

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/4030/P	Marcus Pantazis	27 Cheshunt House Mortimer Crescent London NW6 5UR	17/08/2015 12:18:33	OBJ	<p>I wish to make the following observations and objections,</p> <p>I strongly object to this proposal on the grounds that the aesthetics of the blocks on the Estate will be detrimentally altered. Currently they blend in with their current surroundings and to render the brick work in anyway would destroy the look of the whole estate and they will stand out like a saw thumb.</p> <p>Having examined the material that is proposed for the rendering, due to the thickness of the material it will protrude, reducing the balconies and walkway access areas, not to mention the loss of light. The original coal bunkers will be filled with insulation, I currently use this as storage. There are lots of external downpipes on the exterior of the buildings, how are they going to insulate around these? it will look awful if they start cutting around them.</p> <p>My flat is extremely warm in the summer months, I struggle to keep it cool, this insulation will only cause more heat. There is no evidence or survey that has been carried out to illustrate the so called heat savings expected. Will I be reimbursed, if no savings have been made on my annual heating bill?</p> <p>I have insulated my flat internally at a fraction of the cost that Camden are proposing.</p> <p>Camden talk about reducing the risk of fuel poverty, yet the costs that will be incurred to the leaseholder will be placing many in that position. With some at the risk of losing their homes.</p> <p>Most of the heat loss is from the poor quality of the double glazed windows and UVPC panelling below the windows. Which is not planned to be replaced nor rendered.</p> <p>Currently there is no ongoing maintenance for the external brick work, however once rendered it will need to be maintained as it will get unsightly dirty.</p> <p>I would like to also highlight that once rendered how will you be able to notice and inspect structural defects if they occur. This is highly likely if the HS2 works start.</p> <p>There are many cost effective ways of reducing the fuel poverty line without the need to destroy the look of the intended post World War II, purposed built estate.</p> <p>In reference to my lease agreement in The Fifth Schedule(Items of Expenditure) there is no provision to charge for a new insulating system to the external blocks, therefore not liable for any charges.</p> <p>I kindly ask that you retract the planning permission of this application.</p> <p>Regards,</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/4030/P	Maria Pantazis	27 Cheshunt House Mortimer Crescent London NW6 5UR	15/08/2015 16:28:36	OBJ	<p>Objections:</p> <p>I strongly object to this proposal on the grounds that the aesthetics of the blocks on the Estate will be detrimentally altered. Currently they blend in with their current surroundings and to render the brick work in anyway would destroy the look of the whole estate and they will stand out like a saw thumb.</p> <p>Having examined the material that is proposed for the rendering, due to the thickness of the material it will protrude, reducing the balconies and walkway access areas, not to mention the loss of light. The original coal bunkers will be filled with insulation, I currently use this as storage. There are lots of external downpipes on the exterior of the buildings, how are they going to insulate around these? it will look awful if they start cutting around them.</p> <p>My flat is extremely warm in the summer months, I struggle to keep it cool, this insulation will only cause more heat. There is no evidence or survey that has been carried out to illustrate the so called heat savings expected.</p> <p>Will I be reimbursed, if no savings have been made on my annual heating bill?</p> <p>I have insulated my flat internally at a fraction of the cost that Camden are proposing.</p> <p>Camden talk about reducing the risk of fuel poverty, yet the costs that will be incurred to the leaseholder will be placing many in that position. With some at the risk of losing their homes.</p> <p>Most of the heat loss is from the poor quality of the double glazed windows and UVPC panelling below the windows. Which is not planned to be replaced nor rendered.</p> <p>Currently there is no ongoing maintenance for the external brick work, however once rendered it will need to be maintained as it will get unsightly dirty.</p> <p>I would like to also highlight that once rendered how will you be able to notice and inspect structural defects if they occur. This is highly likely if the HS2 works start.</p> <p>There are many cost effective ways of reducing the fuel poverty line without the need to destroy the look of the intended post World War II, purposed built estate.</p> <p>I kindly ask that you retract the planning permission of this application.