



**FAO Sarah Price**

Quod  
Ingeni Building  
17 Broadwick Street  
London  
W1F 0AX

Report no: BCTLWRS-5837-01

Supplier no.: GREENGAGE-02

Date: 02.09.2012

**Arboricultural implications assessment to BS 5837 of tree stocks at Bacton  
Low Rise, Gospel Oak, London.**

**Scope.**

We have recently been instructed to undertake an appraisal of trees at Bacton Low Rise, Gospel Oak to the British Standard 5837 'Trees in Relation to Construction – and Demolition Recommendations' 2012.

The trees were inspected on the 31<sup>st</sup> August following the guidance in the British Standard. The crowns and stems were inspected from the ground using the 'Visual Tree Assessment (VTA)' method; no invasive techniques were used at this stage.

**Site conditions / Site Surroundings.**

The site is currently occupied by a low rise residential housing facility comprising of several detached buildings, landscaped courtyards and car parking that seeks to residential housing for local people. To the east of the main complex is the district housing office; this is also included within the proposals.

To the north of the development lies domestic housing, to the east stretches further residential housing and St Martin's Church, to the west is residential flats and to the south a nursing home. Tree cover in the locality is extensive and of good quality.

Trees on the site are of a generally good quality, there is evidence of extensive pressure from different phases of building works, compaction within the root protection areas, poor pruning and in some cases vandalism.

While the survey was in progress, it was noted that works are being carried out for a district-heating scheme. This appears to involve pipe laying north to south down Haverstock Road. It was noted by our surveyor that no tree protection fencing was in place and the trench was being dug well within the root protection areas of the tree cover in its entirety.

### **Proposed Layout.**

The proposed development seeks to demolish the existing complex and replace it with a mixed development of residential units, gardens and landscaped areas.

To enable the development, as shown on the plan provided to us, the tree cover present on site at the time of survey will be put under pressure. Trees within the site would not be able to be retained with the plans as proposed. It is also noted that the local authority are keen to retain the Norway Maple tree cover adjacent to Wellesley Road. This would not be possible with the plans as proposed.

The Local Planning Authority has indicated that it places a high value on the tree cover in this area but intends not to be too prescriptive with planting within the new development.

### **Recommendations.**

The quality of the tree stocks on site would inform the following approach based on the initial proposed layout:

- Amend the plans to retain T9-T11 or apply for permission to remove and re-plant.
- Tree stocks should be protected by suitable fencing as set out in BS 5837 throughout the demolition and construction phase, the erection of which should be supervised by the project arboriculturalist, tree stocks on site are particularly vulnerable during the demolition phase, fencing location is shown on the appended arboricultural method statement
- Dismantle and fell trees marked red for removal on the arboricultural method statement
- Any excavation around trees should be carried out under arboricultural supervision using an air spade so as to protect the root network from damage
- Construction of road ways and service installation should be as per the guidance set out in APN12 and of a 'no dig' construction to prevent damage to tree roots
- Implement a planting plan within the context of the new development with a robust and *sustainable species choice*, this is likely to be placed as a planning condition, a species pick list should be offered with the planning application
- Full details of the condition of the tree stocks are included in the attached arboricultural data tables with their positions and conditions shown on the attached mapping.

To summarise, it is possible to retain the perimeter trees, which seems to be the main concern outlined in the initial pre-application meeting. To do this tree protection fencing and site hoarding needs to be robust and located appropriately.

Arboricultural supervision throughout the project will be key to retaining trees undamaged.

Should you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact us at any time.

Prepared by: Matthew Harmsworth.

Checked by: Kevin Ashford.

*BS 5837 report – Proposed development – Bacton Low Rise*

*Report no. BCTLWRS-5837-01*

*Date: 02.09.2012*


*Greengage LLP*


M.W. Haensworth.


