17 WADHAM GARDENS, NW3 LONDON

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER'S CONSTRUCTION METHOD STATEMENT

INDEX

1.0	Introduction
2.0	Details of Proposed Construction
3.0	Method Statement
Appendix A Appendix B	Sequence of basement construction and temporary works proposals Typical shoring calculation notes

P2 - 2015-10-06 - Includes

- Temporary and permanent piling remarks (item 2)
- Sequence for rear wall drawing (appendix A)
- Design of underpins (appendix B)
- Design of props to rear wall (appendix B)
- Pile design notes (appendix B)

Prepared by	Issued
Andrzej Plocieniak - MSc CEng MIStructE	P1 - 2015-08-18 - For Planning
	P2 - 2015-10-06 - For Planning
andrzej@axiom-structures.co.uk	
11.07750090317	
Axiom Structures Limited 28 Marshalsea Road, SE1 1HF London	

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Axiom Structures Limited have been asked to provide construction method statement, sequence of construction works and temporary works details surrounding the proposed basement extension and refurbishment works at the address.
- 1.2 The proposed development comprises construction of a new retrofit basement under the existing building and part of the front and rear gardens, general refurbishment works to the existing structure in line with GPAD Architects and Pringuer-James Consulting Engineers proposals.
- 1.3 A ground investigation survey, Basement Impact Assessment and associated ground movement analysis were carried out by specialist ground investigation companies. Refer to reports by other for details.
- 1.4 Permanent structural engineering proposals are as per Pringuer-James Consulting Engineers drawings and details.
- 1.5 We have visited site to assess the existing structure for alteration works. The finishes are generally stripped out and the existing structure is exposed.
- 1.6 The borehole site investigation was carried out in February 2015 by Soil Consultants Ltd. The borehole confirmed London CLAY with 'undisturbed' stiff clays from 3.5m below ground level and becoming very stiff CLAY with further depth.
- 1.7 Following Soil Consultants field investigations, the ground water was recorded as an inflow at the interface of the made ground and the underlying impermeable London Clay at about 1.1m.
- 1.8 As part of our walk round and visual investigation survey of the property, we have not recorded signs of ongoing or historical movement to suggest any subsidence or other foundation problems in existing and adjacent properties. There are a few hedges, trees and other vegetations in the rear garden.

2.0 DETAILS OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

2.1 The proposed development involves the construction of the basement with swimming pool under footprint of existing house and part of front and rear gardens. Generally the excavation is to be up to about 3.5m below existing ground floor level.

Ground Water

- 2.2 The record information indicated the ground water as an inflow from the surface at depth of 1m below ground level and sits just above the level of stiff clays and above formation level of the basement. Obstruction of below ground water courses is not considered an issue with this development as the site is not within the line or close to underground rivers, refer to BIA for further details.
- 2.3 During construction of underpins, the ground water will be controlled using mechanical pumps and close placed sheets as necessary. When the perimeter underpins and piling are completed than we would expect that as built underpins would stop ground water ingress to the excavation. We consider that some residual perch ground water would be pumped out from temporary sump chambers.

Drainage

2.4 The subterranean development is to extend to the rear and front garden, whilst the existing drainage system will require upgrading or replacement possibly with the addition of pumps, the development will not impact on any public drainage or existing surface water drainage systems.

Construction Method to Minimise Risk of Movement and Damage to Adjacent Structures

- 2.5 The existing walls and new perimeter basement walls are to be constructed in short sections in hit and miss sequencing. The existing structure is to be back propped to unload the excavation as necessary. The actual process of underpinning can cause some minor cracking in the wall being underpinned and intersecting walls, although if carried out in accordance with the specification and back-propped on completion to minimise the risk of horizontal movement, such movement normally goes undetected.
- 2.6 The permanent structural proposals as detailed by Pringuer-James Consulting Engineers will involve the construction of reinforced concrete walls in short sections. New reinforced concrete walls will be monolithically connected to the new reinforced concrete basement slab to provide robust and watertight construction. The underpinning will be constructed in a hit and miss sequence to minimise ground movements. The new basement is a naturally rigid structure and will be designed to accommodate the horizontal ground forces imposed via the underpins to the perimeter, potential for upwards and lateral water pressures as well as the vertical loads from above. This has been explained in more details by Pringuer-James Consulting Engineers Basement Impact Assessment and drawings.
- 2.7 Neighbouring houses at 15 and 19 Wadham Gardens surcharge the excavation and increased lateral pressure is considered in prop and shores calculations. Multi-stage vertical underpinning is to be adopted along this boundary lines as per details in Appendix A. Additional horizontal shores maybe required to minimise risk of localised movement in the adjacent structure during excavation. Depth of basements and foundations in the adjacent sites is to be confirmed as part of the next stage of the project and Party Walls agreements.
- 2.8 Contiguous piled wall in the front garden is to be propped at head at all times during construction and in permanent case to minimise horizontal ground movements.

Temporary and Permanent Piling

2.9 Structural Engineers proposal includes basement wall to be formed with embedded and propped contiguous piles in the front garden. These piles are to be propped by capping beam at ground

floor and basement levels and are to be embedded in stiff clays below formation of the basement.

- 2.10 During construction, the piles are to be designed as embedded in soil below basement formation level and propped by the capping beams at ground level. Horizontal shores to the capping beams are proposed to provide stiff system and minimise deflection at head of piles. As the piled ground beams are to be constructed before bulk excavation commences, the piles are to perform as propped at all times.
- 2.11 The specialist piling subcontractor is to be employed to design and construct piles as standard practice. Based on similar projects and ground conditions, there should not be an issue to achieve proposed depths of basement using conventional mini- or medium size piling rigs.

3.0 METHOD STATEMENT

This method statement is to be read in conjunction with Pringuer-James Consulting Engineers structural drawings and Axiom sequence of construction 15011 / TW / sketches and drawings.

It may be that we adjust this proposal in certain areas to accommodate what may be found, as the building work progresses. For ease of understanding the work sequence is as follows (reproduced for TW-200):

SUPERSTRUCTURE AND ENABLING WORKS:

1. Soft strip out and install timber cross braces to all windows and doors that are to be retained (at all floor levels).

2. Install high level 1st floor beams in the kitchen and support chimney stack of existing external and main internal walls.

- prop existing structure over in conventional needle and super props manner. Props to be supported on solid existing slab via timber sleeper spreaders, place back props in the cellar and strut props with scaffold tubes and fittings. Refer to TW-201 for details.

3. Install permanent restraint strapping to first floor structure to restrain all walls that are to be retained.

4. Break existing ground floor slab, level and prepare area for temporary piling in the middle of the house and permanent piling to front garden.

5. Install horizontal cross props in the existing cellar as per plan A.

6. Install temporary piles inside the house and permanent in the front garden as noted on SE plans.

7. Install enabling full drive (basement formation level) deep underpins to critical locations where noted (EW).

- Leave individual pin to cure for at least 48h before excavation for adjacent pin commences.

- Excavate in fully shored shafts as per 'shaft shoring table'.

- Allow to prop structure over on long spreader needles and support on solid slab/bases away from shaft excavation (front elevation).

- Back prop adjacent door openings to unload excavated area.

8. Install enabling needles and props from temporary piles and enabling works underpins (EW) to open up the space at ground floor. Place needles at underside of 1st floor as per plan B.
High level needles and props are to be braced with scaffold tubes.

- Existing cellar notes = keep horizontal cross props and vertical RMD at all times.

BASEMENT CONSTRUCTION:

First level dig

9. 1st stage underpinning = 2no first stage u/pins and 1no to formation level as per plan.

- Works to be carried out in shaft excavation in 1.0m sections, 1 to 5 'hit and miss' sequence as per SE drawings.

- 1st stage pins to be about 2.5m depth

- Provide temporary toe as per section

- 9.1 Install trench sheeting, struts and walings as excavation proceeds in small shafts. Refer to 'shoring table' for details.
- 9.2 Cast RC base and stem in sections to suit concrete profile.
- 9.3 Dry pack between existing wall and concrete.
- 9.4 Individual pins to be back-propped with Acrows jacks on completion. Shafts to be protected from falling into, refer to 'shoring construction notes' for details
- 10. Prop remaining structure at ground floor level

- Install remaining needles and props at ground floor level onto as built piles and prepare area for bulk excavation.

11. Reduce central earth mount to about 1.8m below external ground level and as work progresses from rear of the property to front (towards skip or grab lorry) install WB01 & TW01 in localised excavation trenches to maintain sliding resistance as follows:

11.1 WB01 walling beams in currently excavated and propped trenches and then install TW01 cross props in localised transverse trenches to maintain sliding stability at all times.

11.2 Permanent ground floor beams as per plan TW-100

11.3 Install shores against piled ground beams at the front and against rear RC walls or cast permanent slabs to be agreed with the contractor.

11.4 Batter back ground towards front and rear garden to safe angle of repose.

- Ensure that all connections are fully tied.

- Mega shores / mild steel universal columns cross props to be mechanically or hydraulically tightened (via base jacks or similar) to ensure no movement.

12. Reduce ground level to about 500mm above formation of 1st stage u/pins and create berm.

13. Carry out 2nd stage underpinning in similar manner as 1st stage u/pins (item 9).

- Works to be carried out in shaft excavation in 1.0m sections, 1 to 5 'hit and miss' sequence as per SE drawings.

- 2nd stage pins to be driven to formation level of main basement

- provide permanent = temporary toe as per se drawings

13.1 Install trench sheeting, struts and walings as excavation proceeds in small shafts. refer to 'shoring table' for details.

13.2 Cast RC base and stem in sections to suit concrete profile

13.3 Cast concrete in 'letter box' to ensure that air pockets are not left along width of concrete thickness between existing wall and concrete.

13.4 Individual pins to be back-propped with Acrows jacks on completion. shafts to be protected from falling into, refer to 'shoring construction notes' for details.

Second level dig (to formation)

14. Reduce central earth mount to basement formation level and as work progresses from rear of the property to front (towards skip or grab lorry) install WB02 & TW02 in localised excavations to maintain sliding resistance temporary works as follows:

14.1 WB02 walling beams in currently excavated and propped trenches and then install TW02 cross props in localised transverse trenches to maintain sliding stability at all times.

14.2 Batter back ground under rear and front garden as necessary or reduce down to formation level.

- Ensure that all connections are fully tied

- Mega shores waling beams and cross props to be mechanically or hydraulically tightened to ensure no movement.

15. Reduce ground level to basement formation level.

16. Blind the ground at formation level and control short term heave effects. Cast raft slab.

- Leave starter bars to sub-basement (pool) walls and raft slab to achieve full tension laps.

Sub-basement and Pool Construction

17. Install 3rd stage u/pins to pool area in sequence as item 9.

- Back prop individual pins on completion.

- 18. Install RMD S/slim cross props at 1.5m crs. in localised excavations to maintain sliding resistance at all times.
- 19. Reduce central earth mount and blind the ground at formation level.
- 20. Cast remaining sections of sub-basement rafts, foundation bases and walls.

21. Remove low level of propping about 14 days after basement rafts were cast. Subject to SE approval.

Remaining Basement Construction Works

22. Erect steel columns and remaining ground floor beams under existing walls, cast ground floor slabs. When these are in place remove temporary basement shores, break temporary piles and make good all temporary works holes.

Remaining Superstructure Works

23. Install remaining 1st floor structure, support the existing structure over in conventional needle and props matters to builders details. allow for back-propping ground floor off basement raft.

APPENDIX A

SEQUENCE OF BASEMENT CONSTRUCTION SKETCHES, DRAWINGS AND BASEMENT TEMPORARY WORKS

15011 TW





EXISTING BASEMEN

Front Elevation

WADHAM GARDENS (Above)









TW01 & TW02 TW02

BASEMENT CONSTRUCTION:

FIRST LEVEL DIG

DRAWINGS.

