Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/4030/P	Sascha Sauberlich	Flat 21	25/08/2015 23:55:03	OBJ	Dear Sirs,
		Remsted House			
		Mortimer Crescent			I would like to object to the installation of an external wall insulation system on Mortimer Estate and
		London			refer to the reasons given in the numerous objection submitted previously.
		NW6 5UT			
					Most importantly, the costs of the project are unreasonable high in comparison with the benefits
					achieved. I have a fairly new combi boiler and my entire annual energy bill is less than GBP 450. I am
					planning to install an intelligent thermostat before the beginning of winter, which should further reduce
					my bill significantly. Spending GBP 7,000 to 10,000 does not seem to be reasonable for an energy
					saving of GBP 100 - 200 per year at a maximum.
					Yours faithfully,
					Sascha Sauberlich

						Printed on: 26/08/2015 09:
	Application No:	Consultees Name:	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
	2015/4030/P	Andy Hudson	1 Broadoak House Mortimer Crescent	25/08/2015 10:39:14	OBJ	I am concerned about the potentially negative visual impact of this work on our beautiful estate.
			London NW6 5PA			The brickwork currently look lovely and suits the character of the area. I would like residents to have a proper opportunity to discuss and resolve any design concerns before planning approval.
						I"m concerned the white cladding will look cheap and quickly fall into disrepair - cladding on neighbouring buildings (eg Philip House) has quickly become stained and has not been repaired. There are also concerns that the insulation will create condensation problems inside flats, which has happened in a neighbouring borough.
						I do not believe the council has consulted or informed residents fairly or adequately; we"ve had no letters or posters explaining the work and information is very difficult to find. I understand the TRA meeting was only attended by 16 people, and lots of residents will not be aware of this work. Artist impressions of the proposed work were shown at the meeting, and these are really useful, but have not been circulated by post or as posters, and are not available online. The online documents that are available are very hard to find and difficult to navigate and understand.
						Although the planning application describes the work as "minor"", the visual impact and the cost is huge. The council should properly consult residents and we should agree a way forward together in partnership. Many residents have lived here for decades and should have a proper voice.
						I"m pleased that the council is lookiing at investing to improve the area, and I"m open to change, but residents should have information and a voice. The lack of communication from the council has made residents feel marginalised and created a difficult atmosphere.
						The planning decision should balance the visual impact, design and cost against the perceived benefits. While I support the wider goals to cut carbon emissions and tackle fuel poverty, the impact of this particular work on those areas appears negligible. The council has provided no supporting data to support its aims and has shown us no alternative options.
						Rather than risk disturbing the beautiful look of the area, the council might consider improving boiler heating efficiency, helping tenants negotiate lower energy bills with suppliers and concentrate on providing wall cavity insulation (which seems far more cost effective than external cladding) for other buildings where this is possible.
						Overall, I would really like to see a fair and open consultation with residents before anything is approved.