## **APPENDIX 5 – TREE PROTECTION**




TREE CATEGORIES

- 


Category B
- 

Category C
- 

Category R  
(marked for removal)
- 

Root protection area  
(of retained trees)

DETAIL 1  
 Installation of tree protection fencing



- 1 Standard scaffold poles  
 2 Uprights to be driven into the ground  
 3 Panels secured to uprights with wire ties and where necessary standard scaffold clamps  
 4 Weldmesh wired to the uprights and horizontals
- 5 Standard clamps  
 6 Wire twisted and secured on inside face of fencing to avoid easy dismantling  
 7 Ground level  
 8 Approx. 0.6 m driven into the ground

Note 1:  
 Demolition of the existing buildings will have to be carried out from within the building foot print. In order to protect the retained trees, the tree protection fencing / site hoarding will need to be erected parallel with the existing building footprint as shown.

DETAIL 2  
 Example signage that should be affixed to tree protection fencing



Tree planting.

In mitigation for the tree removals, 21 replacement trees are to be planted. These replacement trees shall be planted in tree pits as per Detail 3 using re-root 200 where in close proximity to service runs. Metro rain watering points shall be installed and the tree health monitored 6 monthly by the ACoW.




All products specified within this plan can be found in the latest Greenleaf catalogue.


Arboricultural Method Statement - Bacton Low Rise


Greengage Matthew Harmsworth ND RS, Tech.Arbor.A	Project: Proposed mixed use residential development with associated hard and soft landscaping.		Drawing no.15_AMS_01_22	
	Drawing: BS5837 Arboricultural Method Statement	Location: Bacton Low Rise, Wellesley Rd, Gospel Oak	Date: 22/01/2015	Scale: 1:500@A3




TREE CATEGORIES

Category B

Category C

Category R  
(marked for removal)

Root protection area  
(of retained trees)


Note 1:  
Demolition of the existing buildings will have to be carried out from within the building foot print. In order to protect the retained trees, the tree protection fencing / site hoarding will need to be erected parallel with the existing building footprint as shown.

DETAIL 2  
Example signage that should be affixed to tree protection fencing



Tree planting.

In mitigation for the tree removals, 25 replacement trees are to be planted. These replacement trees shall be planted in tree pits as per Detail 3 using re-root 200 where in close proximity to service runs. Metro rain watering points shall be installed and the tree health monitored 6 monthly by the ACoW.



# Arboricultural Method Statement - Bacton Low Rise

Greengage Matthew Harmsworth ND RS, Tech.Arbor.A	Project: Proposed mixed use residential development with associated hard and soft landscaping.		Drawing no. 15_AMS_01_22_Plan2	
	Drawing: BS5837 Arboricultural Method Statement	Location: Bacton Low Rise, Wellesley Rd, Gospel Oak	Date: 22/01/20152	Scale: 1:500@A3

## **APPENDIX 6 – ARBORICULTURAL PRACTICE NOTE 12 (APN12)**



# Trees in focus

## Through the Trees to Development

Derek Patch and Ben Holding

*Arboricultural Advisory and Information Service*

### Summary


The majority of tree roots grow in the upper metre of soil and they may spread outwards in any direction a distance equal to the tree's height. Any disturbance of the ground within the root spread of a tree can damage its roots and may severely injure the tree. Damage to roots will interrupt the supply of water necessary to keep the tree alive and may cause decline in vigour, dieback or even death of the tree. The tree may also be made unstable and so pose an unacceptable threat to the safety of people and property. Development of a site, including construction of access routes, driveways and parking areas can result in substantial root severance of trees. Techniques for the construction of access drives, which may avoid or lessen the damage caused to trees, are described.

This note embraces the principles first published by The Tree Advice Trust as "Driveways Close to Trees" (Arboricultural Practice Note No. 1<sup>1</sup>) and reviews where the principles may be applied in practice.

### Trees: A Cause of Conflict

Development of a site is sometimes hampered or prevented because of the presence of trees. Local authorities and residents may wish to see trees 'preserved' whilst developers seek permission to build close to them - often ignorant about the damage this may cause to trees. Even developments such as access drives and parking areas can threaten existing nearby trees.

Traditional driveway construction (excavation and backfilling with a compactable load-bearing sub-base material) can seriously damage tree roots. Such damage occurs because of a lack of understanding that roots mainly grow outwards from a tree's trunk, near to the soil surface, rather than downwards (Dobson 1995). Where there is a significant risk of damage to trees by root severance, or changes in soil conditions during construction, local planning authorities may sometimes refuse permission for installation of an access driveway or parking area close to trees - especially if the trees are subjects of Tree Preservation Orders.



