From: Michael Brod

Sent: 05 August 2015 20:35

To: Planning

Subject: Waterhouse Close NW3 5PF - tree application 2015/4233/T - cypress tree T1 and

cherry tree T2

Tree application 2015/4233/T - cypress tree T1 and cherry tree T2

We're writing as owner/occupiers of Flat 3, 26 Belsize Lane - in response to your 30th July notification as above - removal of cypress and cherry tree.

We object most strongly to the removal of these two trees, for the following reasons:

- * Although these are only medium-sized trees, they are an important part of the outlook from our flats, providing two-way privacy between us and the flats at Waterhouse Court.
- * The trees form part of the mature screen of trees at the rear of the properties in this area, including Waterhouse Close, and form part of the Conservation Area's character.
- * The tree removals are linked to the construction of the new house at No 28 Belsize Lane 2014/4163/P and 2010/3112/P. The planning permission for the new house includes a commitment to retain these (and other) trees, supported by expert arboricultural reports, so this application is an afterthought, proposed by a newly appointed arboriculturalist.
- * No reasons are given for removing the trees.
- * Detailed landscape and tree proposals were agreed by the applicant with Camden, as part of the planning permission process for the new house, including retaining these trees. This process would be undermined if the applicant is allowed to nibble away at the approved proposals by removing trees one-by-one, notwithstanding the promise to plant replacement trees.
- * Although the applicant has full contact details for us as her neighbours, no attempt has been made to consult with us about the removal of these trees. I suggest that the reasons for removal are the applicant's wish to reduce overshadowing of her rear garden and the back of her house.
- * Both of these trees are located in Waterhouse Close, belonging to London Borough of Camden, and not on the applicant's land at No 28 Belsize Lane. It is extraordinarily unusual to propose removal of adjoining owner's trees in this way, and without any justification given for the proposed removal which would directly affect not only us but the residents of Waterhouse Close.

Michael & Kitty Brod Flat 3 26 Belsize Lane London NW3 5AB From: Michael Brod <

Sent: 05 August 2015 20:12

To: Planning

Subject: Waterhouse Close NW3 5PF - tree application 2015/4233/T - cypress tree T1 and

cherry tree T2

Tree application 2015/4233/T - cypress tree T1 and cherry tree T2

I'm writing as director of Belsize Property Management Ltd, the freeholder of 26 Belsize Lane - in response to your 30th July notification as above - removal of cypress and cherry tree.

I object most strongly to the removal of these two trees, for the following reasons:

- * Although these are only medium-sized trees, they are an important part of the outlook from the flats in this building, providing privacy between us and the flats at Waterhouse Court.
- * The trees form part of the mature screen of trees at the rear of the properties in this area, including Waterhouse Close, and form part of the Conservation Area's character.
- * The tree removals are linked to the construction of the new house at No 28 Belsize Lane 2014/4163/P and 2010/3112/P. The planning permission for the new house includes a commitment to retain these (and other) trees, supported by expert arboricultural reports, so this application is an afterthought, proposed by a newly appointed arboriculturalist.
- * No reasons are given for removing the trees.
- * Detailed landscape and tree proposals were agreed by the applicant with Camden, as part of the planning permission process for the new house, including retaining these trees. This process would be undermined if the applicant is allowed to nibble away at the approved proposals by removing trees one-by-one, notwithstanding the promise to plant replacement trees.
- * Although the applicant has full contact details for us as her neighbours, no attempt has been made to consult with us about the removal of these trees. I suggest that the reasons for removal are the applicant's wish to reduce overshadowing of her rear garden and the back of her house.
- * Both of these trees are located in Waterhouse Close, belonging to London Borough of Camden, and not on the applicant's land at No 28 Belsize Lane. It is extraordinarily unusual to propose removal of adjoining owner's trees in this way, and without any justification given for the proposed removal which would directly affect not only us but the residents of Waterhouse Close.

Michael Brod - Director
BELSIZE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT LTD
Company Registration Number: 2023627

Registered Office: Flat 3 - 26 Belsize Lane - London NW3 5AB

telephone: