

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2015/5082/P | Ruth Jackson | 45 Oak Village London NW5 4QL. | 25/09/2015 14:15:49 | OBJEMAIL | <p>I am respectfully objecting to this planning application in its proposed form. The proposed extension is too big and dominating and is not secondary to the existing building but equal to it in height. This application ignores Camden planning policy on the size of rear extensions which state that it “should be secondary to the building being extended” in scale and form. Further, it does not respect the pattern and style of existing rear extensions and as such it would set a dangerous precedent for future planning applications allowed in Oak Village. It will have an adverse effect on the skyline of Oak Village with its unbroken run of valley roofs because it introduces roof level gables which are found nowhere else in Oak Village and are incongruous with the appearance and historical integrity of these early Victorian London brick and Stucco terraced houses. The Black stained timber cladding is completely inappropriate and historically inaccurate, a feature more resonant of houses along the Kentish coast than a Victorian terrace in Kentish Town. In architectural terms the houses in Oak Village and Elaine Grove are unique, the last of their kind after post war demolition, they provide a charming, picturesque mid 19th century townscape which is why they are included in Camden Council’s ‘Local List’. The heritage of these two streets has to date been remarkably well preserved, but for how much longer? I urge caution when considering planning applications that threaten our collective heritage and I hope the applicants come back with a more sympathetic proposal.</p> |