**Figure 1.**

Incorrect representation of a tree's root system.

However, if the potential for damage to the tree's root system (e.g. by severance or soil compaction) can be avoided during construction, development may be more easily accepted. A technique is described below which should reduce the risk of significant damage to tree roots while enabling access and parking for light vehicles to be constructed close to trees.

### Where Do Tree Roots Grow?

Survival of a tree depends on its roots being able to absorb enough water from the soil to sustain the foliage (an estimated 1,000 litres per day in summer for a fully grown forest tree in a rural area) and on developing a strong root system capable of keeping the tree upright through autumn and winter gales. To achieve this the tree's roots must exploit a very large volume of soil. However, the assumption that these requirements are met by a system of roots growing predominantly downwards (Figure 1), and that anchoring roots are very thick and descend into the soil for many metres (like the base of a lamp post) is incorrect. In reality tree roots:

<sup>1</sup> Driveways Close to Trees, Arboricultural Practice Note No. 1 is withdrawn and superseded by this wider text.




- grow in any direction more or less parallel with the soil surface rather than vertically (Figure 2). This is also true for trees growing on sloping land.
- are usually relatively shallow - most of a tree's roots are in the upper metre of soil.
- usually radiate outwards from a tree for a distance equivalent to at least the tree's height (which for a mature tree may be 20 m or more).
- can be 30 cm or more in diameter at the base of the trunk.
- sub-divide and taper rapidly as they extend out from the trunk.
- are only 2-3 cm in diameter, and often much less at 3-4 m distance from the trunk.

The small woody roots (those less than 3 cm diameter) taper very little but they may spread out for long distances. Smaller, non-woody roots (sometimes described as white, feeder, fibrous, fragile or absorbing roots) grow outwards and usually upwards from the woody roots and subdivide to exploit the better aerated surface soil. Although generally short lived they (and the fungi associated with them - called mycorrhizas) are the principal absorbers of moisture and nutrients.

Most roots (both thick and fine) are situated close to the soil surface, forming a thin layer less than 1m deep, but some small roots (usually only a few mm in diameter) may reach 2 m or more deep.

### Roots and the Soil

Roots are living and, like all plants and animals, must have oxygen if they are to survive. Without oxygen roots are unable to function properly or grow, and when they are starved of oxygen for prolonged periods, they die.



**Figure 2.**

Trees have a relatively shallow but wide spreading root system.

Both oxygen and water are held in the pores between the soil particles. Where the pores are large (e.g. in coarse or sandy soils) the soil will generally be freely draining and well-aerated, but where the pores are small (e.g. in heavy clays or soils which have been compacted) they may be full of water and have a poor supply of oxygen.

Most trees that have been growing undisturbed on a site for many years will have developed an extensive root system with the roots growing where the soil conditions are most favourable. There will be a balance between the development of the crown (which demands water) and the roots (which supply it). Any sudden alteration of the soil conditions within the tree's rooting area (a circle of radius equal to the tree's height) will therefore upset this balance. For example, the single passage of a machine will 'squeeze' the soil closing up the pores (causing compaction - especially in the upper levels) and so reduce the amount of oxygen available to roots which prevents them from growing through the soil. With each additional machinery movement the compaction increases and so do the problems for the tree and its roots.

Placing soil or other materials over the root system of a tree will impede air movement into and out of the soil around the roots and consequently reduce the availability of oxygen to the roots. The effect on the tree is usually progressive shoot and branch dieback until a new balance has been reached between the reduced capacity of the damaged root system to absorb water and the demands of the leaves. If damage is progressive or so severe that such a balance cannot be achieved, the tree will ultimately die.

Excavations - **even stripping the topsoil** - within the rooting area will sever roots. The closer the excavation is to the trunk of the tree the larger will be the roots lost and the greater the significance for the health and stability of the tree. Once the excavation is a metre deep virtually all of the roots growing into the excavated area will have been severed. The tree may then either be unable to absorb sufficient water to sustain the foliage and dieback will occur, or anchorage will be so reduced that the tree is unsafe and has to be severely pruned or even felled for safety.