PROFILE

BULK EXCAVATION

INSTALL PERMANENT RESTRAINT STRAPPING TO

FOR DETAILS

INSTALL ENABLING NEEDLES AND PROPS FROM

AS 1ST STAGE U/PINS (ITEM 9).

MAIN BASEMENT DRAWINGS

TABLE' FOR DETAILS.

PROFILE

BETWEEN EXISTING WALL AND CONCRETE.

TEMPORARY WORKS CO-ORI

PERMANENT WORKS ENGINEE

- 1ST STAGE UNDERPINNING = 2NO FIRST STAGE U/PINS AND 1NO TO FORMATION LEVEL AS PER PLAN.
- WORKS TO BE CARRIED OUT IN SHAFT EXCAVATION IN
 1.0M SECTIONS, 1 TO 5 'HIT AND MISS' SEQUENCE AS PER SE
- 1ST STAGE PINS TO BE ABOUT 2.5M DEPTH PROVIDE TEMPORARY TOE AS PER SECTION
- 9.1 INSTALL TRENCH SHEETING, STRUTS AND WALINGS AS EXCAVATION PROCEEDS IN SMALL SHAFTS. REFER TO 'SHORING TABLE' FOR DETAILS. 9.2 CAST RC BASE AND STEM IN SECTIONS TO SUIT CONCRETE
- 9.3 DRY PACK BETWEEN EXISTING WALL AND CONCRETE
- 9.3 DKT FACK BETWEEN EXISTING WALL AND CONCRETE. 9.4 INDIVIDUAL PINS TO BE BACK-PROPPED WITH ACROWS JACKS ON COMPLETION. SHAFTS TO BE PROTECTED FROM FALLING INTO, REFER TO 'SHORING CONSTRUCTION NOTES'
- 10. PROP REMAINING STRUCTURE AT GROUND FLOOR LEVEL INSTALL REMAINING NEEDLES AND PROPS AT GROUND FLOOR LEVEL ONTO AS BUILT PILES AND PREPARE AREA FOR
- 11. REDUCE CENTRAL EARTH MOUNT TO ABOUT 1.8M BELOW EXTERNAL GROUND LEVEL AND AS WORK PROGRESSES FROM REAR OF THE PROPERTY TO FRONT (TOWARDS SKIP OR GRAB LORRY) INSTALL WB01 & TW01 IN LOCALISED EXCAVATION TRENCHES TO MAINTAIN SLIDING RESISTANCE AS FOLLOWS
- 11.1 WB01 WALLING BEAMS IN CURRENTLY EXCAVATED AND PROPPED TRENCHES AND THEN INSTALL TW01 CROSS PROPS IN LOCALISED TRANSVERSE TRENCHES TO MAINTAIN SLIDING STABILITY AT ALL TIMES.
- 11.2 PERMANENT GROUND FLOOR BEAMS AS PER PLAN TW-100
- 11.3 INSTALL SHORES AGAINST PILED GROUND BEAMS AT THE FRONT AND AGAINST REAR RC WALLS OR CAST PERMANENT SLABS TO BE AGREED WITH THE CONTRACTOR.
- 11.4 BATTER BACK GROUND TOWARDS FRONT AND REAR GARDEN TO SAFE ANGLE OF REPOSE.
- ENSURE THAT ALL CONNECTIONS ARE FULLY TIED.
- MEGA SHORES / MILD STEEL UNIVERSAL COLUMNS CROSS PROPS TO BE MECHANICALLY OR HYDRAULICALLY TIGHTENED (VIA BASE JACKS OR SIMILAR) TO ENSURE NO MOVEMENT.
- 12. REDUCE GROUND LEVEL TO ABOUT 500MM ABOVE FORMATION OF 1ST STAGE U/PINS AND CREATE BERM.
- 13. CARRY OUT 2ND STAGE UNDERPINNING IN SIMILAR MANNER
- WORKS TO BE CARRIED OUT IN SHAFT EXCAVATION IN 1.0M SECTIONS, 1 TO 5 'HIT AND MISS' SEQUENCE AS PER SE
- DRAWINGS. 2ND STAGE PINS TO BE DRIVEN TO FORMATION LEVEL OF
 - PROVIDE PERMANENT = TEMPORARY TOE AS PER SE
- 13.1 INSTALL TRENCH SHEETING STRUTS AND WALINGS AS EXCAVATION PROCEEDS IN SMALL SHAFTS. REFER TO 'SHORING
- 13.2 CAST RC BASE AND STEM IN SECTIONS TO SUIT CONCRETE
- 13.3 CAST CONCRETE IN 'LETTER BOX' TO ENSURE THAT AIR POCKETS ARE NOT LEFT ALONG WIDTH OF CONCRETE THICKNESS
- 13.4 INDIVIDUAL PINS TO BE BACK-PROPPED WITH ACROWS JACKS ON COMPLETION. SHAFTS TO BE PROTECTED FROM FALLING INTO, REFER TO 'SHORING CONSTRUCTION NOTES' FOR DETAILS.

SECOND LEVEL DIG (TO FORMATION)

14. REDUCE CENTRAL FARTH MOUNT TO BASEMENT FORMATION LEVEL AND AS WORK PROGRESSES FROM REAR OF THE PROPERTY TO FRONT (TOWARDS SKIP OR GRAB LORRY) INSTALL WB02 & TW02 IN LOCALISED EXCAVATIONS TO MAINTAIN SLIDING RESISTANCE TEMPORARY WORKS AS FOLLOWS:

14.1 WB02 WALLING BEAMS IN CURRENTLY EXCAVATED AND PROPPED TRENCHES AND THEN INSTALL TWO2 CROSS PROPS IN LOCALISED TRANSVERSE TRENCHES TO MAINTAIN SLIDING STABILITY AT ALL TIMES.

