

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3576/P	Mr Charles Lotter	4 Lincoln House Dartmouth Park Hill London NW5 1HY	04/08/2015 09:52:31	COMMNT	Mr Charles Lotter  4 Lincoln House Dartmouth Park Hill London NW5 1HY 26 July 2015

Camden Planning

Application Reference number: 2015/3576/P  
Associated Application(s): 2015/3951/L

Address:  
Church of Saint Mary Brookfield  
Dartmouth Park Road  
London  
NW5 1SL

Dear sir/madam

I write to you regarding this Planning application for the installation of three mobile masts on the roof of this church.

As you know this is a densely populated area with residents living within 50 meters or less from the east elevation of the site where two masts are proposed for installation and a further mast on the west elevation.

Whilst I am aware that the Planning laws do not allow the refusal of Telephone masts on the basis of health grounds, however they can be refused if they spoil the view.

It is a well known that science is still inconclusive about the detrimental health effects from radio frequency radiation emitted from telephone masts and mobile phones being a relatively new technology.

However chronic irradiation from mobile phone masts has been well documented statistically around the world as a reason for causing allergy related conditions and electromagnetic hypersensitivity and in certain cases cancer clusters.

The Telegraph reports on 9 April 2010 a huge spate of fatal cancers and ill health in a small village near an O2 mobile tower erected in Buckler, Cornwall where since its installation residents believe it has contributed to the death of eight residents from cancer, half of the residents have complained of ill health since its installation.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>Dr Malcolm Sperrin – Director of Medical Physics at the Royal Berkshire Hospital said, “it is terrible that so many people are getting cancer in this village one can say there isn’t a link between mobile mast transmissions and cancer, but there is nothing to support it either”</p> <p>By example we do not know why the smoking of tobacco causes lung cancer but we do know that it does as indicated by statistical rates. Will the same apply to telephone masts given time? With the discovery of X-rays nobody thought about the consequences of over exposure of this very useful tool.</p> <p>My son Jordan was diagnosed with cancer in February 2015 and is still receiving treatment. It is with deep concern that I write to you as the father of a son battling cancer whilst having to worry about the effects of these masts just yards away, whilst asleep at night trying to recover from one of the most devastating diseases and treatments an 18 year old can endure.</p> <p>I believe that there is nothing I can do to stop these masts from being erected. The mobile companies are well versed and would have done their homework especially in the knowledge that there is nothing in your planning process allowing ordinary citizens to raise concerns on health grounds, whilst just about every other consideration is made.</p> <p>But I ask you, given similar conditions would you be content with a telephone mast outside your bedroom window?</p> <p>In the meantime I will ensure radiation levels are monitored both pre and post installation with the view of obtaining advice both legal and otherwise to ensure my sons safety.</p> <p>On the grounds of all the reasons stated I want to object against this proposal.</p> <p>Regards Charles Lotter CEng B-Eng Hons MIET</p>

---

2015/3576/P	Mr Charles Lotter	4 Lincoln House Dartmouth Park Hill London NW5 1HY	04/08/2015 09:52:31	COMMNT
-------------	-------------------	--	---------------------	--------

---