

SCREENING AND SCOPING REPORT: 'LAND STABILITY'

Proposed development:

70 CHURCHWAY, LONDON, NW1 1LT

The site



Client - Mr Anwaruzzaman
D Form Architecture
16 Walker House
Phoenix Road
London
NW1 1EN

Engineer - ESI Environment Specialists
New Zealand House
160-162, Abbey Foregate
Shrewsbury SY2 6FD

Report Ref - 9471/KOG/AW

Date - 10 October 2013

SCREENING AND SCOPING REPORT: 'LAND STABILITY'**PROPOSED REDEVELOPMENT:****70 CHURCHWAY, LONDON NW1 1LT**

Report Authors	
Keith Gibbs	BSc, MSc, FGS
Alan Watson	BSc [Eng], CEnv, CEng, MICE

DOCUMENT ISSUE STATUS:

Issue	Date	Description	Author	Checked/approved
Rev 0	10 October 2013	First issue	Keith Gibbs BSc, MSc, FGS	Alan Watson BSc [Eng], CEnv, CEng, MICE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	SITE DESCRIPTION	1
3.0	STAGE 1 - SCREENING	1
3.1	Land Stability	2
4.0	STAGE 2 - SCOPING	4
4.1	Land Stability	4

APPENDIX

- Proposed development drawings
- Site Plan
- Location Map

BASEMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT - LAND STABILITY 70 CHURCHWAY, LONDON NW1 1LT

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Consideration is being given to redevelopment of the site which will involve demolition of the existing two storey building and the construction of a new four storey residential apartment building. As part of the scheme a new single level basement is also to be excavated beneath the full footprint of the new building with a new external terrace at basement level at the rear of the property.

This report presents the potential impact relating to the proposed subterranean development in terms of 'land stability' as presented in the guidance documents published by Arup 2010: 'Camden geological, hydrogeological and hydrological study: Guidance for subterranean development', Issue01 dated November 2010 and CPG4, 'Basements and Lightwells', published by Camden Council.

The Land stability report is to form an addendum to ESI Limited's report, 'Basement impact assessment: hydrology and hydrogeology' [Ref. 61840R1, dated October 2013].

This Report has been prepared for the benefit of the Client and associated parties directly involved with the design and construction of the project under direction of the Client. No reliance can be assumed by others without written agreement from Soil Consultants Limited.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The site of our investigation comprises the existing terraced property, No 70 Churchway in the Euston district of the London Borough of Camden, at postcode NW1 1LF [OS Grid Ref. TQ 29774 82854].

The site is approximately rectangular on plan with the existing two storey building occupying the whole of the property. The site is about 5.5m wide at the Churchway frontage and measures about 25m to the rear boundary. The property is surrounded by further residential / office and commercial properties.

The site is on ground that is generally level and lies at an approximate elevation of +20mOD. There are no trees within a relevant distance of the site.

3.0 STAGE 1 - SCREENING

The purpose of the screening stage is to determine whether a full Basement Impact Assessment is required and CPG4 provides flowcharts for each of the three disciplines [Groundwater Flow, Land Stability and Surface Flow/Flooding] for this purpose, identifying a series of questions. An answer

of 'Yes' or 'Unknown' will require progression to Stage 2 of the CPG4 categories. Answers of 'No' indicate that no further investigation is generally required - these answers require written justification. The purpose of this section is to present the screening stage for the Land Stability discipline.

3.1 Land Stability

The screening stage for slope stability has been considered as set out in Figure 2 of CPG4 Camden Council, 2010 [Slope stability screening flowchart] and the results have been tabulated in Table 1 below. Responses of note are as follows:

- 🚧 Question 7 [shrink/swell] is answered 'Unknown'. Although the London Clay is expected to be the shallowest strata present [Question 5 - yes]. The absence of any nearby trees would suggest that related shrink/swell concerns should not be an issue and the answer would likely be 'No'. However, this cannot be fully addressed without an intrusive ground investigation and this question is considered further in Stage 2.
- 🚧 Question 9 [historical workings] and Question 10 [aquifer depth], are answered 'Unknown'. These cannot be fully addressed without an intrusive ground investigation and this question is considered further in Stage 2.
- 🚧 Question 12 [adjacent to highway and pedestrian right of way].
- 🚧 Question 13 [differential foundation depths] are answered 'Yes' and are considered further in Stage 2.

All other questions are answered 'No' and supporting evidence supplied as required.

