Dear Rob,

I am emailing in support of the objections made by the Camden Neighbourhood Association regarding the installation of a work station with loo by the Billiard Table and the proposal to build a basement at 85 Camden Mews NW1. I do not think it will enhance the Camden Square conservation area.

Best wishes

David Lawlor

I am emailing in support of the objections made by the Camden Neighbourhood Association regarding the installation of a work station and a loo by the Billiard table (what an intrusive, dangerous, and disruptive idea/ why can't developers and builders use the site on which they are working?).

And I am writing in support of the objections to the proposal to build a basement at 85 Camden Mews. This will certainly not enhance the Camden Square conservation area.

Robyn Slovo NW1 9BJ

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to support the objections made by the Camden Square Neighbourhood Association regarding the installation of a work station and loo by the Billiard Table at the corner of Camden Mews and Cantelowes Road.

Yours sincerely, Barty Phillips

Dear Mr Tulloch and Mr McDonald,

I strongly support the objections made by Camden Square Neighbourhood Association regarding the installation of a work station with toilet at the junction of Camden Mews and Cantelowes Road and the proposal to build a basement at 85 Camden Mews NW1 as it would not enhance the Camden Square Conservation area.

Yours sincerely, Cheryl Woodcroft. (14 Camden Mews NW1 9DA)

I wish to register an objection to the proposals connected to the work at 85 Camden Mews. The lavatory and work station will be a problem for local residents and an eyesore for 18 months. 2-way traffic in a 1-way section is very dangerous. Allowing basements in such small sites could lead to serious structural complications.

Beverley Charles Rowe (Mr)

Dear Sir,

I am writing to support the objections of the other residents in the neighbourhood to the current proposal to site contractors plant including materials, site office and a portaloo by the green verge in Cantelowes Road known as the Billiard Table.

It should not be necessary to point out that were this area not available, the contractor could carry out the building operation within the curtilege of No. 85 Camden Mews. To tailor the building operation accordingly would doubtless entail considerable additional cost. If so, tant pis as the French say.

However, it seems clear to me that it certainly cannot be in Camden's remit to facilitate a cheaper building operation for a private householder by permitting nuisance to the tax paying residents in the neighbourhood.

Yours faithfully,

Hubert P. Bermingham (Retired Architect)

Dear Sir

I refer to the objections made by the Camden Neighbourhood Association regarding the proposed basement to be built at 85 Camden Mews NW1 and the installation of a work station with toilet facilities by the area known as the "Billiard Table" at the junction of Camden Mews and Cantelowes Road.

I support the CSNA's objections as neither will enhance the Camden Square Conservation Area.

With regards

M. Lewis

176 Camden Road

Dear Mssrs Tulloch & McDonald,

I am emailing in support of the objections made by the Camden Neighbourhood Association regarding the installation of a work station along with a loo by the Billiard Table at the junction if Camden Mews and Cantelowes Road. We have had similar in the past for an extended period and it caused all sorts of problems aside from being unsightly and malodorous.

I am also opposed to the proposal to build a basement at 85 Camden Mews. I have concerns as to the viability of the scheme as I believe there is a tributary of the river fleet running down the Mews and am very worried about subsidence. I cannot see how a basement would enhance the conservation area and the construction process will only serve to cause huge disruption to residents - both pedestrians and car users. There are a lot of young children and elderly who live on the Mews and I urge the council to think long and hard before they permit heavy construction traffic as it will be a real safety hazard.

I hope you take seriously the concerns being raised.

Yours sincerely,

N L Shears 78 Camden Mews

Dear Neil

We live at 84 Camden Mews.

We are very concerned over the design proposals and method of constructing the proposed development.

The design is poor quality and does not enhance the conservation area and is in fact detrimental to the character of an 'industrial' mews and conservation area. The basement is over development and does not provide required levels of

daylighting to lower level. It removes any amenity space from the house. The SUD

system should be insisted upon when constructing basements (as in RBK&C). The construction method statement and construction traffic management plan is flawed. The construction traffic if operating a two way system at the lower portion of the mews will prevent any vehicles from passing construction site which means those living at the upper part of the mews will not have access to their properties. The excavation of basement will result in standing vehicle being loaded with excavated material before being removed. How will these vehicles turn around to go back to proposed site huts on 'Billiard Table'? Also refuse and service vehicles will not be able to pass.