14.2 BATTER BACK GROUND UNDER REAR AND FRONT GARDEN AS NECESSARY OR REDUCE DOWN TO FORMATION LEVEL

- ENSURE THAT ALL CONNECTIONS ARE FULLY TIED
- MEGA SHORES WALING BEAMS AND CROSS PROPS TO BE MECHANICALLY OR HYDRAULICALLY TIGHTENED TO ENSURE NO MOVEMENT.
- REDUCE GROUND LEVEL TO BASEMENT FORMATION LEVEL.

16. BLIND THE GROUND AT FORMATION LEVEL AND CONTROL SHORT TERM HEAVE EFFECTS. CAST RAFT SLAB.

 LEAVE STARTER BARS TO SUB-BASEMENT (POOL) WALLS AND RAFT SLAB TO ACHIEVE FULL TENSION LAPS.

SUB-BASEMENT AND POOL CONSTRUCTION

INSTALL 3RD STAGE U/PINS TO POOL AREA IN SEQUENCE AS ITEM 9.

- BACK PROP INDIVIDUAL PINS ON COMPLETION.

18. INSTALL RMD S/SLIM CROSS PROPS AT 1.5M CRS. IN LOCALISED EXCAVATIONS TO MAINTAIN SLIDING RESISTANCE AT ALL TIMES.

REDUCE CENTRAL EARTH MOUNT AND BLIND THE GROUND AT FORMATION LEVEL.

20 CAST REMAINING SECTIONS OF SUB-BASEMENT RAFTS, FOUNDATION BASES AND WALLS.

21. REMOVE LOW LEVEL OF PROPPING ABOUT 14 DAYS AFTER BASEMENT RAFTS WERE CAST. SUBJECT TO SE APPROVAL.

REMAINING BASEMENT CONSTRUCTION WORKS

22. ERECT STEEL COLUMNS AND REMAINING GROUND 22. ELECT JEEC SUBJECT SUBJECT REMAINS WALLS, CAST GROUND FLOOR BLANS UNDER RISING WALLS, CAST GROUND FLOOR SLABS. WHEN THESE ARE IN PLACE REMOVE TEMPORARY BASEMENT SHORES, BREAK TEMPORARY PILES AND MAKE GOOD ALL TEMPORARY WORKS HOLES.

REMAINING SUPERSTRUCTURE WORKS

23. INSTALL REMAINING 1ST FLOOR STRUCTURE, SUPPORT THE EXISTING STRUCTURE OVER IN CONVENTIONAL NEEDLE AND PROPS MATTERS TO BUILDERS DETAILS. ALLOW FOR BACK-PROPPING GROUND FLOOR OFF BASEMENT RAFT.

B2	18/08/2015	ISSU	ED FOR COMMENT		
B1	20/05/2015	ISSU	ED FOR COMMENT		
Rev	Date	Ame	ndment		
AXIOM STRUCTURES LIMITED M: 07738096317, T: 20 3637 2751 email: offlogaxiom-structures.co.uk www.axiom-structures.co.uk 1ST FLOOR, 28 MARSHALSEA ROAD SE1 1HF LONDON					
17 WADHAM GARDENS NW3					
DRAW	ING TITLE				
TEMPORARY WORKS SEQUENCE OF WORKS					
DATE M/	AR '15		scale 1:50 AT A1 1:100 AT A3		
DRAW AF	N	12	DRAWING STATUS FOR APPROVAL		
DRAW 15	ING No.: 011/TW/20	י ב ר ט		REV B2	

)	V.	ΑT	OR	=	KNOWLES	BASEME	NTS	
R		=	SE	=	PRINGUER	JAMES	CONSULTING	ENGINEERS

NOTE: ALTERNATIVE TO USE OF RMD MEGASHOR IS 203UC60 AND SUBJECT TO APPROVAL WITH TW ENGINEER BEFORE CONSTRUCTION

HIGH LEVEL REAR WALL PLAN

HIGH LEVEL REAR WALL PLAN

HIGH LEVEL REAR WALL PLAN

			31 06/10/2015	ISSUE	D FOR COMMENT	
		R	ev Date	Ame	ndment	
		4 N e v 1 S	XIOM STRI 1: 077380963 mall: office@ /ww.axiom-s ST FLOOR, E1 1HF LOM	JCTUF 317, T: 2axlom tructur 28 MA 1DON	RES LIMITED 20 3637 2751 -structures.co.uk es.co.uk RSHALSEA ROA	۰D
	REFER TO TW-200 FOR PROPOSED SEQUENCE OF WORKS	s	17 W/ NW3	ADH A	AM GARDEN	S
		Df	TEMPO REAR	DRAF BAS	RY WORKS SEMENT	
= KNOWLES BAS = PRINGUER JAM	EMENTS MES CONSULTING ENGINEERS	1	MAR '15 AP		SCALE 1:50 AT A1 1:100 AT A3 DRAWING STATUS FOR APPROVAL	
		- Df	AWING No.: 15011/TW/20	-14 12		REV B1

APPENDIX B

OUTLINE CALCULATIONS FOR TEMPORARY WORKS

15011 TW

Introduction - Stage 1

Spacing of struts =

The worst case surcharge/lateral loading is applied from boundary of 19 Wadham due to existing building Stage 1 of underpinning with hit and miss full depth pins to resist sliding of the base High Level Shores are to be either Mega Shores RMD or mild steel 203UC as noted below

Basement wall with surcharge from the adjacent building.

