

## Henry, Genna

---

**From:** Baillie, Siobhan (Councillor)  
**Sent:** 11 August 2015 17:06  
**To:** Planning; Beaumont, Elizabeth; Nelson, Olivier  
**Cc:** Spinella, Gio  
**Subject:** Objections to 2015/3684/P and 2015/3636/P

**From: Cllr Siobhan Baillie and Cllr Gio Spinella :**

**Application: 2015/3684/P**

Application: 45 Maresfield - Installation of boundary treatment including means of access and hard standing and creation of two off street parking spaces.

With reference to planning application 2015/3684/P, please note the following objections from Cllr Siobhan Baillie and Cllr Gio Spinella.

This application is retrospective. The applicant has been developing the property continually since February 2014. There is no good reason why the applicant did not apply properly for the change to his original plans. It is imperative that the Council does not readily accept retrospective applications to avoid the depreciation of the usual route.

The new parking space is clearly incompatible with the pavement and the kerb. The car exiting the driveway now has to mount the kerb and drive over. It is understood the brick pillars were built without planning permission

This is an extremely busy area with multiple schools and therefore, many children using the pavements. The school in the area are Northbridge, Southbank, South Hampstead and other Hampstead schools close by. The school traffic, pedestrian and vehicle, creates the need for safety. There is very limited sight available for the vehicle exiting the drive or for pedestrians.

It is unacceptable that approval of this application would lead to the loss of parking space for the applicant's neighbour. A loss of 3m is very much a loss of space and has a knock on impact on parking availability. I am aware that residents have provided specific measurements and data so I do not intend to repeat the same here.

Cllr Siobhan Baillie and Cllr Gio Spinella

**Application: 2015/3636/P**

Application: retrospective for the erection of a timber play structure in the rear garden.

Residents and neighbours believe the application is excessive in size and height. It will create an ongoing loss of privacy and reduction of green space.

This application is retrospective. The applicant has been developing the property continually since February 2014. There is no good reason why the applicant did not apply properly for the change to his original plans. It is imperative that the Council does not readily accept retrospective applications to avoid the depreciation of the usual route.

We respectfully request that the application is refused and the structure is removed.

CLLr Siobhan Baillie and CLLr Gio Spinella

**CLLr Siobhan Baillie**  
**Frognal & Fitzjohns Ward**  
**Conservative**

London Borough of Camden  
Camden Town Hall  
Judd Street  
London WC1H 9JE