

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3653/P	John Malet-Bates	4 Ferncroft Avenue apt. 6 NW3 7PH	26/07/2015 21:06:01	OBJ	This garden room, if previously consented, should not have gained consent. Its enlargement should be refused on the grounds of making a viable separate dwelling. HCAAC and Redfrog always Object to such proposals and I wonder how the original outbuilding gained consent,if indeed it did so, taking up so much rear garden, creating such unacceptable precedent. If not previously consented but were to be allowed to remain, proof of its existence for more than 4 years would seem to be required. This out building is clearly a substantial breach of planning policy for this CA and generally in NW3.
2015/3653/P	John Malet-Bates	4 Ferncroft Avenue apt. 6 NW3 7PH	26/07/2015 21:05:39	OBJ	