3 m

Load to raking strut A at R = Rake = Fa x Ls / cos(t angle = 90-angle) =		90 171	degree kN	
V =Upwards parallel to t	face = Fa x Ls x tar	(90 - angle) =	0.0	kN	
Check Sliding of U/pins					
Load to strut B					
Axial = Fb x Ls =			30	kN <== resisted by pa	ssive resistance
Dessive force resistance	_				
Kn x red factor $(1.0) =$	-	2.04			
Hn -		2.04 1.5 m			
		1.5 m 18 kN/m2			
5 - DD - 0 5 v a v Hn 1 2 v Kn	-	11 2 kN/m/m		- P x 1width at 3m -	11 2 KN
FF = 0.5 x g x Hp 2 x kp	-	41.5 KN/III/II			41.5 KN
Resistance due to friction	on to soil from self	-weigth of wall			
Existing Wall Swt = 225t	hk wall x 6m (h) =	30 kN/m	(low value	dead load of wall only	, conservative)
350thk RC x 2m h =		17			
	Fmin =	47 kN/m			
arphi' = 20 Clay					
Base friction = Fmin x ta	n (2/3*20deg) =	11.1 kN / m		B x 3 width =	33 kN
				total =	75 kN
Sliding check =		Facto	or of Safety F	OS = R / Hb =	2.49
					> 1.5 OK
Design of High Level St	rut A = at 3m CRS				
L effective =	6.0 m				
Fsls Δ =	205 Kn <= contino	us shan of waling heam	_	_	
Fuls A =		$(\gamma f = 1.5)$		K Ea	
1 415 7 1		(/ · _ · · · · /			
Lateral Restraint Strut A	by Scaffold Tube	- not required		angle	
Lateral Restraint Strut A	by Scarrolu Tube	- not required		angle	
				Earth	
				<	
				-	
MEGAS	HOP				
MEGAS	NOR			R.M.D	
1000KN SUPPO	ORT SYSTEM	4		KWIKFORM	

1.1.6.4. Horizontal Megashor (MK1 & MK2) Axial AWL YY Axis (Web vertical plane)

Alternative is to use waling beam as fully tied underpinning together over 1.0m, horiz. H12 at 200crs NF+FF = 5H12 at 200 crs = Mc uls = 210kNm / Mc sls = 140kNm

Alternative is to use 203U	C60 = I	xx =	6130 cm4		def=	3.32 mm
	ſ	Vb = L=3m =	169 kNm		M/Mb =	0.34
Design Waling Beam = fron	n Analysis			= for 203UC46	5	
Mmax uls = 1.4x62	86.8 k	Nm	Mb= Le=3m =	153 k	Nm	0.57 OK
Nmax uls = 1.4 x 208 =	291.2 k	(N	Pcx = Le=3m =	1930 k	N	0.15 OK
Combined check =	Mmax/Mb + Nm	ax / Pc =	0.72 < 1.0	ОК		
Vuls= 1.4 x 113	158.2 k	(N	Pv =	312 k	N	
	Ra =	194	kN /m			
	Rb =	208	8 kN /m			
Load to raking strut B (Criti	cal) at $lpha$ =	45	degree			
Axial = Fa x Ls / cos(angle) =	=	294.2	kN			
Upwards parallel to face =	Fa x Ls x tan(90 - a	angle) =	208	8.0 kN (critical)		
Web bearing and buckling	on struts - continu	ious support				
Pw=C1+b1C2 =	655 k	N OK				
Px= K(C4Pw)^0.5 =	511.2 k	N OK	no stiffeners requi	red >	• 295x1.4 =	412 kN
Deflection Check =						
deflection =	3.6 r	nm	L1/250 :	= 4.8 r	nm OK	

Use 203UC60 to suit cross props and limit deflection to less than 3mm

conservatively stiffness of RC pins ignored in assessment.

Description:

Units: Consistent

Beam Properties:

Х	EI	Disp	Rotation	V Spring	R Spring
0 1 3.5	71450	0 0			

Point Loads:

Х	PLoad	Moment

Uniform Loads:

XStart	XEnd	UStart	UEnd
0	2 5	_ 2	- 24
0.6	1.6	-63	0

Analysis Data:

```
Beam Length = 3.5
Number of Nodes = 205
Number of Elements = 204
Number of Degrees of Freedom = 410
```

Reactions:

Х	Vert	Rot
1.000000 3.500	57.007 6.993	

Equilibrium:

	Force	Reaction	Diff	
Vert	-64.000	64.000	0.000	
Rot	81.483	-81.484	-0.000	

Min & Max values:

Min	Shear	=	-26.560	at	1.000000
Max	Shear	=	30.447	at	1.000000
Min	Moment	=	-6.835	at	1.000000
Max	Moment	=	8.052	at	2.188
Min	Rotation	=	-7.65e-005	at	3.500
Max	Rotation	=	7.401e-005	at	1.278
Min	Deflection	= -	-6.383e-005	at	2.240
Max	Deflection	=	4.644e-005	at	0

Introduction - Stage 2

The worst case surcharge/lateral loading is applied from boundary of 19 Wadham Gardens Stage 2 of underpinning is completed and high and low level props are in place High Level Shores are to be either Mega Shores RMD or mild steel 203UC as noted below

Refer to MS TW-200 for sequence of works.

Basement wall with surcharge from the adjacent building.

