing the works recommended in the Tree Data Schedule based on the perceived risk:

Work Priority	Definition	Tree Number
Urgent	As soon as possible	None
Very High	Within 1 Month	None
High	Within 3 Months	T9
Moderate	Within 1 year	None
Low	Within 3 years	None

4.2.2. The table below suggests a schedule of future inspections based on the condition and location of each tree:

Inspection	Tree Number
Frequency	
(years)	
0.5	Т9
1	None
1.5	None
3	T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, G8, T10, T11, T12, T13

4.2.3. The trees should be inspected sooner if there is a noticeable decline in their condition, or following extreme weather events.

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

### 4.3. Tree Protection Status – Site Specific

- 4.3.1. On 23<sup>rd</sup> May 2015, we were informed, by Rav Curry of London Borough of Camden that:
  - The site is within the Elsworthy Conservation Area.
  - There are no tree preservation orders affecting trees within the site.
  - There is a tree preservation order affecting a beech tree within the front garden of number eleven. We understand this to be the purple beech, T9.

#### 4.4. Tree Protection – General Notes

- 4.4.1. Before undertaking works to trees protected by a tree preservation order, consent needs to be obtained from the local authority which will provide application forms and advice to potential applicants. The removal of dead wood is exempt.
- 4.4.2. Where the works are proposed for reasons of safety or ill health, a report from a suitably qualified arborist will usually be required. Trees that are dead, dangerous or dying are technically exempt from protection, though it would be prudent to give the local authority 5 days' notice of intention and take photographs before undertaking works without prior consent being granted. Unauthorised works to protected trees may result in a criminal prosecution and a large fine (unlimited).
- 4.4.3. Where trees are located in a conservation area, works are not permitted without first giving the local authority 6 weeks' notice of intention. During this time the local authority may elect to create a tree preservation order or to inform the applicant that they have no objection to the proposed works. If the local authority does not respond within 6 weeks, then the intended work may be undertaken. Note: the local authority cannot refuse consent for works to trees within a conservation area; they may only create a tree preservation order if they wish to have further control over what works are undertaken.
- 4.4.4. Where planning permission is granted and tree works have been approved as part of the planning consent, no further application is required in respect of protected trees and no further notice is required in respect of trees within a conservation area.

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# 4.5. Species Present – Additional Information

4.5.1. The table below contains general information about the tree *species* (rather than the actual tree *specimens*) included in the survey. Its purpose is to assist readers who are unfamiliar with the characteristics of the various species.

Species	Typical Height at Maturity (m)	Typical Canopy Spread at Maturity (m)	General Notes
Apple	6	8	Deciduous tree native across Europe and W. Asia. Hundreds of cultivars available due to its popular fruit. Flowers white, pink or red in spring. Some species will self pollinate. Most species have a relatively untidy habit. Older specimens are susceptible to a variety of rusts, moulds and cankers. Excellent habitat tree.  Visit <a href="http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Malus+domestica">http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Malus+domestica</a> for more info.
Cherry	8	10	Many cultivars available, bred for their abundance of spring flowers, edible cherries or ornamental bark (e.g. Tibetan Cherry). Usually white or pink flowering, often in very early spring. Usually with a single bole to around 2.5m and multi-stemmed thereafter. Most varieties have excellent autumn colour.
Lime	25	12	Very common street tree. Several species exist; the one most often found in woods is 'common lime' which produces a mass of suckers at the stem base, making it very cheap to propagate. Limes have non-symmetrical heart shaped leaves which are much loved by aphids (hence the sticky honeydew on cars parked beneath). Limes are tolerant of heavy pruning and are often managed as pollards. Old limes tend to support a lot of small dead branches.  Visit <a href="http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Tilia+x+europaea">http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Tilia+x+europaea</a> for more info.
London Plane	30	20	Deciduous tree arisen in cultivation probably as a cross between the Oriental Plane and the American Buttonwood. Has attractive bark which peels off in small plates leaving a multiple-coloured flecked pattern. Very common as a street tree, especially throughout London where it dominates the streetscape. Often managed as a pollard in order to constrain its large size to more manageable proportions, especially where there are clay soils and adjacent buildings. Somewhat susceptible to the decay fungus Innonotus hispidus. Visit <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Platanus">http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Platanus</a> for more info.
Magnolia	7	8	Small tree or large shrub, favoured for its large, ornamental flowers. About 80 species and numerous cultivars are available, both deciduous and evergreen. Leaves always untoothed and sometimes very large. Large silky flower buds and berries dangling from unusual 'knobbly cucumber' fruits.
Mulberry	6	8	Small fruit tree of uncertain origin, common throughout folklore which suggests its prevalence in England in former times. Often leaning with older specimens gnarled and collapsing. Produces delicious but tart raspberry like fruits. The fruits soon deteriorate so it is not suitable for planting over a pathway due to the mess beneath the canopy in late summer.  Visit <a href="http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Morus+nigra">http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Morus+nigra</a> for more info.
Oak	22	18	Deciduous, long lived tree native and common throughout Europe with very durable timber. Excellent habitat tree - provides food and shelter for thousands of native species. Can be very attractive as a mature open grown specimen though not particularly ornamental, having no autumn colour or showy flowers. Responds well to pruning.  Visit <a href="http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Quercus+robur">http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Quercus+robur</a> for more info.
Purple Beech	27	20	Purple variety of the common beech, also called Copper Beech. A majestic tree with grey bark and purple leaves. The best forms are grafted though this species does occasionally appear in the wild.  Visit <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fagus_sylvatica">http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fagus_sylvatica</a> for more info.

4.5.2. The figures quoted regarding typical height and canopy spread should be treated as approximate. Actual heights and spreads vary according to several environmental factors such as soil conditions, climate and presence of competing vegetation. The figures quoted are not the maximum dimensions that the species may attain.

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# 5. Arboricultural Impact Assessment

#### 5.1. Overview

5.1.1. It is proposed to construct a new garden office towards the rear of the back garden. Sympathetic building techniques shall be utilised to ensure minimal disturbance to the roots of a nearby mulberry tree (T1). Narrow pile foundations shall support an above-ground raft foundation with rainwater diverted to a ventilated void beneath. Only very light pruning is proposed to one side of the canopy to enable the build. The existing contours are to be maintained throughout most of the Root Protection Area with the new steps largely, following the route of the existing steps.

5.1.1. The table below summarises the potential impact on trees due to various activities.

Activity	Trees Potentially Affected
Tree Removal: Retention Category A	None
Tree Removal: Retention Category B	None
Tree Removal: Retention Category C	None
Tree Removal: Retention Category U	None
Tree Pruning	T1
RPA: Foundations	T1
RPA: New Surface	None
RPA: Underground Services	None Anticipated
RPA: Change of Ground Levels	T1 (minor raising of levels over small part of RPA)
RPA: Soil Compaction	All trees throughout the site (preventable by installing tree protection measures)

5.1.2. Other potentially damaging activities often associated with construction sites include demolition or the careless use of plant machinery, hazardous materials, or fires.

