Henry, Genna

 From:
 O'Donnell, Shane

 Sent:
 09 September 2015 16:41

To: Planning

Subject: FW: Planning application 2015/4386/P - **Attachments:** PastedGraphic-1.pdf; PastedGraphic-2.pdf

Shane O'Donnell Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 2944

From: Jill Catteral

Sent: 09 September 2015 11:14

To: O'Donnell, Shane

Subject: Planning application 2015/4386/P

Jill Catterall 9 Fairfax Place London NW6 4EJ

> 14 Fairfax Place London NW^ 4EJ

9th September 2015

Dear Mr O'Donnell

109 Goldhurst Terrace, Planning Application 2015/4386/P

This is a detached building sandwiched between Goldhurst Terrace, Fairfax Place and Coal Yard Mews.

I wish to very strongly object to the above Planning application for the following reasons:

- 1. **The Mansard roof** proposed, which is to be higher and wider, due to the elevation of the pitch than the original roof, will take light out of the gardens in Fairfax Place of which we are one. The seven dormer windows, which will overlook all aspects of the surrounding area, especially Fairfax Place, Goldhurst Terrace and Unit 2 are totally unacceptable. There were no windows overlooking Fairfax Place gardens and houses before. They will look into our gardens and all our back windows directly.
- 2. **The roof terrace**, which is to be placed on the existing garage roof, is at the level of the top of our garden wall. As I understand it from the drawings this will be in opaque glass, high at the side and lower at both ends, giving the availability for anyone on the terrace to look straight into many Fairfax Place gardens

and Coal Yard Mews gardens. Not only will this take light from the Fairfax Place gardens adjacent to it but the movement of people behind this glass will be obvious and very disturbing. The wall is an infringement on our properties and will have a very over powering affect with noise and loss of light and much more upsetting lack of privacy. (see photographs, Right from top floor, Left from garden level) All our windows are only 15 feet from this wall. Could I ask why the patio behind Unit 1, which is to have bi-folding doors is not to be used as the patio garden? *Is a roof terrace necessary*?

3. On the drawing there are two doors shown exiting from bedrooms onto the roof terrace overlooking 9-11Fairfax Place. Is it necessary to have more than one window in a bedroom, there being one in each already? There is an exit down stairs to the ground floor. Should a fire exit be necessary ONE window, with opaque glass, onto the roof terrace is perfectly adequate.

These plans produce over development in a confined area and total lack of privacy to the neighbouring houses of which we are one.

As you will know this development was commenced before planning permission was applied for and the Enforcement Department had to be informed and kindly took action.

For all these reasons I would ask that this planning application be turned down.

I am afraid I was unable to attach the photographs to the Complaints Section of your website. This boarding, we are told, has been put up temporarily but shows the horrific affect, if put up permanently, it would have on our properties for all the reasons that I have explained above.

Jill Catterall 9 Fairfax Place London NW6 4EJ





Camden Council Customer feedback and enquiries Comments on a current Planning Application - Ref. 10841428

Planning Application Details

Year 2015

Number 4386

Letter F

Planning application address 2015/4386/P and 2015/4481/P

Title

Your First Name Eleanor

Initial E

Last Name Leviton

Organisation Beneficial Owner flat B, 111 Goldhurst Terrace

Comment Type Object

Postcode

Address line 1 Flat B 111 Goldhurst Terrace

Address line 2

Address line 3

Postcode NW63HT

E-mail

Confirm e-mail

Contact number

Your comments on the planning application



- 1) I am very concerned that granting permission would decrease the amount of light in my flat
- 2) I do not understand why work seems to have commenced in advance of Planning Permission being granted.
- 3) Allowing permission would mean that 111 would lose privacy
- 4) As regards the Basement, the noise and disruption would be dreadful if excavation started
- 5) I am not sure if there would be problems with movement causing structural damage if there is too much earth taken out and the Foundations could be affected. How do you

Camden Council Customer feedback and enquiries Comments on a current Planning Application - Ref. 10841428

Planning Application Details

control loss of water and the sewage, dirt etc flowing freely?

6) with the proposed usage, how is the extra flow of traffic monitored?

If you wish to upload a file containing your comments then use the link below

No files attached

About this form

Issued by Camden Council

Customer feedback and enquiries

Camden Town Hall Judd Street London WC1H 9JE

Form reference

10841428

6 FAIRHAZEL GARDENS LONDON NW6 3SG

Mr Shane O'Donnell Regeneration and Planning Development Management London Borough of Camden Town Hall London WC1 8ND

6th September 2015

Dear Mr O'Donnell

Re: Planning Application Consultation 109 Goldhurst Terrace, London NW6 3HA

Application Ref: 2015/4356/P Assocated Ref: EN10/0398

EN13/0121 EN15/0041 EN15/0195 EN15/0782 EN15/0810

Dear Mr O'Donnell

I wish to submit my objections to the above planning application.

This proposal was started before any of us in Fairfax Place and the surrounding area were informed of such a huge development. This is NOT just a small building development, it is a massive increase to an existing building both as a roof extension and as a basement extension. The very manner in which this development has been started is a very bad omen for the integrity of this operation and maybe for the manner in which you as our local Council handle this breach.

This development constitutes a HUGE over development and is a dangerous precedent for other houses in Goldhurst Terrace and indeed, the entire 'Gardens' area.

I can already see the huge scaffolding looming above everything else in the area which is very close to my house as I live on the corner of Fairfax Place and Fairhazel Gardens.

I totally oppose this planning application and I do hope you will object to this development.

Yours

Edward de Mesquita