

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3516/P	Veronica Lee	6 Rosslyn Hill London NW3 1PH	10/08/2015 22:34:52	COMMEM AIL	This is a really dangerous place to have off street parking. The pavement is incredibly deep and it is a main thoroughfare for lots of pedestrians. many small children use the route to get to school on scooters and a car backing out of the drive seems potentially a real danger. The wall is in keeping with all the other Victorian houses and there is plenty of parking both directly outside and on Hampstead Hill Gardens. By granting this application we all loose at least two parking spaces and Camden loses the money for residents parking. We have all suffered great disruption due to many years of building works on No 10 can we not at least retain the look of unity we have in these glorious houses.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3516/P	Allan Chin	Flat 1 6 Rosslyn Hill	06/08/2015 19:51:05	OBJ	<p>I object to the application:</p> <p>PRECEDENT If this application is allowed the precedent will be set for similar applications, causing more potential danger to pedestrians and the demolition of historic structures and trees in this conservation area.</p> <p>REMOVAL OF PARKING BAYS This proposal means that two parking bays at the front of 10 Rosslyn Hill, would be removed with consequent inconvenience to residents and visitors. More importantly we have several elderly residents living in No.6 Rosslyn Hill; Mr and Mrs Dodson and Mrs Phillips both rely on deliveries via vehicles which require parking spaces close to the house. It is possible that emergency vehicles, called to attend to the elderly residents living in nos. 4, 6, and 8, would experience difficulty in parking.</p> <p>There are many parking bays very close to 10 Rosslyn Hill, around the corner at Hampstead Hill Gardens. Mr Alas of No.10 is not infirm, and could easily make use of the dozens of parking bays within a very short distance from his house.</p> <p>DANGER TO PEDESTRIANS During school term time many small children and parents walk along this part of Rosslyn Hill, which at these times is a busy thoroughfare. It would therefore be dangerous to the public to allow vehicles to exit and enter the property at 10 Rosslyn Hill via the street.</p> <p>DAMAGE TO THE PLANE TREE The new entrance would come very close to the plane tree on the pavement; if not to the tree itself the work may cause damage to the roots.</p> <p>CONSERVATION AREA The construction involved in this application would mean the destruction of a considerable section of the historic boundary wall, which has always stood between the pavement and the front garden of the property. This would damage the general aspect of the streetscape, in which all the houses from number 4 to number 10 share a common wall design and construction.</p> <p>UNSIGHTLY The pavement from Pond Street to Hampstead Hill Gardens is a continuous strip, and has been for many decades. It would be visually disruptive to break this unity with a vehicular entrance from the road.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3516/P	Ann Lloyd	Flat 4 8 Rosslyn Hill London NW3 1PH	07/08/2015 23:27:29	OBJ	<p>The Residents of Nos 4-8 Rosslyn Hill and No 12 Rosslyn Hill were greatly reassured by Camden's refusal, in 2008, to permit the hard standing for a car-parking space to be built in the front-garden of No 10 Rosslyn Hill with its associated vehicular entrance. The current owner of No 10 has submitted a new application which seeks to reassure Camden with some changes to the previous application. However, various critical problems still remain.</p> <p>This application still requires the removal from others' use of (at least) a single parking space in the parking bay in front of this stretch of properties. This parking bay is not extensive and there are several elderly and disabled residents in the houses the bay serves. One resident in No 8 has a Blue Badge and finds it a slow and painful struggle to reach to front gate. The removal of a space would make it less possible to ensure that necessary transport can be parked close by.</p> <p>The applicant has attempted to downgrade this inconvenience by offering to have his residents' parking permit rescinded. However, this is not an offer that could have any permanent application.</p> <p>The applicant's concern for loss of parking facilities for others is also slightly suspect in that, for the last 4-5 years, much of this space has been occupied by the service-providing, delivery, and waste-removal vehicles required daily by No 10. Indeed, it's still the case that few days go by without one or more such vehicles occupying the Residents' Parking Bay – often in spaces that have been 'coned off'.</p> <p>The applicant has provided a survey on the availability of parking spaces on Rosslyn Hill. This demonstrated that 'during the weekday evening periods when residential parking will be at its highest, there were between 84 and 88 available parking spaces and circa 25% spare capacity within 200m of the site.' The applicant could reasonably be described as someone 'in their prime', with no apparent disabilities. What this survey would show, therefore, is that the applicant would have no need to park any closer than 200m from No 10, and could reach an on-street parking space in a matter of moments.</p> <p>Furthermore, this area of Rosslyn Hill is a Conservation Area and, as The Hampstead and Highgate Society aptly points out, 'cars parked on the front garden detract from the Conservation Area. In addition, once access is given there is no control over extra cars being parked - [and] the garden becomes a car park very frequently.'</p> <p>Also very important is the matter of 'pavement safety', as detailed and campaigned for by the Safer Routes to Schools organization. Many young children are disgorged from cars on the Hampstead Heath side of Rosslyn Hill on their way to schools and nurseries on Pond Street and Haverstock Hill. Many of these children then speed down the No 12-No2 stretch of Rosslyn Hill on their scooters. Parents have often managed to drill into them the need to 'Stop at the next road!', but garage entrances etc are not nearly as visible.</p> <p>Finally – for this 'objection', the granting of such an application would set a precedent that if would be very difficult to over-ride in the future.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3516/P	Allan Chin	Flat 1 6 Rosslyn Hill	06/08/2015 19:50:51	OBJ	<p>I object to the application:</p> <p>PRECEDENT If this application is allowed the precedent will be set for similar applications, causing more potential danger to pedestrians and the demolition of historic structures and trees in this conservation area.</p> <p>REMOVAL OF PARKING BAYS This proposal means that two parking bays at the front of 10 Rosslyn Hill, would be removed with consequent inconvenience to residents and visitors. More importantly we have several elderly residents living in No.6 Rosslyn Hill; Mr and Mrs Dodson and Mrs Phillips both rely on deliveries via vehicles which require parking spaces close to the house. It is possible that emergency vehicles, called to attend to the elderly residents living in nos. 4, 6, and 8, would experience difficulty in parking.</p> <p>There are many parking bays very close to 10 Rosslyn Hill, around the corner at Hampstead Hill Gardens. Mr Alas of No.10 is not infirm, and could easily make use of the dozens of parking bays within a very short distance from his house.</p> <p>DANGER TO PEDESTRIANS During school term time many small children and parents walk along this part of Rosslyn Hill, which at these times is a busy thoroughfare. It would therefore be dangerous to the public to allow vehicles to exit and enter the property at 10 Rosslyn Hill via the street.</p> <p>DAMAGE TO THE PLANE TREE The new entrance would come very close to the plane tree on the pavement; if not to the tree itself the work may cause damage to the roots.</p> <p>CONSERVATION AREA The construction involved in this application would mean the destruction of a considerable section of the historic boundary wall, which has always stood between the pavement and the front garden of the property. This would damage the general aspect of the streetscape, in which all the houses from number 4 to number 10 share a common wall design and construction.</p> <p>UNSIGHTLY The pavement from Pond Street to Hampstead Hill Gardens is a continuous strip, and has been for many decades. It would be visually disruptive to break this unity with a vehicular entrance from the road.</p>
