# **Conservation Area Advisory Committee**

**Advisory Committee** Primrose Hill

Application ref 2015/3177/P

Address 61 Gloucester Crescent LondonNW1 7EG

Planning Officer Patrick Marfleet

Comments by 30 July 2015

Proposal Erection of a single storey timber outbuilding in rear garden

for use as a gym.

Objection Yes

ADVICE from Primrose Hill Conservation Area Advisory Observations

12A Manley Street, London NW1 8LT

15 July 2015

61 Gloucester Crescent 2015/3177/P

Objection.

We note that 61 Gloucester Crescent is one of a group of Listed Buildings, 52-70 Gloucester Crescent, and that it backs on the gardens of Regentâs Park Terrace, which is also Listed. Therefore a key planning consideration is the impact of the proposed structure on the setting of the Listed Buildings. We note that the applicant has not addressed this issue in their Design and Access Statement, and that no Heritage assessment is included in the documents available

online.

There is a broad presumption in policy guidance for the Primrose Hill CA that the green character of the area be protected, for example in the formal PHCA Statement at PH37 âparticular care should be taken to preserve the green character of the Conservation Area by retaining garden spacesâ, while PH34 refers to the importance of new development having a high standard of landscape design, awhich should respect the character and appearance of the

# Conservation Area Advisory Committee

Conservation Areaâ. This is made more important as these gardens form part of the setting of the Listed Buildings.

In this case, the character and appearance of the CA is based on the openness and unbuilt quality of the area of gardens which converge to create a generous space.

Within this context, we advise that the proposal is in itself modest in plan form, but we have two grounds of objection, both of which could be addressed by revision.

We note that the structure is to be raised up, thereby increasing its prominence and visibility. We advise that the base should be lowered to the general garden level.

We also note that the monopitch roo adds considerably to the heigh of the structure. Again, this could be reduced significantly, to minimise harmful impact on the setting.

We are concerned that the proposed structure fills the whole width of the rear section of the garden. This significantly diminishes the sense of garden space, and could, and again, should, be revised to allow the full length of the space to be better respected.

We would be happy to review revised proposals. We note that we were not offered any pre-application discussion of this scheme.

Richard Simpson FSA Chair

### Documents attached

No details entered

#### About this form

Issued by

Contact Camden 5 Pancras Square

About this form

London N1C 4AG

Form reference

10159417

### **Data Protection**

No personal information you have given us will be passed on to third parties for commercial purposes. The Council's policy is that all information will be shared among officers and other agencies where the legal framework allows it, if this will help to improve the service you receive and to develop other services. If you do not wish certain information about you to be exchanged within the Council, you can request that this does not happen.