| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Printed on: 17/07/20 Response: | 5 | 09:05:18 |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------|--|--|----------|
| Application No: 2015/2934/P | Consultees Name: Susan Melkman | Consultees Addr: 401 Alfred Court 53 Fortune Green Road London NW6 1DF | Received: 16/07/2015 20:13:35 | | Response: My objections are: 1. That this will create a precedent. There are two other remotely located gardens on the roof. 2. There is significant impact on the look/style/appearance of the roof from the other gardens enthough the design is sympathetic to the building. 3. The change of usage from roof garden to a roof room/self contained unit with services and a smaller garden. Under a change of ownership in the future, the roof room could be misused as a contained property. 4. The space does not appear to be as described on page 3/paragraph 1/last sentence of the Desi Acccess statement - the sentence does not make sense. According to the plans the room space is larger than the garden space. 5. The Design and Access statement says the pool is not usable. It is a heated pool and can be u from around May until September/October. To access their garden all year round, the outdoor co will still need to be used in all weathers which will probably only make it usable from Spring un Autumn as the marble steps become very slippery when wet and dangerous when icy. Also Apt outside space with panoramic views directly from the apartment. | much self gn and much sed rridor til | |
| | | | | | | | |