From: Kate McCann <

Sent: 20 September 2015 12:22

To: Planning

Cc:Ian Gilbert; Michael Barnett; Paul Tobias; Alan SandersSubject:Planning objection 2015/5085/P 14 Westfield NW37SG

FAO Carlos Martin

I wish to object to the above request for planning permission.

Westfield apartments were designed to be sympathetic to the local area. If the external appearance is altered, it will detract from the symmetry of the entire development. A precedent would be set and, in time, this could lead to a change that would not reflect the Hampstead style.

Yours sincerely

Kate McCann

48 Westfield

Sent from my iPad

From: Paul Tobias

Sent: 18 September 2015 18:44

To: Planning

Cc: Kate McCann; Alan Sanders

Subject: Application ref: 2015/5085/P 14 Kidderpore Avenue

Carlos Martin: Thank you for your letter of 16th September regarding comments on the above application. I am a leaseholder in Westfield, the apartment block in which the subject property is situated and also on the Board of Westfield Management Company. In both capacities I wish to object to the application as follows:

- 1.Design and layout the apartment blocks at Westfield were designed as a whole with a common visual appeal and consistency. The proposals will serve to break this and introduce individual design variations which do not match with the theme at present.
- 2. If approved this may serve as a precedent thereby exacerbating the problems in 1. above.
- 3. External appearance the extension will, in addition to the above comments, detract from the character not only of Westfield Apartments but also the local area.
- 4. If allowed and if results in others being granted similar permission there will be a prejudicial effect on privacy.

Paul Tobias

From: Alan Sanders

Sent: 19 September 2015 18:06

To: Planning

Subject: Planning Application Ref 2015/5085/P

att Carlos Martin

Dear Mr Martin

I am responding to the request for comments on the above planning application at Flat 14 Westfield, Kidderpore Avenue London NW3 7SG

I am a leaseholder in Westfield, I wish to object to the application as follows:

Westfield is an award winning development and was so recognised in or around 200/2001. It was granted the award for a number of reasons including its architectural appeal within a conservation area. The development was designed as a whole to fit in with the sensitivities of the area; and significant attention was paid by to this aspect at the time.

With this in mind my objections are as follows

- 1 The proposal will introduce individual design variations which do not match with the current design and would be a significant detraction.
- 2. If approved this will most probably be used as a precedent for future similar extensions as there are 149 flats in the development and some other leaseholders may well wish to add to their properties.
- 3. The extension will, in addition to the above comments, detract from the character not only of the Westfield development but also the local conservation area.
- 4. If permitted there will be a prejudicial effect on privacy regarding neighbouring properties.

It is for these reasons that I object most strongly to the approval of this application.

Thank you for the opportunity to express these views.

Yours sincerely

alan sanders

Alan B Sanders
tel;
mob:
59 Westfield
Kidderpore Avenue
London NW3 7SF



From: Michael Barnett

Sent: 21 September 2015 17:25

To: Planning

Subject: Re: Planning Objection 2015/5085/P 14 Westfield NW37SG

FAO Carlos Martin

I wish to object to the above request for planning permission.

Westfield apartments were designed to be sympathetic to the local area.

If the external appearance is altered, it will detract from the symmetry of the entire development.

A precedent would be set and, in time, this could lead to a change that would not reflect the Hampstead style.

Yours sincerely

Michael Barnett

41 Westfield