Soil compaction, excavations and soil level increases will all damage roots and the closer to the trunk they occur the greater the damage inflicted on the tree. Nevertheless, healthy trees are generally able to withstand the loss of some roots (a maximum of about 20% of the rooting area, Helliwell and Fordham (1992)) without noticeable adverse effects.

### Development Near Trees

British Standard BS 5837:2005 *Trees in Relation to Construction - Recommendations* recommends that on construction sites an area around a tree should be left undisturbed (the Root Protection Area) so that unacceptable damage to the root system is avoided. In the British Standard the Root Protection Area is calculated as

the equivalent of a circle about 12x the diameter of the tree's trunk (measured at 1.5m above ground level). The distance from the trunk extending to the branch spread, or half the tree's height, whichever is the greater (Figure 3) is a useful indicator of the typical Root Protection Area for a given tree.

**The Root Protection Area** is an area of protected ground around a tree within which any activity that could damage roots should be prohibited without the prior agreement of an arboriculturist.

However, if the principles and guidelines set out below are followed, installation of access driveways and parking for light vehicles within the Root Protection Area may, in many situations, be possible without causing significant, permanent damage to trees. Nevertheless, expert arboricultural advice should be sought to determine whether the tree and the site conditions lend themselves to the principles described in this Note. Any assessment of a site should include consideration of the health and overall condition of the tree(s). That is because old and declining trees may be vulnerable to sudden changes in the site conditions and so they may warrant a larger area than the minimum recommended in the British Standard.

### Engineering Needs

Driveways, footpaths and car parking areas must be built on a firm, stable base. Engineers usually achieve this by excavating the soil to a depth of about 0.5 m, compacting the base if necessary, and backfilling with an inert material that can be compacted to form a stable platform. This usually involves progressive placement of layers of inert material with each being compacted by repeated passes of a powered roller or whacker plate. Each pass of a machine creates increasing compaction at depth in the soil. The edges of the excavation act as the supporting formation and kerbs or other edgings may be used to retain the surface material.

Any such excavations or soil stripping will sever roots and should be avoided within the Root Protection Area.

Compacting the base of an excavation can change the bulk density of the subsoil creating conditions unsuitable for the survival of any roots, particularly the water absorbing fine roots, contained in that volume. Placement and particularly compaction of load bearing construction materials will contribute to this creation of conditions unsuitable for root survival.

On many sites it is possible to construct an adequately supported access driveway suitable for limited usage by light vehicles while retaining healthy, stable trees, by adoption of three principals particularly when construction is within the Root Protection Area as determined in consultation with an arboriculturist.

Where the finished structure will be adopted by the Highway Authority a more robust specification may be required. Provided the same principles are embraced construction across the root systems of trees should still be feasible.

### Protection and Construction

For tree roots to be retained undamaged there must be *no excavation, no soil stripping and no grading of the site within the Root Protection Area* - in other words, **NO DIGGING**. This means that construction will have to be above the existing ground level.


Passage of vehicles across an unprotected soil surface must also be avoided, particularly where the soil is wet, as this will cause breakage of surface roots, soil compaction and consequently reduced soil aeration. These problems are heightened on clay soils. Most vulnerable to soil compaction are the fine white roots (those roots that are generally difficult to find when soil is examined) essential for water absorption. Surviving roots may not be able to grow through the compacted soil.

To reiterate there must be **NO COMPACTION** of the soil.


Where trees are to be retained on a site it is essential, therefore, that all but the immediate area of the development is protected from access and construction operations by fencing as recommended in BS 5837.

**Figure 3.**

Distance from a tree which constitutes the zone in which roots should be protected - i.e. no digging.







## No-Dig Construction

Successful retention of trees, even when adopting a no-dig method, depends upon the condition (health and vigour) of the tree(s), which should be assessed by a qualified arboriculturist, and on adherence to three simple rules within the Root Protection Area:

- roots must not be severed, cut or broken – **no digging**
- ground levels must not be changed - **no digging, no soil level raising**
- **soil must not be compacted – no tracking of vehicles**
- oxygen must be able to diffuse into the soil beneath the engineered surface – **no tracking of vehicles**

## Meeting the Engineering Needs

Damage to trees can be avoided only if the construction embraces the above simple principles and, within the fenced Root Protection Area, is no more than 5m wide.