Temporary horizontal strut design

g =	18 kN/m3	(unit weight)	BS8002
fi =	20 degree		not critical
Ka =	0.5		
a =	1 m	P_Q	
b =	2.3 m		a 3
C=	0.8 m		a a
H =	4.1 m		b + 1950
0.25H =	1.03 m		- 3
	NA / 11		C 0.27H-0.47H
Active Pressure	on Wall	Ps Pe	
Farth			8)
Do at H -	ka v a v H –	36.9 kN/m/m	Stiff clay
	$Ra \wedge g \wedge \Pi =$	75.6 kN/m	R58002+1994
rei-	Perix 11 x 0.5 -	75.0 KN/III	D30002 . 1354
Surcharge			
S =	2.5 kN/m2		
Ps =	S x ka =	1.25 kN/m/m	
Ps T =	Ps x H =	5.125 kN/m	
he =		0.07 m	
Water:			
not considered	in temporary condition		
For Tri Load = n	nax. Pe + Ps	38.2 kN/m/m (short te	rm temporary works)
Force = For Tri	Load = max PeT + PsT	80.8 kN/m (long ter	m temporary works)
Surcharge from	point load - Empirical met	nod by Terzaghi	line load of magnitude Q_i (kN per metre run of the wall) may be considered to exect a additional lateral force of P_i per metre run, given by
		74 1 14 /	$P_e = Q_e \sqrt{k_e}$ (4.11) be tree-time distribution is as shown in Fieure 4.7 (adapted from Williams and Wate
QL = DL + IL		/1 kN/m	993).
$Pn = QL x (Ka)^{n}$	0.5	50.2 kN/m	3. see
A =		1.5 m	[.n.ma]
TI' =		20 degree	
45+fi'/2		55 degree	
$Dtop = A^tg(fi')$	(0) 0.	0.55 m	+ A17+62 A-22,0 VP
$D = A^{tg}(45+fi)$	(2)-Dtop =	1.60 m	
nn - 20n / D -		C2 I/NI /m2 /m2	
pn = 2pn / D =		63 KN/11/11	nna 47 - Partone davan tva ine inet
			Ciria C580
Loads in strute			
Erom Analysis -	- Fa -	56 kN /m	
	Fb -	50 kN /m	
	10 -	55 KN / III	
Spacing of strut	rs =	3 m	← Fa
Spacing of strat	.5 –	5 11	
			angle
Load to raking	strut A at angle =	90 degree	
R = Rake = Fa x	Ls / cos(90-angle) =	168.0 kN	Fb
V =Upwards pa	rallel to face = Fa x Ls x tan	90 - angle) = 0	.0 kN
pu		<u> </u>	
Load to raking	strut B		
Axial = Fb x Ls =		177 kN	

MEGASHOR

1.1.6.4. Horizontal Megashor (MK1 & MK2) Axial AWL YY Axis (Web vertical plane)

 Use RMD MEGA SHORE Props at max 3m crs

 End RMD Jack Base = AWL = 450kN
 > Fb =
 168 kN OK

BY INSPECTION OF STAGE 1, WALING BEAMS ALTERNATIVES ARE 203UC60

0.42

Description:

Units: Consistent

Beam Properties:

Х	EI	Disp	Rotation	V Spring	R Spring
0 1 3.3 4.1	71450	0 0			

Point Loads:

Х	PLoad	Moment

Uniform Loads:

XStart	XEnd	UStart	UEnd
0	4.1	-2	-38.2
0.6	⊥.6	-63	0

Analysis Data:

```
Beam Length = 4.1
Number of Nodes = 205
Number of Elements = 204
Number of Degrees of Freedom = 410
```

Reactions:

Х	Vert	Rot
1.000000 3.300	55.153 58.757	

Equilibrium:

	Force	Reaction	Diff	
Vert Rot	-113.910 249.051	113.910 -249.051	0.000	

Min & Max values:

	-1				
Mın	Shear	=	-31.022	at	3.300
Max	Shear	=	28.578	at	1.000000
Min	Moment	=	-11.471	at	3.300
Max	Moment	=	5.880	at	2.091
Min	Rotation	=-4	.062e-005	at	2.829
Max	Rotation	= 4	.252e-005	at	1.312
Min	Deflection	=-3	.263e-005	at	2.091
Max	Deflection	= 1	.376e-005	at	0

Introduction - Stage 3

The worst case surcharge/lateral loading is applied from boundary of 19 Wadham due to existing building Stage 2 of underpinning is completed and basement slab, high and low level props are in place Sub basement shores are to be either Mega Shores RMD or mild steel 203UC as noted below

Refer to MS TW-200 for sequence of works.

Basement wall with surcharge from the adjacent building.

Temporary horizontal strut design

g =	18 kN/m3	(unit	weight)	BS800	2
fi =	20 degree		•	not cri	tical
Ka =	0.5				
2 =	1 m	PO			
a –	2.7	F_Q			
D =	3.7 11			★ ^⁴	
C=	0.7 m				
d=	0.7 m	•		b	
H =	6.1 m			_ <u> </u>	Base slab
0.25H =	1.53 m			c	_
				d	_
Active Pressure	e on Wall		Ps Pe	-	
Earth:					
Pe at H =	ka x g x H =	54.9 kN/m	n/m		3
Pe T =	PeH x H x 0.5 =	167.4 kN/m	1	BS8002: 1994	
					HOSO HSZ
Surcharge				~	of 1
S =	2.5 kN/m2				0.27H-047H
Ps =	Sxka=	1.25 kN/m	n/m		
Ps T =		7.625 kN/m	·/···		d)
F3 I -	F3 X II -	0.025 KN/II	1		Shiff day
ne =		0.07 11			Sint Cay
Water:					
not considered	in temporary condition	1			
For Tri Load - r	nav Bo i Do	EE 2 KN/m	/m (chort tor	m tomporary works)	
	lidx. re + rs	30.2 KN/II			
Force = For Th	LOdu = max Per + Psr	1/5.1 KN/II	i (iong tern	i temporary works)	
Surcharge from	n point load - Empirical	method by Terzaghi		A line load of magnitude Q_i (kN per metre n an additional lateral force of P_i per metre run	an of the wall) may be considered to exert , given by
0I = DI +II		71 kN/m	,	$P_n = Q_1 . \sqrt{K_n}$	(4.11)
$P_{n} = O[x/(K_{n})A]$	05	50.2 kN/m	, ,	The pressure distribution is as shown in Figu 1993).	re 4.7 (adapted from Williams and Waite,
	0.5	JU.2 KN/II	1	· · · ·	
A =		1.5 m		Q ₄ (ANIM)	
fi' =		20 degre	ee	P. 10741	
45+fi'/2		55 degre	ee		
Dtop = A*tg(fi')		0.55 m		D(m)	
D = A*tg(45+fi'	/2)-Dtop =	1.60 m		45.62	Q, / K, shim 2P, JD kPa
pn = 2Pn / D =		63 kN/m	ı/m		
Chask handing	of size.				
Check bending	or pins:	24 14	1	Figure 4.7 Pressure diagram for a line load	
	iviuis max = u/pin	span 24 kinm,	'm		
	not critical for 2m spa	cing between props			
	As prov min = B785, co	over 50, 350thk = Mc = 90	kNm / m Ol	K	
Loads in struts:	_				
	Fa =	67 kN /r	n <==mega	snores as previously	
From Analysis =	= Fb =	97 kN /r	n <==as con	structed basement ra	ift
	Fc =	46 kN /r	n		
			_	Fa	
Spacing of stru	ts =	2 m		←	
				Fb	
Load to raking	strut C at angle =	90 degre	ee		
R = Rake = Fa x	ls / cos(90-angle) =	92.0 kN		EC EC	_
V -I Inwards na	rallel to face - Ea y Ls y	tan(90 - angle) -	0.0		
• -opwarus µa		(30 angie) -	0.0		
Load to raking	<u>strut C</u>				
Axial = Fb x Is -		92 kN			
$\Delta xial = 10 S =$		128 LN			
		6 m			
		0 111			
Mild Steel Sect	ion = 152UC30				
Pcy (Le=6) =	243 kN	>		> Fb = uls	138 kN OK