#### 5.2. Tree Removal

5.2.1. All trees within the site are to be retained.

# 5.3. Impact on Tree Canopies

- 5.3.1. The proposed garden room shall be a single storey structure standing 3.1m tall at a distance of 1.3m from the stem centre (this allows for a 10mm ventilated void beneath the raft foundation). The form of the mulberry tree is such that the lowest branches growing towards the rear boundary shall mostly pass over the roof of the proposed structure and only one or two small branches shall need to be removed along with low hanging foliage. Photograph 3 shows the form of the tree with the left-hand-side stem being that one closest to the new garden office.
- 5.3.2. All other tree canopies shall be unaffected by the proposals.

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

### 5.4. Impact on Tree Roots

#### 5.4.1. **Foundations:**

- 5.4.2. The garden office shall be a predominantly timber construction with a narrow piled foundation. The piles shall have a maximum diameter of 100mm and shall be installed into the ground using a small pile driver suitable for use beneath ceilings and tree canopies. Exploratory holes should first be excavated in the presence of the appointed arborist in order to determine the optimal locations for the piles with minimal impact on roots
- 5.4.3. The piles shall support a small raft which shall be raised above the existing ground levels, resulting in a ventilated void beneath. By diverting rainwater from the roof into this void, it shall be possible to maintain the existing fertile conditions throughout the Root Protection Area. The root system of the mulberry shall have continued access to water and gaseous exchange.
- 5.4.4. In effect, the new garden room shall be an entirely above-ground-structure supported on short 'stilts'.
- 5.4.5. **New Surfaces:**
- 5.4.6. No new surfaces are proposed within the Root Protection Areas of any trees.
- 5.4.7. Underground Services:
- 5.4.8. The Impact Assessment Plan indicates a potential route for underground services which only passes through a small portion of the outer part of the RPA of the mulberry. So long as the services are installed in this location, there shall be no significant detrimental impact on any trees.
- 5.4.9. Changes in Ground Levels:
- The only changes to ground levels within the rooting area shall be due to the removal of the existing log-edging that currently retains the mound of earth on which the mulberry grows. This shall be removed and replaced with granular, fertile soil sloping up from the lower garden level to the level of the mound at the top of the log edging. So long as the amount off new soil imported is kept to the minimum required, and is granular, there shall be no detrimental impact on the rooting environment. The use of a fertile soil shall provide additional rooting volume.
- 5.4.11. The new steps shall be positioned in a similar location to the existing steps and shall follow the existing contours. These should be formed from a timber construction which requires no foundations within 1m of the base of the mulberry tree. Alternatively paviours or railway sleepers may be installed directly onto the ground so long as no excavation of existing levels is required. We recommend that an appointed arborist oversees the construction of the steps and that a methodology is agreed with the local authority prior to commencement.
- 5.4.12. No reduction in ground levels is proposed throughout any part of the Root Protection Area.
- 5.4.13. **Soil Compaction:**
- 5.4.14. The majority of tree roots lie within the upper soil horizons. This is because the availability of oxygen decreases with depth and roots need to breathe to stay alive. In addition, nutrients are more readily available in the form of organic matter close to the soil surface.



Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

5.4.15. Healthy soils contain about 25% air space between solid particles. Increased loading of the soils caused by construction activity causes air to be squeezed out as the soil becomes compacted preventing roots from breathing. Even an increase in pedestrian activity may cause some soil compaction.

5.4.16. It is important therefore that ground compaction and soil disturbance over Root Protection Areas should be avoided during the construction phase. This may be done by installing protective fencing and ground protection measures as recommended within BS 5837 (2012). The exact specification of protection measures should be specified in an Arboricultural Method Statement so that it may be agreed and approved by the local authority.

# 5.5. Demolition Activities

5.5.1. There are no structures that require demolition or significant surfaces that require removal close to trees.

#### 5.6. Hazardous Materials

5.6.1. All hazardous materials (including cement and petrochemical products) will need to be controlled according to COSHH regulations in order to ensure there is no detrimental impact on tree health. Provision shall need to be made to ensure that cement and cement run-off are contained outside of all Root Protection Areas.

#### 5.7. Cabins and Site Facilities

5.7.1. There is ample room for the siting of cabins and storage of materials / spoil during the construction phase without impacting on trees.

# 5.8. Boundary Treatments

5.8.1. No changes are proposed to the existing boundary features.

### 5.9. Impact of Retained Trees on the Development

- 5.9.1. The outbuilding is not considered to be a living space so the shade cast by the trees is not considered to be relevant from a planning perspective.
- 5.9.2. The fruit from the mulberry is quite dark, soft and staining so shall need to be periodically cleaned up during the late summer months. An access ladder is recommended so that the roof may be easily kept clean.

#### 5.10. Summary

- 5.10.1. The proposal seeks to retain all of the vegetation surveyed.
- 5.10.2. One tree (T1) requires minimal pruning to create an adequate clearance from the proposal.
- 5.10.3. No new hard surfacing is proposed in any Root Protection Areas.
- 5.10.4. Foundations are proposed within the Root Protection Area of T1. However, a highly sympathetic foundation type is proposed which will preserve the root system and rooting environment.

#### 5.11. Arboricultural Method Statement

5.11.1. BS 5837 recommends that a detailed methodology is agreed in the form of an Arboricultural Method Statement which shall ensure that trees are well protected during the construction phase. This should detail all tree protection measures and limitations on construction activity. All of the issues raised within this Impact Assessment should be covered by the Method Statement.

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# 6. Tree Works Schedule

### 6.1. Tree Works Specification

6.1.1. The following table specifies the tree works which will be required prior to the commencement of construction activity:

Tree Reference	Action Required	Notes
Tı	Prune lowest branches to create a clearance distance of 0.5m from the proposed garden office	Branches to be pruned back to a secondary branch junction or the branch collar wherever possible.  Pruning to be kept to a minimum to achieve the desired clearance of 0.5m.

- 6.1.2. **Pruning Standards:** Sympathetic pruning shall be carried out to BS 3998 (2010). Lopping of branches is to be avoided. Instead as system of 'drop crotching' or 'reduction via thinning' is to be used to achieve the desired clearance without spoiling the appearance, or form, of the trees. All pruning cuts shall be made close to the branch collar or a secondary growth point. Cuts to be made with sharp, clean tools. No wound sealants to be used.
- 6.1.3. Additional works: Any recommendations specified in the Tree Data Schedule (but not replicated in the above table) are intended to maintain the tree population in an acceptable condition. They are made for reasons of good arboricultural practice regardless of development proposals. However, they do not form part of this planning application. Where these trees are protected by a tree preservation order or are in a conservation area, consent must be sought from the local authority. Only the works listed in the table above form part of this planning application whereby no additional consent will be required if planning permission is granted.

PDF readers select page-width for detail & page-view for scrolling Arboricultural Report to BS 5837: 2012 for: Xu

Xul Architecture

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015 Author: Ivan Button Date:

#### **Photographs** 7.

Refer to the Tree Constraints Plan for photo locations





Photo 2.



Photo 3.



Photo 4.



Photo 5.



Photo 6.



PDF readers select page-width for detail & page-view for scrolling Arboricultural Report to BS 5837: 2012 for: Xu

Xul Architecture

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Ivan Button 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015 Author: Date:

Photo 7.



