2015/4575/P John Ker 23 Rosecroft 17/09/2015 13:40:40 OBJ   Avenue London NW3 7QA Mr. Duchen (the applicant) has already obtained planning permission for an extension (granted despite Camden Councils' refusal) after an appeal to the Planning Inspectorate. This Planning Application has been made to lengthen the extension at lower ground level and and bring it closer to the boundary. It removes the set back designed to soften the visual impact of this disproportionately large extension.   21, Rosecroft Avenue is half of a mirrored semi-detached property, the other half being 19, Rosecroft Avenue. The extension which is large in proportion to the existing building will destroy the symmetry of the building in this a conservation area! If granted It will lead to a loss of light since it is to the south of rmy property overlooked with a significant loss of privacy.   Mr. Duchen has made a number of Planning Applications since 2012/6688P and as yet no start has been made on the building work. It seems to me that he is playing some game of "Ducks And Drakes" to get a disproportionate, inelegant and gross building extension approved (which will add not a jot to the architectural integrity of Rosecroft Avenue).   Yours sincerely	Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 18/09/2015 09:05:19 Response:
Avenue. The extension which is large in proportion to the existing building will destroy the symmetry of the building in this a conservation area! If granted It will lead to a loss of light since it is to the south of my property and because 21 Rosecroft Avenue is in an elevated position the effect will be to make my property overlooked with a significant loss of privacy.Mr. Duchen has made a number of Planning Applications since 2012/6688P and as yet no start has 	2015/4575/P	John Ker	Avenue London	17/09/2015 13:40:40	OBJ	Camden Councils' refusal) after an appeal to the Planning Inspectorate. This Planning Application has been made to lengthen the extension at lower ground level and and bring it closer to the boundary. It
been made on the building work. It seems to me that he is playing some game of "Ducks And Drakes" to get a disproportionate, inelegant and gross building extension approved (which will add not a jot to the architectural integrity of Rosecroft Avenue).						Avenue. The extension which is large in proportion to the existing building will destroy the symmetry of the building in this a conservation area! If granted It will lead to a loss of light since it is to the south of my property and because 21 Rosecroft Avenue is in an elevated position the effect will be to make
Yours sincerely						been made on the building work. It seems to me that he is playing some game of "Ducks And Drakes" to get a disproportionate, inelegant and gross building extension approved (which will add not a jot to
						Yours sincerely
John Ker.						John Ker.