0.57

Description:

Units: Consistent

Beam Properties:

Х	EI	Disp	Rotation	V Spring	R Spring
0 1 3.7 4.4 5.4 6.1	71450	0 0 0			

Point Loads:

Х	PLoad	Moment

Uniform Loads:

XStart	XEnd	UStart	UEnd
0	6.1	-2	-56.2

Analysis Data:

```
Beam Length = 6.1
Number of Nodes = 207
Number of Elements = 206
Number of Degrees of Freedom = 414
```

Reactions:

 Х	Vert	Rot
$1.000000 \\ 4.400 \\ 5.400$	66.636 96.587 45.788	

Equilibrium:

	Force	Reaction	Diff	
Vert	-209.010	209.010	-0.000	
Rot	738.871	-738.871	-0.000	

Min & Max values:

Min	Shear	=	-59.674	at	4.400
Max	Shear	=	40.033	at	1.000000
Min	Moment	=	-28.145	at	4.400
Max	Moment	=	21.330	at	2.592
Min	Rotation	=	-0.000242	at	3.812
Max	Rotation	=	0.000281	at	1.189
Min	Deflection	=	-0.0003029	at	2.623
3.0	D -		0 0000560		0

Introduction - REAR WALL

High and low level propping is required to retain rear wall until permanent structure is in place, refer to TW-202 for sequence of works

Temporary Struts to Rear Garden - Retaining Wall

g =	18 kN/m3	(unit wei	ght)	
fi =	20 degree	(Angle of	internal friction)	
Ka =	0.5			BS8002
a =	1 m		_	not critical
b =	2.3 m		TW03	
c=	0.8 m			Fa
H =	4.1 m			
0.25H =	1.03 m		TW04	Fb Fb
Active Pressu	re on Wall			0.27H-047H
Earth:				(b
Pe at H =	ka x g x H =	36.9 kN/m/m		
Pe T =	PeH x H x 0.5 =	75.6 kN/m	(conventional triangular shape)	Stiff clay
Surcharge				
S =	5 kN/m2			
Ps =	S x ka =	2.5 kN/m/m		
Ps T =	Ps x H =	10.3 kN/m		
he =		0.14 m		
Water:				
not considere	d in temporary condition			
at O		2.5 kN/m/m		
Pe+Ps at H=		39.4 kN/m/m	(short term temporary works)	
Loads in line o	of struts:			
From Analysis	5 = Fa =	25 kN /m		
	Fb =	63 kN /m		
Spacing of str	uts =	2.5 m	Fa	
Load to raking	g strut A at angle =	45 degree	< _{Fb}	=== horizontal angle
Axial = Fa x Ls	$/\cos(90-\text{angle}) =$	88.4 kN	c	
sideway to fac	ce = Fa x Ls x tan(90 - angle) =		62.5 kN	
Load to raking	g strut B at angle =	45 degree		
Axial = Fb x Ls	/ cos(90-angle) =	222.7 kN		
sideway to fac	ce = Fb x Ls x tan(90 - angle) =		157.5 kN	
-				

81.18 kN

similar but less than triang

Load to struts BS 8002 when rectanural distribution:

 $0.4x(18)x^2/3x(a+b)/2 \times 4.1 \times 2.5=$

Design of Cross Strut A = Worst Case <u>High Level</u>, apply to TW03

Apply a single prop at top

L effective =	5.0 m	
Fsls A =	88 kN	
Fuls A =	133 kN	(γ f = 1.5)

Lateral Restraint Strut A by Scaffold Tube - not required

Extract from RMD S/Slim Buckling About the Y Axis

Bearing on concrete face = $F_v / 0.1 \times 0.1 =$

9.375 N/mm2 < 40N/mm2 / 1.5 = 26N/mm2

Design of Cross Strut B = Worst Case Low Level, apply to TW04

L effective =	5.0 m	
Fsls A =	223 kN	
Fuls A =	334 kN	(γ f = 1.5)

Lateral Restraint Strut - not required

Use 203x203x46UC S355 at max 2.5m crs							
Pcy = le=5m =	409 kN	Fuls / Pcy =	0.82				
Pv =	241 kN						

Connection to face of wall = PER PROP, consider at angle 45 degree

Fh = from above Fh = uls		157.5 kN <=== 236.3 kN	per prop (γf = 1.5)	(γ f = 1.5) (Single Rake B)	
Use 2M16 Resin Anchors = Rawl R-K	ex , cmin=				
cv > 300 = Vrd c = Vrd s = M16 8.8 =	s = 150 =	0.9 52.7 kN/ ancl 58.9 kN / anc	2 hor hor	fb_n = C40 =	. 1.1
capacity for 6 anchors=		316.4 kN	> Vuls	236 kN	Use 6M16 at 150crs / per prop
Connect with 15thk end plate x 200	x 200			100 kN >	157.5 kN OK
Note: Conservatively friction of end	plate to rou	gh concrete face	not conside	red.	

