

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/4030/P	Mr Aziz	12 BRADWELL HOUSE MORTIMER CRESCENT LONDON NW6 5NL	31/08/2015 02:05:07	COMNOT	<p>1. Cost of 170-220k per block cannot be justified when councils have to make cutbacks due to reduction in funding from central government. Funds should be diverted elsewhere to more pressing needs - eg. preventing homelessness, and keeping libraries open.</p> <p>2. Cladding would spoil the character of a historical and architectural landmark. Plaques of George Orwell &amp; A.A. Milne resided in the buildings - it would be cultural vandalism.</p> <p>3. Goes against CPG1 document on new planning guidance issued by Camden Council. The guidance (p28) states:</p> <p>'Painting, rendering or cladding of brickwork will normally be resisted, as it is often unsightly and can damage the appearance of a building by obscuring the texture and original colour of the façade. Painting, rendering or cladding may also trap moisture, which can cause major damp problems in the masonry'.</p> <p>3. Leaseholders would face large bills which are unnecessary. Huge concern for people who cannot afford to pay such costs - especially elderly. It could even bring down the value of their homes with ugly faux cladding. Dispatches CH4 have covered this specific issue in detail - public are very aware of practices.</p> <p>4. Will the Council receive a Government grant for these insulation works?</p> <p>5. Benefits to residents not significant enough. Poor faux polymer modified mortar brickwork finish - NOT proper full brickwork cladding.</p> <p>6. Nobody wants this. Council should listen to its residents.</p>

---