

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3516/P	LEManning	4 Rosslyn Hill	18/08/2015 11:46:29	OBJ	<p>This application was first refused because the Council found removing 2 parking bays would be "detrimental to local parking conditions." Nothing has changed since. The same homes and flats are there which use these parking bays and removing two would have an impact on all the other neighbours in order to give advantage to just one home.</p> <p>The 2 immediate parking bays outside and opposite number 10 have 12 spaces. Removing 2 of them would remove nearly 17% of the parking capacity of these bays to satisfy one home. You list 42 neighbouring flats/homes affected. If there is an average occupancy of 2 per dwelling, this is more than 80 people adversely affected to benefit just 2 people. This is not community-minded. We all pay our council tax, resident"s and visitors permits and should not have diminished parking because one building wants a private space. Presumably these residents bought the building knowing there was no private parking?</p> <p>It is also disingenuous to claim this is only losing one net space. Presumably they would drive their car so the space would at times be available, unlike removing 2 which means they would never be available.</p> <p>Also the 2 immediate bays outside and opposite number 10 are in high use. It is often difficult to get a space. It is necessary to have them so shopping & children can be unloaded close to the properties and for our elderly neighbours so family can pick them up close by and essential shopping can be delivered. There is also the issue of safety as given the primary school is on this side of the road and another one on Pond St, there are many children walking & running up this pavement. And also if this is allowed, what is to stop No. 8 or No.6 or any other building applying to do the same.</p> <p>Even if the safety considerations can be allayed, the idea of making the surrounding residents lose much needed parking capability to satisfy the demands of 2 new residents is not what the council should be sanctioning. I wouldn"t have objected if there had been no impact on others but we are a community & we are neighbours and it isn"t right that the majority should face difficulties while one property benefits.</p>
