

[REDACTED]

From: John Gardiner [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 September 2015 19:56
To: Planning
Cc: Christine Pullen; Remmington, James
Subject: Fleet House 2015/5149/T

Dear Sir,
It is unclear as to which trees this application relates .

If the trees to which the application relates were in the footpath adjacent to Fleet House.
The applicants do not have the consent of the landowner to enter upon his land committing an act of trespass so as to unlawfully remove branches from his or her trees , thereby constituting the criminal offence of theft .

The application is not made for the benefit of the trees , it is made to facilitate development on adjacent land.and that is not a good reason to take such action on the trees.

The application contradicts itself . Again assuming that the trees were on the footpath they are protected by TPOs .

If, as appears possible from the map, these trees are on the north bank of Admirals Walk the they are owned by the owners of Grove Lodge and there is no suggestion that they have given their consent to the same. Furthermore all the trees on that bank were recently pruned by contractors for Camden and in terms of tree health care no further pruning is required. Again, therefore, if these are the trees to which the application relates it should be refused.

In no circumstances does this applicant or the person for whom they are acting own the trees to which the application relates . Statements made to the contrary are misleading and untrue.

John Gardiner
Admirals House
Admirals Walk
NW3 6RS