Photo 8.



Photo 9.



Photo 10.



Photo 11.



Photo 12.



PDF readers select page-width for detail & page-view for scrolling

Arboricultural Report to BS 5837: 2012 for: Xul Architecture

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# 8. Signature

This report represents a true and factual account of the trees and potential impact of development at

13 Elsworthy Road London NW3 3DS

Signed

Ivan Button N.C.H. (Arb), FDSc (Arb), BSc (Hons), P.G.C.E., M. Arbor. A.

on behalf of

# **Crown Consultants Ltd**

**Dated** 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015



Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# Appendix 1: BS 5837: 2012 – Guidance Notes

This Standard prescribes the principles to be applied to achieve a satisfactory juxtaposition of trees and structures. It sets out to assist those concerned with trees in relation to design, demolition and construction to form balanced judgements.

It acknowledges the positive contribution trees may offer to a site, as well as the negative aspects of retaining inappropriate trees. It addresses the negative impacts that construction activity may have upon trees and offers mitigation strategies to minimise these impacts.

The Standard suggests a three stage approach to ensure best practice is followed when developing close to trees:

### A1.1 Stage 1: Survey of Existing Trees

This identifies the existing trees on and adjacent to the site. Data is recorded for each tree and is presented in a Tree Data Schedule. Each tree is allocated a **Retention Category** according to its size, amenity value, condition and safe useful life expectancy. The categories are allocated independently of development proposals. Our interpretation of the Retention Categories is explained below:

#### A1.1.1 Retention Categories

**A Category:** Trees of high quality and amenity value. Usually, mature trees with a significant life expectancy which would enhance any development. Retention of these trees is strongly encouraged.

**B Category:** Trees of moderate quality and amenity value. Usually these are maturing trees or younger trees with exceptional form. Retention of these trees is desirable though the removal of occasional specimens may be acceptable.

**C Category:** Trees of low quality or small specimens with a relatively low amenity value. These trees are not considered to be a material planning constraint and their removal will generally be seen as acceptable in order to facilitate development.

**U Category:** Trees of such low quality that their removal is recommended regardless of development proposals.

A1.1.2 Occasionally trees are borderline and do not fall neatly into one of the categories A, B or C. In such cases we apply a superscript (+/-) such that:

**C**<sup>+</sup> Indicates borderline C/B, though Category C is deemed to be most appropriate.

**B** Indicates borderline C/B, though Category B is deemed to be most appropriate.

A1.1.3 The British Standard suggests that each of the A, B and C categories may be further subdivided (A1, A2, A3, B1, B2, B3 etc) such that subcategory 1 denotes mainly arboricultural values, subcategory 2 denotes mainly landscape values and subcategory 3 denotes mainly cultural values (including conservation). Multiple subcategories may be used.

Our experience suggests that these subdivisions lack clarity and can be confusing. Within this report subcategories are **not** denoted. Where appropriate, the use of phrases such as 'Part of a formal group', or 'Has a high ecological value', or 'Offers good screening to the site' are incorporated into the observation section of the Tree Data Schedule. We believe this conveys all relevant landscape and cultural information without any confusion.

A1.1.4 **Tree Constraints Plan (TCP).** This indicates the position, crown spread, Retention Category and Root Protection Area of each tree. It is used to inform where development may proceed without causing damage to trees.

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

A1.1.5 **Root Protection Area (RPA).** This is the area around each tree likely to contain the majority of roots. It should ideally remain undisturbed to avoid a detrimental impact on tree health. For single stemmed trees It is calculated according to the formula "radius of RPA" = "12 x stem diameter". For multiple-stemmed trees a more complex formula is used which may occasionally produce an RPA which seems inappropriately large relative to the trees canopy. This shape can then be modified to take into account site factors which influence rooting activity, e.g. foundations, soil type or impermeable surfaces. Where development works are proposed within the RPA they should be undertaken in a sympathetic manner to minimise root disturbance.

A1.1.5 **Shade Constraints.** The previous Standard (BS 5837 2005) suggested that shade constraints should be indicated on the TCP. This are denoted as a circle-segment drawn northwest to due east with a radius equal to the height of the tree. These do not represent the actual shade pattern which varies through the seasons. Rather, they indicate the area most shaded by the tree throughout the course of the year. Ideally habitable room windows should be located outside of these shade constraints. Where we consider it appropriate, we will include shade constraints information on our Impact Assessment Plan or Proposed Layout Plan.

### A1.2 Stage 2: Arboricultural Impact Assessment

After the initial survey and the production of the Tree Constraints Plan, arborists and designers are encouraged to work together to establish a design proposal with minimal impact on the high quality trees. An assessment should be made of all possible impacts including the impact that the trees may have upon the proposal. The arborist may recommend mitigation strategies to minimise these impacts and help achieve a more harmonious juxtaposition between buildings and trees.

# A1.3 Stage 3: Arboricultural Method Statement

This type of report specifies the measures necessary to protect trees against damage from construction activity. The Method Statement should be written in a manner that it may be conditioned and enforced by the local authority upon granting of planning permission. The site manager should be familiar with all aspects of the Method Statement and should ensure that all persons working on the site are aware of those aspects which appertain to their work. This includes service installation engineers and operators of plant machinery.

13 Elsworthy Road, London Crown Ref: 09320A

3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015 Author: Ivan Button Date:

# **Appendix 2: Explanation of Tree Data & Glossary**

This section explains the terms used in the Tree Data Schedule (see Section 3 and Appendix 6).

#### **General Observations** A4.1

A4.1.1 Numbering System: Each item of vegetation has its own unique number prefixed by a letter such that T1=Tree 1, G2=Group 2, H3=Hedge 3 and

W4=Woodland 4, S5=Shrub 5.

A4.1.2 Age Categories:

> Young Usually less than 10 years old.

Semi-Mature Significant future growth to be expected, both in height and crown spread (typically below 30% of life expectancy). Early-Mature Full height almost attained. Significant growth may be expected in terms of crown spread (typically 30-60% of life expectancy). Full height attained. Crown spread will increase but growth increments will be slight (typically 60% or more of life expectancy). Veteran

A level of maturity whereby significant management may be required in order to keep the tree in a safe condition. As for veteran except management is not considered worthwhile. Over Mature

Common names and Latin names are given. Species: A4.1.3

Height: Measured from ground level to the top of the crown.

A4.1.5 Stem Diameter: Taken at 1.5m above ground level where possible. On multi-stemmed trees this measurement may be taken at ground level,

though usually an indication of the number of stems and average diameter is given, e.g. 3 x 30cm

A4.1.6 Crown Height: Measured from ground level to the height at which the main crown begins. Where the crown is unbalanced it is measured on the side deemed to be most relevant. This is usually the side facing the area of anticipated development.

A4.1.7 Tree Diagram: This scaled drawing is computer generated based on measurements taken for stem diameter, crown height and spread, and

overall height. It is designed to help the reader rapidly assess the data. It is not an accurate representation of the form of the

Crown Spread: Measured N, E, S & W, taken from the centre of the stem and usually rounded up to the nearest metre.