The Billiard Table where the proposed site set up is located is visible from. Cantelowes gardens and will attract as evidenced before the following: Vandalism
Drug use
Drug dealing
Anti social behaviour
Homelessness
Dumping ground for refuse and vehicles
Rats and foxes

The mews surface will be severely damaged. The cobbles are unique and should be preserved. The developers should pay for reinstatement of the surface of the whole mews as their development has caused an extraordinary amount of increased traffic and loading on the mews surface, they could have carried out this development at the same time as the adjoining property on Camden Road, that they own, using the garden space for site set up.

We sincerely hope and expect that you ask the developers to rethink their design and construction method statements and refuse the construction of an overdevelopment within this exceptional and unique area.

Regards

John Southall

Dear Mr Tulloch

I am emailing in support of the objections made by the Camden Square Neighbourhood Association (CSNA) regarding the installation of a work station with toilets by the "Billiard Table" at the junction of Camden Mews and Cantelowes Road for the reasons set out in the objections raised by CSNA, and to the proposal to build a basement at 85 Camden Mews NW1 as it will not enhance the Camden Square conservation area.

Yours sincerely

Magdalene Bayim-Adomako

Dear Mr Tulloch and Mr McDonald

I am writing in support of the objections made by the Camden Square Neighbourhood Association (CSNA) regarding the installation of a work station with loo by the Billiard Table and the proposal to build a basement at 85 Camden Mews NW1. This proposal it will not only fail to enhance the Camden Square conservation area, but is likely to cause a number of serious problems as detailed in the CSNA submission.

Thank you Danya Glaser

--

Dr Danya Glaser

Honorary Consultant Child & Adolescent Psychiatrist Great Ormond Street Hospital, London

Visiting Professor UCL

Dear Sir

85 Camden Mews, NW1

I am emailing you in support of the objections made by the Camden Neighbourhood Association regarding the installation of a work station with loo by the Billiard Table and the proposal to build a basement at 85 Camden Mews NW1 as it will not enhance the Camden Square conservation area.

Sincerely
Dr E Reddish
7 Camden Terrace
NW1 9BP

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to you to fully support the objection attached below from the Camden Square Neighbourhood Association to the proposed construction at the Billiard Table. Potential construction on this site is an ongoing saga and the Council do really need to understand that there is real concern among local residents about the proven problems associated with this site and others in the area, which not only affect residents in the Mews but all residents in the vicinity Camden Square. I have been subjected over the years to several actual and attempted burglaries, plus all the unpleasantries listed in the letter below, the probability of which will be increased yet again by such a construction.

I also remain unconvinced that the building of a basement will not enhance the conservation area; it brings nothing to make the area more attractive and in fact, the environmental impact of building a basement in the mews will negatively affect the area from which it may never recover. The building of a basement in the mews will detract and not enhance the conservation area.

Yours faithfully

David Simmons 9 St Augustines Road London NW1 9RL

Dear Mr Tulloch,

The Camden Square Neighbourhood Association (CSNA) strongly objects to the developer's proposal to house building materials, site office and a porto-loo by the green verge on Camden Mews commonly known as the Billiard Table.

For everyone's understanding, there have been builders that have set up their 'work station with loo' at this location in the past which created havoc for the local residents and environment. The billiard table has been a hotspot for crime and at times, has been made one of the priorities for the SNT policing team due to the amount of antisocial behaviour and drug dealing taking place in the very spot where the developers would like to place their work station. The site can be viewed from Cantelowes Gardens - equipment, cabin, loo etc. will be a draw for the following as evidenced before:

- vandalism
- drug use
- drug dealing
- anti-social behaviour
- homelessness
- dumping ground for refuse and vehicles
- dog fighting
- rats

Our local SNT policing team and Highways from Camden Council shut down the last builders 'work station' the last time around. In fact, officers from Camden's Highways department made very clear that all equipment and porto-loos have to remain on the building site of any development.

CSNA strongly objects to the developer setting up an office/work station by the Billiard Table.

Mr Tulloch, I would like to speak, or a member of our executive committee if I am not available, at the planning committee meeting and make representations on behalf of the residents. I hear that the meeting will take place on 16 July - is that correct?

Thank you for your attention to our objections. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to get in touch.

Yours faithfully, Catherine