</p> <p>Regards,</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/4030/P	Linda Roche	Flat 7 Cheshunt House Mortimer Crescent London	19/08/2015 00:30:35	OBJ	<p>I disagree with your classification of the scope of works as a minor alteration!</p> <p>I strongly object to your planning proposal to clad the 1950's Mortimer estate with External Wall Insulation. It would totally destroy the character of the estate and leave behind a soulless facade, with no respect to the history and beauty of this estate.</p> <p>I am disappointed at how Camden can try to press ahead with projects such as this particularly given the condition of buildings in the area that have been subjected to this process and the reported problems that have been experienced by the residents. It is very short termist.</p> <p>I live in Cheshunt House and feel that the original architect did a wonderful job developing post war housing that blended well and continues to blend well with the grand period buildings in the area. That achievement needs to be recognised and protected. Whilst laudable, the objective of further insulation, can be achieved in far less damaging ways (if indeed it is needed at all in these blocks).</p> <p>Sadly if clad, the estate would no longer blend in with the area and would stigmatise the social housing by creating a greater contrast between the period housing and social housing. While the clad building might look bright and modern for a short while, it would quickly become dilapidated as we have seen with other buildings that have been treated. This is a face lift that is ineffective and unwanted. The buildings in Mortimer Estate do not need it, there is too much history at stake.</p> <p>Camden seem proud of saying they have managed to retain the old look of Kilburn Gate, but I strongly disagree. It looks very fake, cheap (even though extremely expensive to do) and soulless. Let's not create more damage to the area, it would be regretful, expensive to do and sadly impossible to reverse!</p> <p>To cover these buildings in something akin to styrofoam with a thin layer of brick slip and off white render, would be very sad. There are some beautiful finishes that will be hidden or lose their effect, such as the curved bricks, the coal bunkers which will be cut around, or covered and of course the brick itself, which tends to improve with age as well as convey a sense of history.</p> <p>Additionally the planning notification seems to have been non-existent...I would have thought residents of local area would have been notified and signs put up, but there have been complaints that very few people know about it. I fear the current number of objections could under-represent the strong feeling that a project of this nature might generate if communicated properly.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/4030/P	Marcus Pantazis	27 Cheshunt House Mortimer Crescent London NW6 5UR	15/08/2015 16:17:36	OBJ	<p>Objections:</p> <p>I strongly object to this proposal on the grounds that the aesthetics of the blocks on the Estate will be detrimentally altered. Currently they blend in with their current surroundings and to render the brick work in anyway would destroy the look of the whole estate and they will stand out like a saw thumb.</p> <p>Having examined the material that is proposed for the rendering, due to the thickness of the material it will protrude, reducing the balconies and walkway access areas, not to mention the loss of light. The original coal bunkers will be filled with insulation, I currently use this as storage. There are lots of external downpipes on the exterior of the buildings, how are they going to insulate around these? it will look awful if they start cutting around them.</p> <p>My flat is extremely warm in the summer months, I struggle to keep it cool, this insulation will only cause more heat. There is no evidence or survey that has been carried out to illustrate the so called heat savings expected.</p> <p>Will I be reimbursed, if no savings have been made on my annual heating bill?</p> <p>I have insulated my flat internally at a fraction of the cost that Camden are proposing.</p> <p>Camden talk about reducing the risk of fuel poverty, yet the costs that will be incurred to the leaseholder will be placing many in that position. With some at the risk of losing their homes.</p> <p>Most of the heat loss is from the poor quality of the double glazed windows and UVPC panelling below the windows. Which is not planned to be replaced nor rendered.</p> <p>Currently there is no ongoing maintenance for the external brick work, however once rendered it will need to be maintained as it will get unsightly dirty.</p> <p>I would like to also highlight that once rendered how will you be able to notice and inspect structural defects if they occur. This is highly likely if the HS2 works start.</p> <p>There are many cost effective ways of reducing the fuel poverty line without the need to destroy the look of the intended post World War II, purposed built estate.</p> <p>I kindly ask that you retract the planning permission of this application.</p> <p>Regards,</p>