If a tree's position is considered to be relevant it will be commented upon (e.g. overhanging a children's play area). Tree form A4.1.9 Observations:

and pruning history are also recorded along with an account of any significant defects. Defects and descriptive terms are dealt with in more detail at the end of this section.

Recommendations: A4.1.10 Usually based on any defects observed and intended to ensure that the tree is in an acceptable condition.

Priority Scale: Depending upon the threat posed by the tree, and the likelihood of failure, recommendations should be carried out according to A4.1.11

the following priority scale:

Urgent To be carried out as soon as possible. Very High . To be carried out within 1 month. High Moderate To be carried out within 3 months To be carried out within 1 year. To be carried out within 3 years

A4.1.12 Inspection Frequency: An interval of 6 months, 1 year, 1.5 years or 3 years is allocated before the next inspection is due. Wherever practical,

consideration should be given to seasonal changes so that deciduous trees are not always surveyed in winter when they have no

leaves, or in summer when leaves may obscure branches within the upper crown.

An indication of growth rate and the tree's ability to cope with stresses: Vigour: A4.1.13

> High Having above average vigour. Moderate Having average vigour. Iow Having below average vigour.

Very Low Tree is struggling to survive and may be dying.

Physiological Condition: A4.1.14

> Good Healthy and with no symptoms of significant disease.

Fair Disease present or vigour is impaired

Significant disease present or vigour is extremely low. Poor

Very Poor Tree is dying.

Structural Condition: A4.1.15

Good Having no significant structural defects.

Some defects observed though no high priority works are required. Poor Significant defects found. Tree requires monitoring or remedial works.

Very Poor Major defects which will usually require significant remedial works or tree removal.

A4.1.16 **Amenity Value:** 

Very High Exceptional specimen, observable by a large number of people. Attractive specimen, observable by a significant number of people. High

One of the above factors is not applicable Moderate Low Unattractive specimen or largely hidden from view.

Life Expectancy: The estimated number of years before the tree may require removal. Classified as (<10), (10-20), (20-40), or (40+). A4.1.17

A4.1.18 Retention Category: These are explained in detail in Appendix 1.

#### A4.2 **Evaluation of Defects**

A4.2.1 Cavities, wounds, deadwood etc are all evaluated as follows:

Such that structural integrity is, or will become, compromised and the tree is, or will inevitably become, hazardous. Maior

Significant A defect that may over time become a major defect, though not necessarily so. This will depend on the vigour of the tree and its

ability to deal with decay etc.

Minor A defect that is not likely to compromise the tree's structural integrity.

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# **General Glossary**

Adaptive growth	In tree biomechanics, the process whereby wood formation is influenced both in quantity and quality by the action of gravitational forces and mechanical stresses on the cambial zone.
Aerobic	Conditions in which oxygen is freely available, or to biomechanical processes that depend on the presence of oxygen.
Anaerobic	A condition marked by the absence of oxygen; Generally such areas are unsuitable for normal life and growth of plant tissues.
Arboriculture	These sites tend to be populated by bacteria capable of surviving low oxygen conditions often associated with Slime Flux.
Arborist	The culture and management of trees as groups and individuals primarily for amenity and other non-forestry purposes.  A person possessing the technical competence through experience and related training to provide management of trees or
Alborist	other woody plants in a landscape setting. Generally involved with the development or management of trees for visual amenity or land management rather than the growth of trees for product or profit.
Barrier zone	A layer within an annual increment of wood which contains abnormal xylem cells, laid down by the cambium in response to wounding or other trauma.
Body language	In trees, the outward display of growth responses and or deformation in response to mechanical stress.
Bole	Or Trunk, the main stem of a tree below its first major branch.
Bracket	A type of fruiting body produced by various fungal species, plate like to hoof like in shape and often a one sided attachment to the wood or bark.
Branch bark ridge	A ridged area located at the union of a branch to a trunk or stem.
Branch Collar	Trunk tissue that forms around the base of a branch between the main stem and the branch, or between a main branch and a lateral branch. As a branch decreases in vigour or begins to die, the collar usually becomes more pronounced and completely encircles the branch.
Brown Rot	Form of decay where cellulose is degraded, while lignin is only modified.
Buttress Root	Roots that emerge from the base of the tree stem, normally large and well developed that rapidly reduce in diameter to create
	the Root Plate this offers structural support for the tree. Buttress roots divide rapidly forming the connection between the stem and the transport roots.
Cabling Bracing	Installing cables within the crown of a tree to prevent collapse.
Callus	Undifferentiated cells often formed at the edges of recent injuries. This tissue quickly becomes differentiated, forming cells of the type characteristic of that position on the tree (e.g. forming wood, bark, roots, etc.) see wound response tissue.
Cambium	A thin layer of actively growing and dividing cells, located between the xylem (sapwood) and bark of a plant; the part responsible for radial growth of a tree stem or branch.
Canopy	The topmost layer of twigs and foliage in a woodland, tree or group of trees.
Canker	A localised area of dead bark and cambium on a stem or branch, caused by fungal or bacterial organisms, characterised by woundwood development on the periphery. This may be annual or perennial.
Cavity	An open and exposed area of wood, where the bark is missing and internal wood has been decayed and dissolved.
Chlorotic	Also Chlorosis. A condition of the plant marked by yellowing of normally green foliage, often indicating nutrient deficiency or plant dysfunction.
Clinometer	Devices that measures vertical angles, and provides direct height measurements of objects by triangulation.
Co-dominant	Are forked branches or trunks of nearly the same size in diameter and lacking a normal branch union.
stems/trunk	
Compacted soils	Soils in which the air-space (oxygen space) has been reduced or eliminated, reducing water infiltration and percolation, reducing root presence and inhibiting new root development.
Compartmentalisati on	The physiological process that creates the chemical and mechanical boundaries that act to limit the spread of disease and decay organisms.
Compression	Localized buckling of fibres and other longitudinal elements produced by compression of wood along the grain; compression
Failure	failures sometimes develop in standing trees.
Compression	The ability of a material or structure to resist failure when subjected to compressive loading; measurable in trees using special
Strength Compression Wood	drilling devices  Abnormal wood formed on the lower side of branches and curved stems, with physical properties different from normal wood.
Conservation Area	In Great Britain, designated areas of architectural or historical interest, in which there are special procedures for planning applications. Additionally tree works cannot generally be undertaken without prior notification (Currently 6 weeks) to the
Core Sample	relevant local planning authority. See also Tree Preservation Orders.  A sample of wood extracted from a trunk or branch, using an increment borer tool. The resulting core can be analysed for characteristics of growth, wood strength, structure, decay, and for species identification.
Crotch	The union of two or more branches; the auxiliary zone between branches.
Crown	The upper canopy of a tree, including upper trunk, scaffold branches, secondary branches, stems and leaves.
Crown lifting / raising	Crown Lift The removal of the lowest branches, usually to a given height. It allows more residual light and greater clearance underneath for vehicles etc.
Crown reduction	The reduction of a tree's height or spread while preserving its natural shape.
Crown thinning	The removal of some of the density of a tree's crown, usually 5-25% allowing more light through its canopy and reducing wind resistance.
Deadwood (noun)	Deadwood is often present within the crown or on the stems of trees. It may be an indication of ill health, however, it may also indicate natural growth processes. If a target is present beneath the tree, deadwood may fall and cause injury or damage and should be removed, otherwise deadwood can remain intact for conservation purposes (insects, fungi, birds etc.).
Deadwood (verb)	The removal of dead branches from a tree's canopy, usually of a specified size (in diameter).
Decay Decay	Progressive deterioration of organic tissues, usually caused by fungal or bacterial organisms, resulting in loss of cell structure, strength, and function. In wood, the loss of structural strength.
Decay Detection	The assessment of decay within a tree has been traditionally difficult, but recent advances have made it possible to achieve accurate representations of the internal section of a tree in both 2D and 3D, removing doubt over the condition of the tree and
	allowing accurate management decisions.
Defect	In relation to tree hazards, any feature of a tree which detracts from the uniform distribution of mechanical stress, or which makes the tree mechanically unsuited to its environment.
Defoliation	
Delollation	The losing of plants foliage.

