Sent: To: Subject:	03 August 2015 14:26 Planning FW: 2015/3207/P - 41 Dartmouth park Road	
Please log as comment mad	de	
Thank you!		
Darlene		
From: Michael Port [mailto Sent: 03 August 2015 12:15 To: Dike, Darlene Subject: Re: 2015/3207/P - 41 D	Partmouth park Road	
Dear Darlene,		
Thank you for your informatio	n.	
Having considered the revised drawings, we welcome the marked improvements in the roofs, but very strongly regret that no alteration has been proposed to the monstrously outsized back door, to which we continue to offer the strongest opposition as a seriously damaging feature that is also quite unnecessary as a conventional window and door in keeping with adjoining facades would provide more than adequate light.		
Regards,		
M.Port, for Dartmouth Park Co	onservation Area Advisory Committee.	
On Thu, Jul 30, 2015 at 5:33 P	M, Dike, Darlene wrote:	
Dear Michael		
of the points raised in your of	sed drawings have now come in for this application, addressing many objection. We would welcome you at this stage to re-assess the ain on the application in view of the changes made.	
Kind regards		
Darlene Dike		

Dike, Darlene

From:

Planning technician
Development management
Regeneration and planning
Tel: 0207 974 1029
Web: www.camden.gov.uk/planning
From: Dike, Darlene Sent: 20 July 2015 15:04
To: 'Michael Port'
Subject: RE: PA 2015/3207/P
Dear Michael
Thank you for your comments.
Please be aware that your objection has come in prior to early revisions to the scheme which may
address many of the points raised. As revised drawings are expected to be published within the week we welcome you to comment again once you have an opportunity to review these.
I am happy to do you the courtesy of notifying you by email once revisions to the scheme come in
Kind regards
Darlene Dike
Planning technician
Development management
Regeneration and planning

Tel: 0207 974 1029

Web: www.camden.gov.uk/planning

From: Michael Port [mailto Sent: 16 July 2015 11:48

To: Planning

Subject: PA 2015/3207/P

Dartmouth Park Conservation Area Advisory Committee

2015/3207/P 41 Dartmouth Park Road

We object to this application

:Front elevation: The proposed roof light breaks the uniform roofs of the street, and thereby harms the Conservation Area.

Rear elevation: We object very strongly to the grotesque proposed 'steel over-size door' which destroys the balance of the rear of this terrace (as is evident from the only item in all the material for this application that shows context - p.3); may be objectionable on safety grounds; and is quite unnecessary. It pays no respect to the original design and proportions. If light is required at ground floor level, a window that relates to existing windows should be provided, with a normal size door at lower ground floor.

We further object strongly to the proposed timber cladding, that would introduce another unrelated feature in this front of the terrace. It fails to meet the requirement (CPG Design, 4.11) of being 'sympathetic to the existing building material'. Brick is the appropriate material. The aluminium sliding doors occupying the whole of the lower ground floor (apart from the over-size door) are also inappropriate, and timber framing is to be preferred.

Roof: The proposed dormer is decidedly excessive in size; taking up half the roof area, it cannot fairly be said to be 'subservient' to the roof.

Furthermore, the adjoining roof-light does 'upset the balance' of the terrace roofs, and should be rejected.

A condition might be imposed to ensure the proper maintenance of the proposed green roof of the extension.

This application has, as we point out, a number of seriously objectionable features, failing CS14 (a) and DP24, and CPG, Design, 4.10 & 11. It should accordingly be rejected.

M.Port, for DPCAAC.

16/7/2015

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e- mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.