Table 1: Impact of proposed basement works on Land Stability

Impact question	Answer	Justification	Reference
1] Does the existing site include slopes, natural or man-made greater than 7 degrees [approximately 1 in 8]?	No	No significant apparent slope indicated by survey plans	Slope angle map Arup Figure 16
2] Will the proposed re-profiling of landscaping at site change slopes at the property boundary to more than 7 degrees?	No	There are no plans to alter these site levels	Site plans / proposed development plans
3] Does the development neighbour land, including railway cuttings and the like, with a slope greater than 7 degrees?	No	Available survey information shows no other slopes greater than 7 degrees within a relevant distance	Slope angle map Arup Figure 16
4] Is the site within a wider hillside setting in which the general slope is greater than 7 degrees?	No	Map review and assessment of slope angles from survey data	Slope angle map Arup 2010 Figure 16

Impact question	Answer	Justification	Reference
5] Is the London Clay the shallowest stratum at the site?	Yes	Available data shows the London Clay to be the shallowest strata, though some made ground and unmapped superficial soils may also be present.	BGS Published Geology
6] Will any trees be felled as part of the proposed development and/or any works proposed within any tree protection zones where trees are to be retained?	No	Trees are not present on [or within an influencing distance from] the site.	Site plans and public domain photographs
7] Is there a history of seasonal shrinkage/swelling subsidence to the local area, and or evidence of such effects at the site?	Unknown	The London Clay is generally classified as a soil with a high shrinkage/volume change potential. However, this stratum may not have been adversely affected because trees are not present with an influencing radius of the site.	Previous ground investigations in the London Clay Public domain photographs and survey plans
8] Is the site within 100m of a watercourse or a potential spring line?	No	See comments in report presented by ESI Limited	ESI Report
9] Is the site within an area of previously worked ground?	Unknown	Published geological data suggests worked ground nearby to the W/SW but not beneath the site, so confirmation will be required by intrusive investigation	BGS Published Geology and Aruo 2010 Figure 16
10] Is the site within an aquifer? If so; will the proposed basement extend beneath the water table such that dewatering may be required during construction?	Unknown	The BGS map shows the site be underlain by the London Clay which is normally classified as "Unproductive". A perched water table may be present in any permeable layers of made ground or other superficial soils. A determination of whether ground water will be encountered during the basement excavation can only be confirmed following intrusive investigations.	BGS Published Geology / ESI Report
11] Is the site within 50m of the Hampstead Heath Ponds?	No	See comments in report presented by ESI Limited	Ref Figure 14 Arup 2010
12] Is the site within 5m of a highway or pedestrian right of way?	Yes	Churchway pavement along north-western boundary	Site plans
13] Will the proposed basement significantly increase the differential depth of foundations relative to neighbouring properties?	Yes	Basement retaining walls are likely to extend below founding levels to adjacent properties. The movement expected from a properly constructed and supported wall should be relatively small.	Proposed development plans
14] Is the site over [or within] the exclusion zone of any tunnels, e.g. railway lines?	No	None within relevant distance of the site. Nearest underground tunnels are located about 90m to south and run in an approximate SW to NE direction. Nearest overground railway lines are about 200m to the west.	Site location maps

4.0 STAGE 2 - SCOPING

The purpose of Stage 2 is to assess the potential impacts of the proposed scheme that Stage 1 has indicated require further consideration.

These are addressed below for each of the relevant disciplines.

4.1 Land Stability

As discussed in Section 3.1 soil volume change [Question 7] is unlikely to be a significant issue as there are no nearby trees and the new founding depth for the proposed basement will be about 3.5m below ground level so well below the influence of vegetation.

The matter of previously worked ground [Question 9] presents a potential impact as there may be Made Ground below the existing building footprint. The presence of such soils and any dewatering of any contained ground water will need to be addressed by a later intrusive investigation.

The depth of the aquifer in relation to the basement [Question 10] is assessed further by ESI within their Basement Impact Report.

With regard to the impact on adjacent highways / pedestrian right of way [Question 12], the proposed basement extension will abut against the front of the site along Churchway. This means that there will be a new excavation within influencing distance of the Churchway footpath which should be considered during the design of future intrusive ground investigation and during design and construction of the basement structure.

The differential depth of the proposed foundations in relation to neighbouring properties [Question 13] is such that underpinning of party wall foundations may be required and this will need to be discussed as part of a later ground investigation report.



APPENDIX

Plans

- Proposed development drawings
- Site Plans
- Location Maps

Site Location

70 Churchway, London, NW1 1LT

Report No:

9471/KOG

Proposed development [Section A-A]



Plan reduced to A4 size. For scaling please refer to A3 original in ESI BIA report

PROPOSED SECTION-BB



DRAWN BY: AZ	CHECKED BY: AZ
SCALE 1:100 @ A3	DRAWING STATUS Draft
DATE	DRAWING NO: 05
	REV:

DRAWING TITLE
Section-AA

PROJECT TITLE
Redevelopment of 70 Churchway.

CLIENT

ORIGINATOR

D FORM ARCHITECTURE
www.dformarchitecture.co.uk

THE CONTENTS IN THIS DOCUMENT BELONG TO D FORM ARCHITECTURE LTD AND CANNOT BE ALTERED, COPIED, PRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED TO ANY OTHER PERSON OR COMPANY WITHOUT THE OWNER'S CONSENT.