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

	extreme cases can result in Stag Heading.
Dripline	A projected line on the ground that corresponds to the spread of branches in the canopy; the farthest spread of branches.
Epicormic shoots	Fast growing, weakly attached shoots/branches that often grow as a response to stress factors upon a tree or branch removal.
Failure	In connection with tree hazards, a partial or total fracture within the wood tissue or loss of cohesion between roots and soil. (In
	total failure affected parts will snap or tear away completely, Partial failure there is a crack or deformation, which results in an altered distribution of mechanical stress.
Feeder Roots	Fine fibrous Water and nutrient absorbing roots located in the outer root system.
Flush-Cut	In trees and shrubs, a pruning cut close to the parent stem, which removes the branch bark ridge.
Foliage	The live leaves or needles of the tree; the plant part primarily responsible for photosynthesis.
Formative pruning	The trimming of a tree to remove weaknesses and irregularities which may lead to problems. The formative pruning operation is aimed at reducing the
Gall	<ul> <li>potential for future weaknesses or problems within the tree's crown.</li> <li>An abnormal, disorganized growth of plant tissues, caused by parasitic or infectious organisms such as insects, fungi, bacteria,</li> </ul>
Girdling	or viruses.  In woody plants, any form of damage that destroys the bark and / or the Cambium all the way around the stem, branch or root, normally resulting in death of the damaged section.
Girdling Root	In woody plants, a root that grows across the buttress, or across other roots, eventually causing constriction of the radial growth.
Growth Increment	The incremental growth added as new annual ring develops each season over existing wood. This is seen as (growth) rings in cross-sections of wood.
Hazard beam	An upwardly curved branch in which strong internal stresses may occur without the compensatory formation of extra wood (longitudinal splitting may occur in some cases).
Heartwood	Inner non functioning tissues that provide structural support to trunk.
Heave	In relation to shrinkable clay soils, expansion due to rewetting of a volume of soil previously subjected to the removal or water by plant / trees following felling or root severance. Also in relation to root growth, the lifting of pavements and other structures by radial expansion. Also in relation to tree stability, the lifting of one side of a wind rocked root plate.
Herbicide	A chemical compound that causes the death of a plant.
Included Bark	Bark that becomes embedded in a crotch between branch and trunk or between co-dominant stems, usually found in narrow or
Increment Perer	tight crotches, and causes a weak structure.  A tool that cuts and extracts a parrow cylinder of wood from a tree for analysis of the wood tissue and growth increments.
Increment Borer Leader	A tool that cuts and extracts a narrow cylinder of wood from a tree for analysis of the wood tissue and growth increments.  The primary terminal shoot or trunk of a tree.
Limb	A large lateral branch growing from the main trunk or from another larger branch.
Lion Tailing	Often the result of poor pruning practices; the main leader or branches are largely devoid of side branches, growth is restricted to the end of branches and is likely to suffer damage through end loading.
Lopping	In trees, a general term that related to the removal of branches from a tree.
Monitoring	Due to the relative life span of trees in relation to our own, long-term monitoring provides a valuable insight to the health of trees, identifying decline and or stabilisation and or improvement.
Mulch	A material laid over the root system of a tree to help conserve moisture within the soil. Additionally it may help control the development of weeds close to the tree.
Mycelium	_ A mass of growing filaments (hyphae) formed by fungi.
Mycorrhizae	The symbiotic relationship between roots and certain beneficial fungi. Mycorrhizae are the combined root / fungal growth.
Occluding tissue	The general tern of wood, cambium and bark that develop around the site of a wound on a woody plant
Pathogen Phloem	A microorganism that causes diseases within another organism.  The principle conductive tissue that the products of Photosynthesis are transported around the plant
Photosynthesis	The principle conductive tissue that the products of Photosynthesis are transported about the plant.  The process were light energy is used to create energy (Carbohydrate) for use within the plant.
Pollard	A term for a pollarded tree.
Pollard head	The swollen section of branch / stem that forms behind the pollarding cut.
Pollarding	The complete or partial removal of the crown of a young tree so as to encourage the development of numerous branches either for amenity or historically as fodder, repeated management is required cyclically to maintain the feature
Prune or Pruning	Selective removal of woody plant parts of any size, using saws, Loppers, Secateurs, or other pruning tools.
Reaction Wood	Wood with distinctive anatomical characteristics, formed in parts of leaning or crooked stems and in branches to provide additional strength / support. In hardwoods, tension wood usually forms. In conifers, compression wood is usually found.
Reaction Zone	A zone normally darker than surrounding wood that denoted the boundary often a defensive one between functional sapwood and dysfunctional or decaying wood.
Re-grading	The raising or lowering of a soil profile from its original grade.
Remedial pruning	The removal of old stubs, deadwood, epicormic growth, rubbing or crossing branches and other unwanted items from the tree's crown.
Resistograph	Invasive decay detection technique whereby the resistance offered by the timber to a spinning probe is measured and plotted.
Rib	In tree body language, a long narrow, axial protuberance which often over lays a crack.
Ring Barking	Artificial Girdling of the stem, to result in the death of a tree. May be used in habitat creation were the retention of dead standing trees is required.
Rod Bracing /	Traditionally, this has relied upon the Installation of steel rods or bolts through the stems or limbs, to reduce twisting or
Bolting	splitting of the wood. The installation of such features does require legal interpretation.
Root Barriers	Both Buildings and services can benefit from the installation of root barriers to protect a soil volume from the ingress of roots.
Root Collar	The basal area of the tree; transition zone from trunk to root. Also sometimes called trunk flare.
Root Plate	The primary support area for the tree; an area of the root system close to the base that structurally anchors the tree to the soil.
Root Rot	Either a general term for decay within the wood of the lower stem / buttress roots, or a disease in which the fine roots are killed.
Root System	The portion of the tree containing the root organs, including buttress roots, transport roots, and fine absorbing roots; all underground parts of the tree.
Root Zone	The area and volume of soil around the tree in which roots are expected. May extend to three or more times the branch spread of the tree, or several times the height of the tree.
Sail Area	That area or the tree subjected to wind load.



Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015 Author: Ivan Button

Sapwood	Xylem wood tissue, usually light in colour, representing the outer growth rings of the wood. Usually living, reactive wood tiss in a healthy tree. See heartwood
Scaffold limbs / scaffold Branches	The branches that from the main network framework of the crown of a tree.
Senescent	A decline in growth and vigour due to age or stress factors.
Shrub	A woody plat that branches at or close to the ground level and so does not have a single stem.
Slime Flux	Relating to a toxic condition from the spreading of bacteria or their products from a source of infection; characterized by malodorous gases, or salt deposits upon the bark. If these products enter the sap stream, localised vessel necrosis can result, usually associated with anaerobic conditions.
Soft Rot	A kind of wood decay, were a fungi degrades cellulose within the cell wall, without causing overall degradation.
Soil Compaction	The compression of soil, causing a reduction of pore space and an increase in the density of the soil. Air is squeezed out and nutrients become locked. Tree roots cannot grow in compacted soil.
Sonic Decay	Non invasive method whereby sound waves are passed through the tree and the speed is measured. Slow speeds indicate dec
Detection	and a tomography picture representing the inner stem is produced.
Stag Heading	In a tree, a state of dieback were dead branches protrude beyond the current living crown.
Stress	In plant physiology, conditions were one or more physiological functions Are not working within normal parameters.
Stump Grinding	The removal of a tree stump using a specialist grinding machine.
Subsidence	In relation to vegetation, the removal of water by plant growth resulting in localised shrinkage in the soil volume.
Sucker	Same as sprout.
Suppressed	Trees which are dominated by surrounding vegetation and whose crown development is restricted from above.
Systemic	Affecting the whole plant or organism. A systemic compound is carried throughout the entire plant to all parts through the vascular system.
Target	Any person or object within reach of a falling tree or part of a tree that may be injured or damaged.
Target Pruning	The pruning of a branch were the wound affects only branch material, often result in a target shaped wound.
Tension Wood	Reaction wood typically formed on the upper side of limbs or curved stems; characterized by lack of cell wall lignifications (higher ratios of cellulose to lignin).
Tight Union / Tight Crotch	Also, narrow crotch. A crotch with a narrow angle between branches, often having included bark.
Tomography	The comparison of sound or stress waves through the tree allows the creation of a 2D or 3D representation of the internal structure of a stem or branch section and highlights areas of damage. Virtually non-injurious.
Topography	The configuration of surface features, including the vertical and horizontal relationships of the ground and other features.
Topping	Cutting large limbs back severely, without regard to form or habit of the tree. Cuts are usually made between lateral branch nodes. This practice is extremely injurious to trees, and promotes decay and structural weakness within the crown.
Tree	A woody plant that typically has a single stem, at maturity has a height of a least 4 metres and a stem diameter at breast heig of at least 75mm.
Tree Preservation Order	In Great Britain, an order made by the local planning authority, were consent must be gained before undertaking all but exem works to a tree.
Trunk Flare	The basal area of the trunk that flares or widens, and merges with the main roots. See root collar
Veteran Tree	Veteran trees are often found in large parks or estates and commonly affected by extensive decay or have been subject to extensive works. These trees are retained for historical importance and often pose greater risk than normal, which is general justified. They need careful management and often propping or bracing to support them, some require fencing to limit access
Vigour	Active, healthy growth of plants: ability to respond to stress factors.
Visual Tree	An assessment of the mechanical condition of trees based upon their 'body language'. Trees are dynamic and respond to faul
Assessment (VTA)	decay / environmental factors in various ways, these responses can be indicative of structural integrity.
Wetwood	An infection caused by bacteria living inside the plant tissues. The bacteria ferment the plant fluids, resulting in death of near cells, and often causing exudations of fluid from the bark, often referred to as a Slime Flux.
White Rot	A kind if wood decay were a fungi attacks the lignin within the wood matrix
Wind loading	Forces placed upon tree canopy, branches, trunk and roots of a tree under windy conditions.
Wind Throw	The failure of a tree due to wind loading.
Witches Broom	A deformed or unusual growth of twigs from adventitious buds, caused by insects, disease, or dieback of twigs and buds.
Wood	Secondary Xylem; the main structural support and water conducting tissue of trees and shrubs.
Wound Response Tissue	Also Occluding Tissue, Wound Wood or Callus. Differentiated wood tissue that grows around the margins of a wound or injur
Wound Wood	Wood with atypical features, formed in the vicinity of a wound and a term to describe the occluding tissues around a wound
Xylem	Plant tissues with special function of translocation of water and dissolved nutrients.

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# **Appendix 3: Survey Methodology**

- A2.1 Ground level visual surveys are carried out using the *Visual Tree Assessment* technique described by Mattheck and Broeler (1994) and endorsed by the Arboricultural Association (LANTRA Professional Tree Inspection course, 2007).
- A2.2 Structural condition is assessed by inspecting the stem and scaffold branches from all angles looking for weak branch junctions or symptoms of decay. Particular attention is paid to the stembase. Cavities are explored using a metal probe in order to assess the extent of any decay. If this is not possible further inspection is recommended in the form of a climbed inspection or using specialist decay detection equipment.
- A2.3 The physiological condition is assessed by inspecting the stem, branches and foliage for symptoms of disease. The overall vigour of the tree is also taken into account.
- A2.4 Where significant defects are observed, recommendations are made according to a scale of priority in order to reduce the likelihood of structural failure. The position of the tree and its potential targets are taken into account.
- A2.5 Measurements are obtained using a diameter tape, clinometer, distometer and loggers tape. Where this is not practical measurements are estimated.
- A2.6 Some trees are surveyed as groups, though this is usually avoided close to areas likely to be developed.
- A2.7 Finally, a Retention Category is allocated as described in Appendix 1.1.1.

# **Appendix 4: Author's Qualifications**

Qualifications & Experience of Ivan Button N.C.H. (Arb), FDSc (Arb), BSc (Hons), P.G.C.E., M. Arbor. A.

#### Construction

Between 1983 and 1995 Ivan worked primarily within the construction industry and received training in a broad range of practical building skills and general construction principles. During this time he obtained a BSc (Hons) at Leeds University followed by a P.G.C.E at The University of Wales.

#### Arboriculture

He obtained a NCH (Arboriculture) at the University of Lincoln and became a member of the Arboricultural Association. He then worked for an Arboricultural Consultancy for one year before establishing a tree surgery and landscaping business in 1998. In 2005 Ivan commenced full time employment with a leading Arboricultural Association approved consultancy and soon adopted a senior role responsible for five consultants.

He obtained a FDSc in arboriculture at the University of Lancashire, which he passed with distinction and is now a Director and Principal Consultant of Crown Consultants Ltd. He is accredited as a LANTRA *Professional Tree Inspector*. A qualification produced in association with the Arboricultural Association and generally recognised as appropriate for all levels of tree inspection.

He is a member of the Consulting Arborist Society and is listed within their areas of professional expertise for QTRA and as an expert witness.

Ivan is a professional member of the Arboricultural Association and the International Society of Arboriculture.

He is a licensed Quantified Tree Risk Assessment user.

Ivan has undertaken professional expert witness training and has been registered as a Sweet and Maxwell Checked Expert Witness since 2008.

Throughout 2009 acted as the principal Tree Officer for Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council.

