Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 11/08/2015 09:05:17 Response:
2015/3565/P	Pierre Biscay	41 Lyncroft Gardens London NW6 1LB			We object to the proposal as it would result in a considerable loss of light to our property and it would also have a big impact on our privacy as the roof terrace would give a direct view onto our garden and property.
2015/3565/P	Tom Nielsen	1F Parsifal Road NW6 1UG London	31/07/2015 20:55:30	SUPPRT	We welcome investment into our neighbourhood and in general in London housing. The proposed extension adds living space with no impact on green space and is of high design quality. Now that privacy screening has been added on the southeast elevation (in an amendment submitted
					31/0715), we are satisfied that this application does not impact the privacy of our property.
2015/3565/P	Lynda Raftery	41 Lyncroft Gardens Flat 2 NW6 1LB	02/08/2015 21:49:37	OBJEMAIL	I live at Flat 2, 41 Lyncroft Gardens and strongly object to this application for the following reasons: 1) Our living room, roof terrace and garden will be overlooked by the first floor extension and roof terrace, resulting in loss of privacy and light. 2) I work from home and the noise levels caused by the build will be extremely disruptive to my working day. I also have young children who will be greatly affected by the noise levels as they love to play in the garden. 3) It is very difficult to get parked on Lyncroft Gardens due to the amount of flats, meaning I regularly park on Parsifal Road. I am concerned that this will become harder should this application succeed.
2015/3565/P	Antonietta Franchi	1 Parsifal london nw61ug	31/07/2015 10:15:27	OBJ	I would like to object to the planning application 2015/3565/P for the erection of a Single storey roof extension with roof terrace to rear and external staircase because these alterations would be contrary to council policies and guidelines as contained in the draft unitary development plans and special planning guidance by virtue of size, and bulk and they would therefore be detrimental to the appearance of the building and the visual amenity of the surrounding area. A similar application, see pw9802404r1 (but note location map shown is incorrect) for this very same property and the adjoining house 1f was previously refused and the same reasons apply here now. It is very clear from the original buildings (application number f4/5/b/25466 but again note location map shown is incorrect) how much larger the proposed extensions would result. This property has already been substantially developed ,planning number (2013/5125/P) so a further extension would result in excessive size and bulk and thus not be subordinate. This application should be refused because the scale, visual prominence and proportions of the building would be overwhelmed by additional extension as per the councils cpg 1 guidelines. This application is soley for 1e, whereas the proposed drawings submitted are misleading as they do not show the extension next to the adjoining property 1f in its current state. The drawings show two separate proposals in situ. In this location there is no established form of roof addition or alteration to a terrace or group of similar buildings and this proposal would separate it from the existing group of buildings and townscape.
2015/3565/P	Antonietta Franchi	1 Parsifal Road London nw61ug	01/08/2015 11:17:41	OBJ	