

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3936/P	Nancy Mayo	12A Hollycroft Avenue	20/08/2015 00:41:39	OBJ	<p>I completely object to the principle of development on designated open space. This is an area with a shortage of open space. The Grade II SINC of Borough Importance provides foraging habitat for pipistrelle and soprano bats and habitat for other wildlife and the site should be preserved as a SINC.</p> <p>The proposal to build town houses along the perimeter of the SINC is absolutely incompatible with the role of the open space as a SINC. The light pollution generated from the town houses, in this most biodiverse part of the site, would quickly obliterate any wildlife.</p> <p>I also object to the provision of car parking. Any development in Kidderpore Avenue should be car-free, in line with Camden's Draft Local Plan. Kidderpore Avenue is already highly congested, with far too much traffic as a result of the Barratt development, Hampstead School of Art, St. Margaret's School and Westfield. It cannot cope with any more traffic and the vehicle particulates generated will be harmful to the health of residents living in close proximity to the heavily polluted Finchley Road.</p> <p>Furthermore, I object to the ugly modern architecture which is proposed for the new buildings. These will have a very marked adverse impact on the setting of the adjacent Grade II listed buildings and on the street scene. Instead, a high-quality pastiche in the Queen Anne Revival style would be more harmonious with the architecture of the adjacent Grade II buildings.</p> <p>Lastly, I object to the increased building heights, as in Croft Way, which would cast too much shade and bulk in this historic quiet alley with a rural ambience.</p>

2015/3936/P	Nancy Mayo	12A Hollycroft Avenue	20/08/2015 00:45:15	OBJ
-------------	------------	-----------------------	---------------------	-----

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3936/P	Lucy O'Gilvie	8B Hollycroft Ave Hampstead London	19/08/2015 12:46:43	OBJ	As a Redfrog member and as a parent At St Luke's school I wanted to write to add any weight I possibly could do to the points below but in addition stress again the pressure on school places in this area if Camden.

I live in Hollycroft Ave just 0.18 of a mile from St Luke's yet would not have got a place there for this school year. I understand that there are children in Roads around the Briardale area with no school places for September and St Luke's is the obvious choice for them. With significantly more residents in this area through this development and the additional development adjacent and on the Finchley Rd / Platts Lane corner and The Lightworks slightly up the Finchley Rd I am hugely concerned for the provision of school places in this area.

On the specifics of the plan I am very concerned about the entrance to the underground car park which is literally adjacent to the school entrance and raises concerns regarding the children's safety.

As the children at St Luke's live locally and are coming to an age when they may walk alone to school I am also concerned about works traffic at times of drop off and pick up.

Please consider my concerns whilst reviewing the planning application.

Yours Sincerely

Lucy O'Gilvie

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3936/P	Dr Vicki Harding	Garden Flat 19 Froggnal Lane London NW3 7DB	20/08/2015 08:20:57	COMMNT	<p>I wish to make the strongest possible objection to this application.</p> <p>Across the road bat habitat has been decimated despite original assurances. It is hoped that some bat habitat will be reinstated as promised, however such trees will take many years to come to maturity.</p> <p>Now, it is proposed that the habitat feeding this area is also to be removed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Town houses are to be constructed adjacent to the vicarage garden, the area of the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation with the greatest ecological value.• Numerous trees are to be felled, including some fabulous mature silver birches. For some reason these are classified as grade C only (par for the course).• Following excavation for underground car parking, the area above is to become formal gardens (no planting for biodiversity)• There is a missed opportunity to create a natural pond, which would benefit bats and wildlife. The underground water source in the area (original feed into the reservoir) that could feed this is being ignored, as its impact on the construction and the final building. <p>How can the habitat be returned to the south Kidderpore Avenue site, when the north side is about to receive the same treatment? These 2 projects are intrinsically linked for biodiversity and for profit. Enough is enough.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3936/P	Allan Mayo	12A Hollycroft Avenue	20/08/2015 10:54:33	COMMNT	<p>I completely object to the principle of development on designated open space. This is an area with a shortage of open space. The Grade II SINC of Borough Importance provides foraging habitat for pipistrelle and soprano bats and habitat for other wildlife and the site should be preserved as a SINC.</p> <p>The proposal to build town houses along the perimeter of the SINC is absolutely incompatible with the role of the open space as a SINC. The light pollution generated from the town houses, in this most biodiverse part of the site, would quickly obliterate any wildlife.</p> <p>I also object to the provision of car parking. Any development in Kidderpore Avenue should be car-free, in line with Camden's Draft Local Plan. Kidderpore Avenue is already highly congested, with far too much traffic as a result of the Barratt development, Hampstead School of Art, St. Margaret's School and Westfield. It cannot cope with any more traffic and the vehicle particulates generated will be harmful to the health of residents living in close proximity to the heavily polluted Finchley Road.</p> <p>Furthermore, I object to the ugly modern architecture which is proposed for the new buildings. These will have a very marked adverse impact on the setting of the adjacent Grade II listed buildings and on the street scene. Instead, a high-quality pastiche in the Queen Anne Revival style would be more harmonious with the architecture of the adjacent Grade II buildings.</p> <p>Additionally, I object to the increased building heights, as in Croft Way, which would cast too much shade and bulk in this historic quiet alley with a rural ambience.</p> <p>Finally, the proposal fails to address the area's heritage as a centre for tertiary education and the arts. This is an area with a high proportion of older residents and also home workers. The development proposals should include space for a community centre.</p>