Ivan has produced several hundred Arboricultural Reports for the purposes of Development, Safety, Management, Mortgage, Subsidence, Mitigation and Litigation.

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London

Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# **Appendix 5: Further Information**

#### **Building Near Trees - General**

National Joint Utilities Group publication # 10 (1995), Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Services in Proximity to Trees. Downloadable at www.njug.demon.co.uk/pdf/NJUG%20Publication10.pdf

NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2., Trees and Buildings.

Horticulture LINK project 212. (University of Cambridge, 2004), Controlling Water Use of Trees to Alleviate Subsidence Risk.

#### Tree Planting and aftercare

See www.trees.org.uk/leaflets.php# for downloadable leaflets on selecting a garden tree, planting, aftercare and veteran tree management.

#### **British Standards**

BS 5837: 2012. Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations.

Bs 3998: 2010. Recommendations for Tree Work.

BS 3936: 1992. Nursery Stock. Part 1: Specification for Trees and Shrubs.

BS 3936: 1992. Nursery Stock. Part 10: Specification for Groundcover Plants.

BS 4043: 1989. Transplanting Root-balled Trees.

BS 8004: 1986. Foundations.

BS 8103: 1995. Structural design of Low-Rise Buildings.

BS 8206: 1992. Lighting for Buildings.

BS 8545:2014. Trees: From nursery to independence in the landscape – Recommendations

BS 3882: 2007. Topsoil.

BS 4428: 1989. General Landscaping Operations (excluding hard surfaces).

#### Permission to do Works to Protected Trees / Tree Law

Forestry Commission (Edinburgh, 2003), Tree Felling – Getting Permission. Country Services Division - Forestry Commission. Downloadable at www.forestry.gov.uk/website/pdf.nsf/pdf/wgsfell.pdf/\$FILE/wgsfell.pdf

Transport and the Regions (Department of the Environment, 2000), *Tree Preservation Orders*, A *Guide* to the Law and Good Practice. Downloadable at www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/tposguide

C. Mynors, The Law of Trees, Forests and Hedgerows (Sweet and Maxwell, London, 2002)

Communities and Local Government website with numerous downloadable documents, from:

http://www.communities.gov.uk/planningandbuilding/planning/treeshighhedges/

#### **Lighting Levels**

P.J. Littlefair, B.R.E. 209: Site layout planning for daylight and sunlight A guide to good practice. B.R.E. Bookshop, London.

British Standards Institution. Code of practice for day lighting. British Standard BS 8206: Part 2 (1992).

Chartered Institution of Building Services Engineers. Applications manual: Window Design (London, 1987).

NBA Tectonics. A study of passive solar housing estate layout. ETSU Report S-1126. Harwell, Energy Technology Support Unit (1988).

I.P. Duncan; D. Hawkes, Passive solar design in non-domestic buildings. ETSU Report S-1110. Harwell, Energy Technology.

P. J. Littlefair, Measuring Daylight, BRE Information Paper 23/93 f3.50. (Advises on measuring daylight under the real sky or an artificial sky, allowing for the changing nature of sky light).

#### **High Hedges**

Communities and Local Government website with numerous downloadable documents, from: http://www.communities.gov.uk/planningandbuilding/planning/treeshighhedges/

#### **Tree Specific Websites**

www.crowntrees.co.uk Crown Consultants site containing useful information

www.trees.org.uk Arboricultural Association

www.rfs.co.uk Royal Forestry Society of England, Wales and N. Ireland

www.treehelp.Info The Tree Advice Trust
www.woodland-trust.org.uk The Woodland Trust
www.treecouncil.org.uk The Tree Council

Crown Ref: 09320A Site: 13 Elsworthy Road, London


Author: Ivan Button Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2015

# **Appendix 6: Tree Data Schedule and Site Plan(s)**

The Tree Data Schedule and all plans accompanying this report follow this page. They are also provided as separate documents for ease of printing and referring between when viewing on a screen.

Reference G = Group H = Hedge	Age & Species	Height (m)	Crown Ht (m)	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread (m) N W E	Scaled Tree Diagram (m)	Notes  Recommendations (Independent of any development proposals)		Vigour  Physiological  Condition	Amenity Value  Life Expectancy (yrs)
Re 3		He	Cro	Diam	S	9 9		Priority Inspect Freq (yrs)	Structural Condition	Retention
T1	Early-Mature  Mulberry	9	3	46	5.5 5.5 5	[25	Position: Situated within the rear garden. Form: Twin stemmed at 0.5m becoming 3 stemmed at 1.2 metres. History: Occasional pruning wounds due to crown lifting.  Defects: No significant defects.	No action required.	Moderate Good	Moderate 20-40
	Morus nigra.					0	Other: In raised planter. Actual stem diameters 27, 29 and 24 cm.	n/a 3	Good	В
T2	Semi-Mature Small Leaved Azara	7.5	4	20	3 1 3	25	Position: Situated within the rear garden.  Form: Single stemmed and vertical with a compact crown.  History: No evidence of significant pruning.	No action required.	Moderate Fair	Low 40+
	Azara microphylla.				3.5	0	Defects: No significant defects.	n/a 3	Good	C
	Semi-Mature  Oak				7	[25	Position: Situated on third party land. Form: Multi-stemmed at 2.5m with a well-formed crown.	No action required.	Moderate	High
Т3	Quercus robur.	23	5	75	9 9.5		History: Occasional pruning wounds due to crown lifting, (healing well).  Defects: No significant defects observed.  Other: Limited inspection, dimensions estimated.		Good Good	40+ <b>A</b>
	Semi-Mature					[25]		n/a 3		
Т4	Magnolia  Magnolia sp.	6	3	18	2 2 3		Position: Situated within the rear garden. Form: Twin-stemmed at 0.5m with a compact crown. History: Occasional pruning wounds due to crown reduction.  Defects: No significant defects. Other: Actual stem diameters 13cm and 13cm.	No action required.	High Good Fair	40+
	Semi-Mature					[0]		n/a 3		
Т5	Cherry  Prunus sp.	4	1.6	34	3 4 2.5 3		Position: Situated within the rear garden.  Form: Multi-stemmed at 2m with a low, wide spreading habit.  History: Multiple pruning wounds due to crown lifting (healing well).  Defects: No significant defects.	No action required.	Moderate Good Good	40+
	·					[0 <b>T</b>		n/a 3	dood	
Т6	Semi-Mature  Judas Tree	6	3	24	3.5		Position: Situated within the rear garden.  Form: Multi-stemmed at 1m with a balanced crown.  History: Occasional pruning wounds due to crown lifting (now healed).  Defects: No significant defects.	No action required.	Moderate Good	Low 20-40
	Cercis siliquastrum.				3.5	0	Other: In raised planter.	n/a 3	Good	C
Т7	Semi-Mature <b>Lilac</b>	2.5	1.5	11	2 0 4	[25] -	Position: Situated within the rear garden. Form: Twin-stemmed at 1m with an unbalanced crown. Heavy lean. History: No evidence of significant pruning.  Defects: No significant defects.	No action required.	Moderate Good	Low 10-20
	Syringa vulgaris.				1	0	Other: Actual stem diameters 7 and 8 cm.	n/a 3	- Fair	C

