| | | | | | Printed on: 13/08/2015 09:05:19 |
|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
| 2015/3753/P | Paul Lawrence | 38 Flask Walk London NW3 1HE | 12/08/2015 21:52:28 | NBJNOT | We have a property next door and have been consulted by our neighbour from the start of this process. We are fine with the proposal. |
| 2015/3753/P | Simon Banks | 26d New End Square | 12/08/2015 17:31:41 | NOBJ | I have no problem with this application. The extension will surely add value to the property, thus adding more value to the area. |
| 2015/3753/P | Guy Lamb | 51 Flask Walk London NW3 1HH | 11/08/2015 18:47:44 | OBJ | Strongly object to the plans because of 1) Road obstruction on a narrow single lane from the construction. 2) Noise and dust for long period in what is a very densely built area. 3) Parking spaces which are already an issue for residents will be even worse with the contractors. Also removal of garage will mean even more need for road side parking. 4) Subsidence is an issue in Hampstead anyway and this is definitely not going to help. 5) Increase of chances of flooding due to diversion of underground water courses. 6) In principle, objection to the idea of a basement. Flask walk and Hampstead village has been lucky with rejection of previous basement requests - basements are known to create lots of issues for houses nearby even with proper investigative work done before hand. This could set a hugely unwelcomed precedent in the neighbourhood. |