

[REDACTED]

From: Wilson, Rene <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 31 July 2015 16:15
To: Mutasa, Tendai; Planning
Subject: Planning Application 2014/40647/P - 3 Kings Terrace

Hi Tendai

Application 2014/6047/P - 3 Kings terrace

I noticed that new drawings were submitted with regard to the above application. I want to raise a further objection based on following:

- Drawings are inadequate / building height not accurate:
 - Based on drawings proposed height is less than 6m
 - Doesn't show height in relation to neighbouring buildings (No 1 & 5 King's Terrace)
 - Drawing for no 2 & 4 Kings Terrace shows height of 8.1m (Planning application 2011/0240/P)
 - Please note the drawings for 2 & 4 King's Terrace seems to be done by professional architect, with accurate scale (most likely laser measurements were used).
 - 5 Kings Terrace is taller than 2 & 4 Kings Terrace, meaning it is taller than 8.1m.
 - Even 5 King's Terrace plans (2012/1309/P) showed the building height in relation to the neighbouring properties. Please note the build didn't comply with the drawings submitted.

These drawings are totally inadequate, the measurements do not seem accurate and there is no way to determine how high the elevation will be with surrounding buildings.

In addition, the inadequacy of these drawing was raised by consultant Thomas Bailey (application documents on 17 Dec 2012), these inadequacies certainly don't seem to have been addressed in the new drawings submitted on 23 July 2015.

This planning application should be refused due to inaccurate and inadequate drawings. These drawing are misleading and mean that proper planning supervision of any building work cannot be carried out.

Should you require further details or have any questions please contact me via e-mail or phone number previously provided.

Regards
Rene Wilson
22B Camden High Street