

David Jenkins
6 Reeds Place
NW1 9NA

Mr Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer
Camden Council

Re 61 Rochester Place
Application 2015/2697/P

Dear Mr Thuaire

I wish to object to the above planning application for an extension to 61 Rochester Place.

The application relates to a further, second full storey on top of an extension which was refused by Camden Council initially and only overturned on appeal, despite objections at the time.

I understand that the proposed height will be over *two meters higher* than **any** refused application for the site.

I object on the grounds of the overbearing design, whose height, bulk and massing represents a huge overdevelopment of this little site.

I am also concerned about overlooking and the increased sense of enclosure which will be caused by the increased bulk so close to my property.

I am also concerned about the architectural design. The

appearance of the fibre cement panels does not relate to the conservation area and listed buildings adjacent. The elevations don't preserve or enhance the conservation area.

I understand that, as Planning officer for this site, you previously considered the addition of *one* floor (2013/0643/P) to be detrimental, therefore I trust that you will understand my concern in this case.

The applicant has been attempting to establish a larger and larger footprint for the last couple of years. I am concerned that the reason for this is that the new office use class conversion into residential without further permission may be his motivation.

I also object to the complete lack of consultation on this application by the applicant with neighbours, given how much of an effect this will have on us.

The office / industrial space in operation has not so far been very compatible with Reed's Place since they leave a great number of lights on all night, shining through large rooflights straight into our bedrooms and this doesn't seem to be regulated. I am therefore also concerned on the grounds of light pollution because with another two floors operating outside of normal office hours, this could become unbearable.

I hope that a limit may be placed on this site (say, a planning development statement by Camden) so that so much time can be saved in objecting to the constant applications on this site (9 in the last 7 years).

I trust that this application will go to committee and confirm that I would like to be informed of the date of that committee.

I request that it is recorded that I OBJECT to the proposed application for 61-63 Rochester Place (2015/2697/P)

Yours sincerely,

David Jenkins ACA