Head Office:
Chiltern House, Earl Howe Road, Holmer Green
High Wycombe, Bucks HP15 6QT
t: 01494 712494
e: mail@soilconsultants.co.uk

Cardiff office:
23 Romilly Road
Cardiff CF5 1FH
t: 02920 403575
e: cardiff@soilconsultants.co.uk

Harwich Office:
Haven House, Albemarle Street
Harwich, Essex CO12 3HL
t: 01255 241639
e: harwich@soilconsultants.co.uk




Site Location

70 Churchway, London, NW1 1LT

Report No:

9471/KOG

Proposed development [Section A-A]



Plan reduced to A4 size.
Please refer to A3 original in ESI BIA report

PROPOSED SECTION-BB



DRAWN BY: AZ	CHECKED BY: AZ
SCALE 1:100 @ A3	DRAWING STATUS Draft
DATE	DRAWING NO: 05
	REV:

DRAWING TITLE
Section-AA

PROJECT TITLE
Redevelopment of 70 Churchway.

CLIENT

ORIGINATOR



D FORM ARCHITECTURE
www.dformarchitecture.co.uk

THE CONTENTS IN THIS DOCUMENT BELONG TO D FORM ARCHITECTURE LTD AND CANNOT BE ALTERED, COPIED, PRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED TO ANY OTHER PERSON OR COMPANY WITHOUT THE OWNER'S CONSENT.

Head Office:
Chiltern House, Earl Howe Road, Holmer Green
High Wycombe, Bucks HP15 6QT
t: 01494 712494
e: mail@soilconsultants.co.uk

Cardiff office:
23 Romilly Road
Cardiff CF5 1FH
t: 02920 403575
e: cardiff@soilconsultants.co.uk

Harwich Office:
Haven House, Albemarle Street
Harwich, Essex CO12 3HL
t: 01255 241639
e: harwich@soilconsultants.co.uk




Site Location

70 Churchway, London, NW1 1LT

Report No:

9471/KOG

Elevation Drawing



Plan reduced to A4 size.
Please refer to A3 original in ESI BIA report


DRAWN BY: Ammr	CHECKED BY: Ammr
SCALE 1:100 @ A3	DRAWING STATUS Draft
DATE Jun'13	DRAWING NO: 03
	REV:

DRAWING TITLE
**Existing & Proposed
Elevation**

PROJECT TITLE
Redevelopment of 70 Churchway.

CLIENT

ORIGINATOR



**D FORM
ARCHITECTURE**
www.dformarchitecture.co.uk

THE CONTENTS OF THIS DOCUMENT BELONG TO D FORM ARCHITECTURE LTD AND CANNOT BE ALTERED, COPIED, REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED TO ANY OTHER PERSON OR COMPANY WITHOUT THE OWNER'S CONSENT.

Head Office:
Chiltern House, Earl Howe Road, Holmer Green
High Wycombe, Bucks HP15 6QT
t: 01494 712494
e: mail@soilconsultants.co.uk

Cardiff office:
23 Romilly Road
Cardiff CF5 1FH
t: 02920 403575
e: cardiff@soilconsultants.co.uk

Harwich Office:
Haven House, Albemarle Street
Harwich, Essex CO12 3HL
t: 01255 241639
e: harwich@soilconsultants.co.uk



Site Plan




DRAWN BY: AJW	CHECKED BY: AL
SCALE: 1:100 @ A3	DRAWING STATUS: Draft
DATE:	DRAWING NO: 05
REV:	

DRAWING TITLE
Existing Ground Floor Plan

PROJECT TITLE
Redevelopment of 70 Churchway.

CLIENT

ORIGINATOR



D FORM ARCHITECTURE
www.dformarchitecture.co.uk

THE CONTENTS OF THIS DOCUMENT BELONG TO D FORM ARCHITECTURE LTD AND CANNOT BE ALTERED, COPIED, PRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED TO ANY OTHER PERSON OR COMPANY WITHOUT THE OWNERS' CONSENT.

Plan reduced to A4 size.
Please refer to A3 original in ESI BIA report

Location Plan




<p>ORIGINATOR D FORM ARCHITECTURE www.dformarchitecture.co.uk</p>	<p>CLIENT</p>	<p>PROJECT TITLE Redevelopment of 70 Churchway.</p>	<p>DRAWING TITLE OS Map</p>	<p>SUPPLIED BY: ProMap</p>	<p>CHECKED BY: A. West</p>
			<p>SCALE 1:1250 @A3</p>	<p>DRAWING STATUS</p>	
			<p>DATE June 13</p>	<p>DRAWING NO. 01</p>	<p>REV.</p>

THE CONTENTS IN THIS DOCUMENT BELONG TO D FORM ARCHITECTURE LTD AND CANNOT BE ALTERED, COPIED, PRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED TO ANY OTHER PERSON OR COMPANY WITHOUT THE OWNER'S CONSENT.

**Plan reduced to A4 size.
Please refer to A3 original in ESI BIA report**

The site



Copyright and database rights 2013 Ordnance Survey