

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 18 August 2015 11:54  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** 2015/3721/P

Dartmouth Park Conservation Area Advisory Committee

**PA 2015/3721 26 Bramshill Gardens**

We object.

The proposed alterations will neither preserve nor enhance the Conservation Area.

Front elevation:

While there is no intrinsic objection to lowering the windows in the bay, the alteration to the central window is harmful. The comparable window in No.28 was altered before the DPCA was created and is therefore irrelevant. The existing windows in No.26 have curved arched heads of bricks set as voussoirs to form the arch. It is essential that this characteristic be replicated in enlarging the window, and that the proposed concrete transom be rejected.

Rear elevation:

The date of the alterations to the ground floor bay windows of No. 28 is not known, but may reasonably be assumed, like the front, to precede the CA. However the proposed alterations at ground floor level are relatively harmless.

But at lower ground floor level, replacing the bay window with frameless continuous glazing will have a seriously harmful impact on the design of the house, with which it is completely out of keeping; nor does it 'emulate neighbours' which is reiterated as justification for the changes proposed at ground floor level, but on the contrary violently contrasts with them.

This house stands on the upper part of a steep slope, and the rear elevation will have a wide visibility. The changes that adversely affect the house design should be rejected.

M.H.Port, for DPCAAC