Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 01/09/2015 09:05:20 Response:
2015/4555/L	Jenny Howell	5 South Hill Park Hampstead NW3 2ST NW3 2ST NW3 2ST	28/08/2015 11:35:17	COMMNT	I oppose this application on the grounds that it will ruin the appearance of the area and undermine the foundations of adjacent buildings which are an asset to the local heritage
2015/4555/L	Bruce and Doris Blythe	2 Holly Mount London	31/08/2015 10:03:08	OBJEMPER	This proposed extension will vastly alter the external appearance of a historic property. The underground footprint will be expanded by 11 times the current an absurdly large underground extension for an area prone to water issues, drainage and potential subsidence. An extension of this size will cause disruption to the neighbouring properties for up to two years. This proposal also will block a common footpath permanently. For all these reasons we object to the application being approved.
2015/4555/L	STEPHEN GARNER	FLAT 2 8 HOLLY HILL HAMPSTEAD LONDON NW3 6SE	29/08/2015 10:35:24	COMNOT	Dear Sirs, Further to my recent comments in relation to this planning application, I would like to object to the renewal of the planning applications. This application is flawed. If granted it will damage irreparably an important part of Hampstead's precious heritage. Yours sincerely, STEPHEN GARNER
2015/4555/L	Percival Stanion	Holly Cottage 12 The Mount Hampstead	31/08/2015 18:16:27	COMMNT	<ul> <li>I object to this application for planning and listed building consent because of the following:</li> <li>A. The proposed scale of the works is out of all proportion to the character of the delicate listed building. It is also out of character with ten surrounding buildings within the heart of the Hampstead Village conservation area. It is also of prior aesthetic design.</li> <li>B. There is extensive damage to the ground water drainage of surrounding properties at the top of Hampstead Hill causing knock on effects further down the hill for neighbouring properties.</li> <li>C. The proposed works intrude onto a public footpath.</li> <li>D. The HGV movements over an extended period of time through very narrow lanes would be a very serious noise and pollution nuisance to neighbours.</li> </ul>