Reference G = Group H = Hedge	Age & Species	Height (m)	Crown Ht (m)	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread (m) N W E S	Scaled Tree Diagram (m)	Notes	Recomment (Independent development)	nt of any	Vigour  Physiological  Condition  Structural  Condition	
G8	Semi-Mature <b>Lime</b> Tilia sp.	av 15	av 4.5	av 35	av 4 4 3 4 each	25	Position: Situated on third party land. Form: Row of 3 similar sized specimens. All single stemmed. History: No evidence of significant pruning.  Defects: No significant defects.	No action r		High Good Good	Moderate 40+
Т9	Early-Mature  Purple Beech  Fagus sylvatica 'purpurea'.	16	7	75	7 4 7 6	[25]	position: Situated on third party land.  prm: Single stemmed and vertical with a well-formed crown.  provision: Multiple pruning wounds due to crown lifting.  provision: Major cavity at 3 metres.		tection red.	High Good Poor	High 10-20
T10	Semi-Mature  Lilac  Syringa vulgaris.	3	1.5	9	2 2.5 0	[25]	Position: Situated within the front garden.  Form: Multi-stemmed at 1.5m with a compact crown.  History: No evidence of significant pruning.  Defects: No significant defects.	No action required.		Moderate Good Good	Low 40+
T11	Semi-Mature  London Plane  Platanus x hispanica.	13	6	56	4 3 4.5 4	25	Position: Street tree. Form: Multi-stemmed at 4m with a well-formed crown. History: Recently heavily reduced. Defects: No significant defects.	No action i		High Good Good	High 40+ <b>A</b>
T12	Young  Apple  Malus sp.	3.5	2	14	2 1 1 2.5	[25 - - - -	Position: Situated within the front garden.  Form: Multi-stemmed at 2m with a compact crown.  History: No evidence of significant pruning.  Defects: No significant defects.	No action required.		Moderate Good Good	40+
T13	Semi-Mature  Shrub  Species not identified.	4.5	2	20	2.5	[25 - - - 0	Position: Situated within the front garden.  Form: Multi-stemmed at ground level with a balanced crown. Shrub.  History: No evidence of significant pruning.  Defects: No significant defects.	No action r		Moderate Good Good	10-20





# Tree Constraints Plan

(Existing Layout)



Photo 1



Photo 2




Photo 3

See the accompanying report for more photographs


	Tree Ref.	Cuasias	Height (m)	ROOLFIOLECTIONATEA					
	rree Ker.	Species	neight (m)	Radius (m)	m²	Square (m)			
	T1	Mulberry	9	5.5	96	9.8			
	T2	Small Leaved Azara	7.5	2.4	18	4.3			
	T3	Oak	23	9.0	254	16.0			
	T4	Magnolia	6	2.2	15	3.8			
	T5	Cherry	4	4.1	52	7.2			
	T6	Judas Tree	6	2.9	26	5.1			
	T7	Lilac	2.5	1.3	5	2.3			
	G8	Lime	15	4.2	55	7.4			
	T9	Purple Beech	16	9.0	254	16.0			
	T10	Lilac	3	1.1	4	1.9			
′	T11	London Plane	13	6.7	142	11.9			
	T12	Platanus X Hispanica	3.5	1.7	9	3.0			
	T13	Shrub	4.5	2.4	18	4.3			

Drawing No:	CCL 09320	/TCP Rev: 1	
Title:	Tree Constraints Plan (Existing Layout)		
Site:	13 Elsworthy Road NW3 3DS		
0	-	10m	

Scale: 1:200







Category U tree

0

Trees of high quality with an estimated life expectancy of 40+ years. Usually large trees with significant presence or smaller trees with excellent form. Retention of these trees is highly desirable.


Trees of moderate quality with a life expectancy of 20+ years.
Usually maturing trees, or younger trees with good form. Retention of these trees is desirable though less than Category A trees Unremarkable trees of low quality and merit. Individual specimens are not considered to be a material planning consideration.


Trees unsuitable for retention due to their very poor condition.

Tree Constraints Plan

	BS 5837 Root Protection Area (radius = 12xstem diameter)	
	Root Protection Area needing amendment due to site conditions, e.g. presence of exising road or building.	<b>&gt;</b>
	Root Protection Area having been amended to account for for site conditions	
T1 = Tree	e No 1 G2 = Group No 2 H3 = Hedge No 3	


MN = Measured North: Canopy spreads are sometimes measured to an approximate N defined by site features. Often more accurate, especially where rows of trees are not aligned N-S or E-W.





# Impact Assessment Plan

(Existing Layout with Proposals Overlaid)



	T D - C	C!	Height (m)				
	Tree Ref.	Species		Radius (m)	m²	Square (m)	
	T1	Mulberry	9	5.5	96	9.8	
	T2	Small Leaved Azara	7.5	2.4	18	4.3	
	T3	Oak	23	9.0	254	16.0	
	T4	Magnolia	6	2.2	15	3.8	
	T5	Cherry	4	4.1	52	7.2	
	T6	Judas Tree	6	2.9	26	5.1	
	T7	Lilac	2.5	1.3	5	2.3	
S	G8	Lime	15	4.2	55	7.4	
V	T9	Purple Beech	16	9.0	254	16.0	
	T10	Lilac	3	1.1	4	1.9	
ly	T11	London Plane	13	6.7	142	11.9	
	T12	Platanus X Hispanica	3.5	1.7	9	3.0	
	T13	Shrub	4.5	2.4	18	4.3	

Drawing No:	CCL 09320	/ IAP Rev: 1	
Title:	Impact Assessment Plan (Existing Layout with Proposals Overlaid)		
Site:	13 Elsworthy Road NW3 3DS		
0	5	10m	

Scale: 1:200



Tree Retention Categories
Stems & canopies shown

Category A tree Category B tree

Category C tree Category U tree Trees of high quality with an estimated life expectancy of 40+ years. Usually large trees with significant presence or smaller trees with excellent form. Retention of these trees is highly desirable.

Trees of moderate quality with a life expectancy of 20+ years.
Usually maturing trees, or younger trees with good form. Retention of these trees is desirable though less than Category A trees Unremarkable trees of low quality and merit. Individual specimens are not considered to be a material planning consideration.

Trees unsuitable for retention due to their very poor condition.

Impact Assessment Pla	ın
•	

	BS 5837 Ro	ot Protection A	rea (rad	lius = 12xstem diameter)	)
			0	endment due to site g road or building.	
	Root Protection Area having been amended to account for for site conditions				
T1= Tree	No 1	(12 = Group)	No 2	H3 = Hedge No 3	7

to site Iding.	X	Tree to be removed to facilitate the proposal	
account	X	Tree to be removed due to its low quality	
ge No 3		Proposed pruning	

	MN = Measured North:	110	Juuas
		T7	Lilac
	Canopy spreads are sometimes	G8	Lime
emoved to	measured to an approximate N defined by site features. Often more accurate, especially where rows of trees are not aligned N-S or E-W.	T9	Purple I
		T10	Lilac
emoved		T11	London
w quality			Platanu
. ,	8 3 3 3 3	T12	Hispani
runing		T12	Chruh