Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 01/09/2015 09:05:2 <b>Response:</b>	20
2015/4500/L	Nicholas Cox	4 Stone Buildings	28/08/2015 11:06:20	OBJ	Nicholas Cox	
2010/ 1000/2		Lincolns Inn	20,00,2010 11.00.20	020	4 Stone Buildings	
					Lincoln's Inn	
					WC2A 3XT	
					Objection to Applications by Lincoln's Inn	
					2015/4408/P 'Library extension'	
					2015/4404/P 'Education centre'	
					2015/4402/P 'Works to the Great Hall'	
					1. This is an objection to the above applications. The proposed works would cause substantial harm	
					both to the setting of Grade II* listed buildings, and to the Conservation Area to which the existing	
					buildings make a positive contribution. There is no countervailing justification for nor is there any public interest in these works.	
					2. In the alternative, I would strongly urge the committee to exercise its discretion to extend the period	
					for consultation for 1 month as the timing of the application - such that the consultation period falls	
					during August - will significantly restrict notice to and hamper the ability those most directly affected	
					by the proposals to respond to them.	
					3. I am a barrister member of Lincoln's Inn. I work in the Inn, and have done so all my working life,	
					and directly overlook the library, and Great Hall. I would be directly and adversely affected by the	
					proposed works to a very considerable extent.	
					4. The proposals would clearly and significantly diminish the existing qualities of the Inn. Lincoln's Inn	
					is an oasis of fine architecture and greenspaces, surrounded by the towering modern office	
					developments and busy traffic along Holborn, Kingsway and Fetter Lane. In particular, the Library and	
					Great Hall are listed Grade II *. They make an important contribution to the charm of the whole Inn,	
					which is important both from a historical perspective and also for the ambience it offers to those	
					working in or near the Inn, and to the many visitors they attract from outside.	
					5. The Inn's proposals would cause significant harm:	
					a. They would involve the construction of very substantial and utterly incongruous structures	
					immediately adjacent to the Library and the Great Hall, which are the most important and beautiful	
					collegiate buildings in the Inn.	
					b. They would intrude upon and detract significantly from the garden setting. c. Their design is "modernist" and poorly integrated into their historic setting. It cannot seriously be	
					doubted that they will be reversed or replaced by future generations.	
					d. They would involve the demolition of an existing building (the Under Treasurer's House) which	
					currently makes a sympathetic contribution to the whole setting.  e. No exceptional circumstances justify the proposals. There cannot be said to be a "clear and"	
					convincing justification" (NPPF 132). There are no "substantial public benefits" (NPPF 133). The	
					ordinary needs of educational and professional institutions can hardly ever be regarded as exceptional	
					in this area because such institutions are commonplace, and there is also an abundance of	
					accommodation within and immediately outside the Inn without the need for any new structures.	
					f. The pre-application consultation was exiguous. It is a matter of serious concern that the Inn chose to	
					lodge these applications in early August in the middle of the heliday seesen, thereby minimizing the	

lodge these applications in early August, in the middle of the holiday season, thereby minimising the

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 01/09/2015 09:05:20 <b>Response:</b>
					likelihood of objections from large numbers of individuals whose working environment will be very significantly affected. The Inn is primarily a working institution. It is a matter of general public knowledge that the legal community takes holidays during the long Summer recess of the Courts. For this reason, and without prejudice to my primary grounds of objection, I would invite the committee to extend the formal consultation period for 1 month in order to afford an objectively fair opportunity for comment from the large community of tenants of the Inn.  Nicholas Cox 4 Stone Buildings  Lincoln"s Inn  London WC2A 3XT
2015/4500/L	Nicholas Cox	4 Stone Buildings Lincolns Inn	28/08/2015 10:59:10	OBJ	I was on the Inn's Buildings Committee for several years.  4. I am devoted to the Inn and to its legal and physical heritage. It is the responsibility of any generation to preserve and improve its inheritance for future generations. The Inn's current proposals do neither. On the contrary,