

[REDACTED]

From: Hilary Ingleton [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 September 2015 07:14
To: Planning
Subject: Re: 2015/4776/P. objection

Dear sir

I have been informed by my neighbours that letters were received on Friday 19th September regarding proposed works at the BT telephone exchange in Kelly St. I understand that the deadline for comments is today, 21 September. I have been away for the weekend, having left home before the post arrived on Friday and with no access to a computer, which means I have had no opportunity to review the proposed scheme before the deadline.

Furthermore there has been no visible notice posted in the street to alert us to the proposal - I walk past the building in question twice a day and would have certainly seen any information that was correctly displayed.

The council has clearly failed to give the residents the statutory length of time required to review and comment on these proposals. Given the potential impact of what I understand to be a very tall mast looming over the characterful listed buildings of Kelly Street, I request the deadline for comments is extended to allow for a proper consultation with affected neighbours.

Please advise me how you intend to proceed.

Regards,

Hilary Ingleton
22 Kelly Street
NW1 8PH

Sent from my iPhone

[REDACTED]

From: Marion Hume [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 September 2015 19:36
To: Planning
Subject: 2015/4776/P. objection

Dear Sirs

I strongly object to having so little time to respond to this planning application. 2015/4776/P.

we received a letter dated 2nd Sept only on 18th Sept.

This does not give us, as one of the houses closest to the proposed pole-mounted Omni antenna, any time to assess what this might mean to us.

The description with the application appears misleading as to how it refers to the height of the structure.

Having lived right next to Kentish Town TE for 30+ years, I know there have been various attempts to be less than transparent in the past.

I believe these plans are not presented in a manner which allows us to assess them properly at such short notice.

I am also concerned as to how this structure will be transported into Kentish Town TE and what is needed to maintain it.

The entry point from Kelly Street, next to my house, has been recently patched with asphalt because the concrete was so cracked through use of HGVs it has completely shattered.

It is our belief that the drain under the entry is also shattered - we reported this to Kentish Town TE last year, and is leading to damp problems in our house which are currently being monitored

If this structure is transported in on a large truck - as masts have been in the past - there could be major ramifications for my property

I am extremely concerned that this mast may be yet another example of BT extending the agreed use of the yard by stealth and with no attempt to consult with local residents.

I would ask you to look at the plans and observe just how close our bedroom window at no 24 is to the building. Should not a proper consultation be needed here with local residents? This beyond the question of planning permission?

I feel I am being coerced and hurried over a scant 3 day time scale to make reasoned objection to something which could do no less than alter my quality of life for the next 30 years or more in which I hope to be living here.

I do not object to BT being allowed to carry out its business in the modern age of digital communication.

I do, and strongly object to being given what I believe to be misleading information, the time scale and that lack of any attempt on BTs part to talk to local residents

I am aware this goes beyond the remit of planning permission but I would ask you to consider this strong objection when you are reaching a decision of 2015/4776/P.

your sincerely
Marion Hume
24 Kelly Street, NW1 8PH

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 September 2015 06:34
To: Planning
Subject: Ref Application Number: 2015/4776/P (NW1 8PH)

Type: GPDO Prior Approval Determination,
Address: Telephone Exchange, Kelly Street, Camden, NW1 8PH,

To the responsible planning officer,

I am writing to object to the above application.

No 19 Kelly Street, where our family has lived since 1986, is directly opposite the BT yard and the view from the front windows will become dominated by this heightened mast. I understand that the antenna will reach a height much greater than erroneously indicated in the application and much higher than those that adorn the building at present. Such an extension in height is objectionable in itself but if granted also sets a precedent for indefinite upward expansion which BT might claim to need in order to service their clients at the expense of ourselves, the local residents..

Yours,

Hugh Jones