| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Printed on: 11/08/2015 09:05:17 Response: |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2015/3100/P | Mrs Viviana Fain-Binda | Flat 6, 89 Priory Road NW6 3NL NW6 3NL NW6 3NL | 01/08/2015 12:40:40 | OBJ | We object to the present design because it clashes with the architecture of the house. This is a conservation area and nothing in the architect's drawings seems to have taken this important fact into account. It overshadows and substantially intrudes into the geography and character of our wonderful communal garden. The plans display an excessively high extension which uses inappropriate materials such as a zinc roof and aluminium windows. Besides possibly contradicting conservation regulations the extension as it stands is unattractive and will no doubt impact negatively on the value of the rest of the flats in the building. Over the years we have been very careful trying to respect the style and nature of this house and these drawings do not do that. We would much rather see a smaller, lower, single storey extension, with materials that are in keeping with and respect the Victorian history of the house. |
| 2015/3100/P | Krysia Bell | Flat 4 89 Priory Road NW63NL NW63NL NW63NL | 03/08/2015 16:26:26 | ОВЈ | Apart from the fact that the roof of the extension is placed directly under the window sill of the applicant's upstairs neighbours, causing privacy issues, the height of the extension is disproportionate to the original Victorian building and cuts into the horizontal decorative brickwork because the roof of the extension breaches the ceiling and storey height of the applicant's flat. • The height of the proposed extension calls for the excavation of 1 meter depth of clay soil to a length of 7 metres – we are concerned that, in a designated flood area, known for subterranean water activity, no assessment of risks has been carried out on an excavation designed for the purpose of producing an inappropriately high structure. • The length of the extension and fencing is also out of proportion with the building and with the communal garden, significantly overshadowing and narrowing it. • The excavation would stop just short of a willow tree which is suffering from honey fungus. This excavation would mean the tree would have to be totally removed, with ensuing heave risk, and alternative solutions, such as the gradual removal of the tree to offset the risk of heave to our house (which has experienced movement in the past) could not be considered. • The excavation and the close proximity of the extension to the to the tree would mean unnecessary ground work and very deep foundation work (of more than 2.5 meters), far below the existing footings. This does not seem reasonable considering the noise and structural risks involved especially when a smaller, single storey, normal height extension with no excavated private areas would be more appropriate in this Victorian conservation area. • We also feel that the use of a zinc roof and aluminium windows is inappropriate for a Victorian building, especially in a conservation area. |