Bearing on concrete face = F_v / 0.2 x 0.2 = 5.90625 N/mm2 < 40N/mm2 / 1.5 = 26N/mm2

31

- to match size of existing footings under piers or as below for side walls where distribution of load is possible

Towards no15-17 & 17-19 Wadham Gardens

1st Stage U/pin = typical side walls 350THK - THE WORST CASE - DIG 1

Loadings:					
	LorW x	DL+IL	DL+	-IL	
Roof x 5/2m	2.5 x	1.5		3.75	
Floor x 3no x 5/2	7.5 x	1.25		9	(2nd,1st, GF timber)
9" Brick Wall chimneys x 1.5	1.5 x	5		7.5	allowance distributed
13.5" Brick Wall x 7h =	7 x	7.2		50.4	
350thk RC wall x 2.5 =	2.5 x	8.4		21	
			w =	92 kN/m	
Allowable GBP = C=50				100 kN/m2	
unloading of the base = 2.5r	m x 16 x 0.75 =	:		30	
		AB	BP tot=	130 kN/m2	
B of temporary = w / ABP =	=	0.71 m			
B used =		0.750 m	=>	pressue =	122.7 kN/m2
2nd Stage U/pin = typical si	ide walls 350T	HK - THE WORST (CASE - DIG	2	
Loadings:					
	LorW x	DL+IL	DL+	-IL	
Roof x 5/2m	2.5 x	1.5		3.75	
Floor x 3no x 5/2	7.5 x	1.25		9	(2nd,1st, GF timber)
9" Brick Wall chimneys x 1.5	1.5 x	5		7.5	allowance distributed
13.5" Brick Wall x 7h =	7 x	7.2		50.4	
350thk RC wall x 4.8 =	2.5 x	8.4		21	
			w =	92 kN/m	
Allowable GBP = C=75				180 kN/m2	AS PER SI BELOW 3.5m
unloading of the base = 4.8r	m x 16 x 0.75 =	:		57.6	
		AB	BP tot=	237.6 kN/m2	_
B of temporary = w / ABP =	=	0.39 m			
B used =		0.750 m	=>	pressue =	122.7 kN/m2

Temporary Piles Design Notes:

Specialist subcontractor is to carry out detail design of embedded piles. principles of pile design are as per SI report prepared by Soil Consultants, BIM page 36-37

Axiom Structures have been involved in similar projects where 350dia piles were successfully used to form propped embedded contigous piled walls using miniand medium size piling rigs.

As per temporary internal piles design, the maximium force does not exceed 250kN per pile

Scheme pile design for information is as follows and subject to detail design.

Plie Capacity Estimating				
End Bearing Capacity in granular soil = (I	Nq D d Ab)/FOS1			
but not more than 0.45fcuAb/1.5 or 1500	DAb/FOS1 (in sand or gravel).			
Shaft Friction Capacity in granular soil =	(Ks AvgD As $tan(\varphi)$)/FOS2			
Friction limited to 110kN/m2, except in Ro End Bearing Capacity in Clay Soil =(Nc c Nc taken as 9.	ub Ab)/FOS1 but not more than 0.4	5fcuAb/	1.5.	
Shaft Friction Capacity in Clay Soil = (α C	(AS)/FUS2.			
α taken as 0.5				
Factors of Safety: -	Soil Type	Fill	Clay	Clay
For End Bearing = 2.5	Depth from Surface (m)	0.00	5.00	10.00
		C 00	10.00	20.00
For Shaft Friction = 3.0	to (m)	5.00	10.00	20.00
For Shaft Friction = 3.0	to (m) Soil Density D (kN/m2) =	5.00	18	18
For Shaft Friction = 3.0 fcu = 35 N/mm2	to (m) Soil Density D (kN/m2) =	5.00	18	18
For Shaft Friction = 3.0 fcu = 35 N/mm2	to (m) Soil Density D (kN/m2) =	0	18 Ng =	18
For Shaft Friction = 3.0 fcu = 35 N/mm2 Coefficient of Lateral Pressure	to (m) Soil Density D (kN/m2) = Cohesion at base	cub (kN	18 Nq = I/m2) =	20.00
For Shaft Friction = 3.0 fcu = 35 N/mm2 Coefficient of Lateral Pressure (Granular Soils) Ks: -	to (m) Soil Density D (kN/m2) = Cohesion at base Upper Limit o	cub (kN	18 Nq = I/m2) = I/m2) =	20.00 18 21 26250
For Shaft Friction = 3.0 fcu = 35 N/mm2 Coefficient of Lateral Pressure (Granular Soils) Ks: - For Driven Piles = 0.5	to (m) Soil Density D (kN/m2) = Cohesion at base Upper Limit o Shaft Friction Angle φ =	cub (kN	Nq = 1/m2) = 1/m2) = 20	20.00 18 21 26250 20

	Length	Driven Square Piles				Bored Circular Piles					
	(m)	250	300	350	200	300	375	450	600	750	900
-	10.00	47	68	93	24	53	83	120	214	334	481
ea line	15.00	95	136	185	48	107	167	240	428	668	962
	20.00	142	204	278	71	160	250	361	641	1002	1443
~	Fill	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P 9	Clay	97	116	136	61	91	114	137	183	229	274
tion ha	10.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s 5 s	15.00	41	49	57	26	39	48	58	77	96	116
	20.00	164	197	229	103	154	193	232	309	386	463
for	10.00	144	184	228	85	145	198	257	397	562	755
ang	15.00	232	302	378	134	237	329	435	687	993	1352
2 3	20.00	403	517	643	235	406	558	729	1133	1616	2180

Tension Vertical Capacity (kN)

Length	So	uare Pil	es	Circular Piles						
(m)	250	300	350	200	300	400	450	600	750	900
10.00	48	58	68	30	46	57	69	91	114	137
15.00	69	83	97	43	65	81	97	130	162	195
20.00	130	156	183	82	123	154	184	246	307	369