					Printed on:	07/09/2015	09:05:18
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2015/4396/P	Nick Rabin	Flat 3	05/09/2015 16:10:36	OBJ	I object to this application.		
					Scale & Size - this proposal is 1 floor taller that the adjacent historic building. The attic of existing building is not habitable, this proposal exploits the space of the attic and pushes the roof up.		
					It appears to be trying to set a precedent to add an extra floor to Nos 154-156 when they are eventually demolished and rebuilt.		
					Why is there such a huge chimney? What is this for, why does it go up at least an extra storey? Note image 3DModel01 (which is also used in the DAS) cuts off the top of the chimney.		
					Look of the Building - it is terribly ugly and looks to dominate the prominent corner. The soft and appealing about it.	There is nothing	
					The choice of precast concrete is totally out of keeping with the area. It will not weather like brick.	er and mellow	
					The idea that this proposal can be compared with 83 RCS is deluded. That is an attractive with amusing use of high quality materials and clever details. It slips in between 2 exist		
					This proposal is a monolith with slabs of pinkish concrete and glass. It is slapped on the end of the terrace; it just shouts ugliness. The huge chimney is very disturbing.		