

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/4485/P	Penny Davis	29 Briardale Gardens NW3 7PN	31/08/2015 13:15:14	COMMNT	<p>I wish to object to the proposed development of one of Hampstead most famous homes. This over development will spoil Grove Lodge for the generations to come and long after the current owners have moved on.</p> <p>Given the existence of a nearby well there should be an independent BIA to verify the damage to neighbouring properties.</p> <p>Please refuse this application.</p>
2015/4485/P	Percival Stanion	Holly Cottage 12 The Mount	31/08/2015 17:56:59	OBJ	<p>I object to this proposal because of :</p> <p>A. The design damages the front and side elevations of an important listed building in the heart of the Hampstead village conservation area and adjacent to other buildings of great historical importance including Admirals Hojse and Fenton House.</p> <p>B. The scale of the internal building works is completely out of proportion to the existing. Listed building. The scale of ten works completely overwhelms the aesthetics of a very pleasing Georgian house.</p> <p>C. The building works represent a considerable threat to the operation of the sub soil drainage to surrounding buildings which have shallow foundation.</p> <p>D. The scale of the building works would involve completely overwhelming heavy goods vehicles movements in very narrow streets for an extended period of time causing huge nuisance to neighbours.</p>
2015/4485/P	Lesley Stevas	Flat 7 57 Christchurch Hill NW3 1JJ	30/08/2015 12:49:36	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this planning application. Hampstead is a conservation area and we do not need this sort of development within the community. It threatens our local heritage which once gone can never be replaced.</p> <p>The aborcultural report states that the trees to be removed are 'C' category. This is subjective. The lime tree to be removed has no sign of disease, so why remove it? This lime tree including the other lime trees in Admiral Walk should have Tree Protection Orders placed on them to prevent any damage from development.</p>
2015/4485/P	Anne & Richard Livesey-Haworth	8 Holly Place Holly Walk London NW3 6QU	28/08/2015 13:39:25	INT	<p>We have no objections to the proposed above ground plan, but we strongly object to the proposal to excavate a very large basement. The Council is moving towards in 2016 a policy of "no basements under a listed building" and this proposal for a massive, eight room basement under a listed house & its garden is in blatant contravention of this intention and also the, albeit in draft, Hampstead Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>May we draw Committee's attention to the fact that the astonishing Heritage Statement submitted as part of the planning proposal (which is clearly intended to counter almost any objection to the proposal) is almost silent on the fact that a basement is proposed.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/4485/P	F H Harper	4 The Mount NW3 6SZ NW3 6SZ NW3 6SZ	31/08/2015 10:38:48	APP	<p>This house is listed and the proposed front and side elevations will seriously affect the look of the property.</p> <p>This is an over development of the site.</p> <p>It puts at risk 2 adjacent listed structures built in 1700 without foundations.</p> <p>I have had personal experience of water damage to my house from neighbor excavations.I made a complaint on the camden consulting proposal but this will not be adopted for policy until 2016. This permission is asked for in 2017 so this application should have to take the findings of the proposal into account and nothing be granted before 2016.</p>
2015/4485/P	dennis mcginness	22 wells house well walk london nw311e	30/08/2015 20:52:17	COMMNT	<p>As a resident and a worker in Hampstead for 50 years,I am appalled at the changes that the planning committees have approved,Grove Lodge has a heritage and history worth preserving.Together with the impressive Admirals House,the picture that John Constable captured in his beautiful pictures will be lost forever to further a recent purchasers wishes of a development that will do harm to an infrastructure that has remained for centuries.Watercourses that have supplied rivers and lakes possibly diverted and possibly causing damage to other buildings.I know that the Admirals House has a well that used to supply water to both houses and still runs uninterrupted these past centuries,does one truly know what effect the underground developments that Grove Lodge are planning will have both on groundwater that would fall within the lovely gardens of Grove Lodge,one will always remember the floods at West Hampstead when the ground could not absorb the rainfall.Instead do you think it wise that we fill these historic gardens with tons of concrete and steel basements that do not see the light of day.We all have the wish to preserve our history for future inhabitants and Hampstead history,i am especially proud of what I have been a part of and wish to see younger generations enjoy and become part of the history.This development is definitely not what is needed in Admirals Walk,a tiny road which will be taking tons of spoil away and tons of concrete in for years both destroying the road and quality of life for all residents. The walkers who have been using these paths for years Together with the tourists who come everyday to discover the beauty,the arhitecture and the heritage of old Hampstead.Unfortunately one family and developer can destroy that with their overdevelopment plans without due cause or effect on others both near neighbours and the unsuspecting tourist or rambler.Talking of ramblers who enjoy taking the open paths why is it that Camden have closed a right of way extending from Netley cottage down to Admirals House that has been enjoyed by many has a closure notice been posted?Or is it a sign of the times let us enclose ourselves in high walls and keep everyone out including the unsuspecting rambler searching out the rights of way and the history of Hampstead.Incidentally the said development includes a building with the title of an Orangery we maybe in a period of climate change but I do not feel that we need to have building specifically for the overwintering of orange fruits and tender plants whilst the rest of the family live underground in an oversized development. I am totally opposed to this planning application and want to know why the reapplication with a slight alteration is being rushed through when the applicants think it may be ideal.to avoid the confrontations they experienced from the first application.This is not fair on the right to reply in the holiday period when residents are not fully aware as they think the application no longer applies.The local residents need more time to peruse these applications with reasonable time to reply.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/4485/P	Jenny Howell	5 South Hill Park Hampstead NW3 2ST NW3 2ST NW3 2ST	28/08/2015 11:39:09	APP	I wish to oppose this application on the grounds that it seriously alter the appearance and undermine the foundations of a listed building and those of adjacent buildings
2015/4485/P	STEPHEN GARNER	FLAT 2 8 HOLLY HILL HAMPSTEAD LONDON NW3 6SE	29/08/2015 10:31:21	COMMNT	Dear Sirs, I would like to object to this planning application. Further to my recent comments when the initial application was made, I believe that this application is seriously flawed and threatens Hampstead's heritage for future generations. Regards, STEPHEN GARNER
2015/4485/P	F H Harper	4 The Mount NW3 6SZ NW3 6SZ NW3 6SZ	31/08/2015 10:38:26	APP	This house is listed and the proposed front and side elevations will seriously affect the look of the property. This is an over development of the site. It puts at risk 2 adjacent listed structures built in 1700 without foundations. I have had personal experience of water damage to my house from neighbor excavations. I made a complaint on the camden consulting proposal but this will not be adopted for policy until 2016. This permission is asked for in 2017 so this application should have to take the findings of the proposal into account and nothing be granted before 2016.
2015/4485/P	Bruce and Doris Blythe	2 Holly Mount London	31/08/2015 10:08:09	OBJEMPER	This proposal, in conjunction with application 2015/4555/L, will vastly alter the external appearance of a historic building in an area a great architectural interest. In addition the proposed underground expansion/extension is 11 times the current basement footprint -- an absurdly large expansion that risks water, drainage and subsidence problems in a notably high risk area. If approved the disruption to the neighbours, surrounding streets, parking and access will be severe for a period of two years. Lastly, this proposal will permanently block a public footpath that has been in existence for generations.
2015/4485/P	Alastair Munro	24 Holly Mount NW3 6SG NW3 6SG NW3 6SG	31/08/2015 23:06:32	OBJ	I object to this planning application for the same reasons as set out in the objections submitted by John Gardiner QC and the Heath and Hampstead Society. I live just a very short walk away from Grove Lodge.