Construction should incorporate two main components:


- a synthetic load spreading material
- a no-fines aggregate sub-base

**Note:** a geotextile, which is usually used to prevent layers of different mineral materials mixing while allowing water to pass through, is not designed to be load bearing.


'Load spreading' materials, are synthetic grids/webs designed to support roads on soft ground by distributing the load of a wheel over a larger area than would normally occur. They may be 2- or 3-dimensional.

When placed on a 2-dimensional grid, appropriate, no-fines granular sub-base material penetrates the mesh, but is unable to pass through it, forming a positive interlock (Figure 4). This interlock between aggregate and grid provides a reinforced platform and efficient load spread into the underlying ground over a wider area than the footprint of the wheel on the surface. A suitable geogrid/aggregate combination constructed with the grid under tension should prevent rutting of the ground beneath the construction (Figure 5).

The 3-dimensional load spreading products (Cellular Confinement System) create cells into which the sub-base material is placed (Figure 6). Such a construction does not support the sub-base material, it confines the material in discrete cells. Manufacturers recommended, therefore, that a geotextile (see note above) is placed between the ground



and the load spreader to prevent the cell-contained mineral material being pressed down into the underlying soil.



A no-dig construction, that is a construction above ground level, will need to be contained to prevent outward creep under the weight of vehicles. This may be achieved with an edging support provided its construction does not involve excavation. A suitable material may be long-life timbers pinned through the load-spreader into the underlying soil. This could add strength to the structure because the pressure of vehicles forcing the sub-base downwards and outwards will tend to increase the tension on the grid and any tendency to rutting.

**Note:** some manufacturers specify that their product should be placed in a 100mm or greater depth of formation (i.e. excavation). It is important that before such a construction is adopted the agreement of an arboriculturist who has considered the circumstances of the tree's health and evaluated the site conditions, should be obtained. Failure to do so could result in breach of a Tree Preservation Order and Conservation Area legislation because roots will inevitably be damaged by an excavation of as little as 100mm.

The granular sub-base material should have a no 'fines' content which means that even when it is compacted it should be freely draining and will allow oxygen to diffuse into, and damaging gases (e.g. carbon dioxide and methane) out of the soil.

For site-specific prescriptions and materials specifications advice should be sought from a qualified geotechnical or civil engineer who should work in consultation with an arboriculturist.

### Putting the Principles into Practice

Is the site suitable for a no-dig construction? (see next section)

Construction should ideally be undertaken in dry weather between May and October when the ground is likely to be driest and least prone to damaging compaction.

There must be a method of working that does not require movement of machinery or heavy plant within the branch spread of the tree before the ground is protected by a load spreader and the sub-base. Then the movements must be only along the construction.

For example when making a new access into a site construction should commence at the entrance to the site and 'roll out' the driveway in front of the machinery which always remains over the sub-base.

Ground vegetation should be killed using a translocated herbicide such as glyphosate<sup>2</sup>. (This may be most appropriately done in consultation with an experienced arboriculturist to ensure that the chemical and application method do not result in damage to retained trees.) After allowing time for the chemical to be absorbed and kill the plants, including their roots, gather up the dead organic material - this will prevent the build up of anaerobic conditions beneath the construction which might otherwise occur as dead vegetation decomposes.

Carefully remove major protrusions such as rocks.

Remove tree or shrub stumps (stumps should be ground out rather than excavated to minimise soil disturbance).

Fill major hollows with clean sharp sand – **DO NOT GRADE-OFF HIGH SPOTS.**

If necessary, for example when using a three dimensional cellular confinement product as a load spreader, a geotextile should be spread over the area of the driveway or car park.

With a two dimensional load spreading product into which the no-fines sub-base stone forms a lock a geotextile may be used but it is not essential.

Lay the synthetic load spreader directly onto the levelled ground or the geotextile as appropriate.

Secure the synthetic load spreader under tension using long pins driven into the ground through the grid.

**Note:** Before driving pins into the ground check for underground services that could be damaged.

Construct an edging which is secured through the load spreader so that pressure on the running surface will force the edging outwards and so increase the tension on the load spreader.

Cover the load spreader with a minimum of 100 mm of no-fines aggregate. This should not be tipped straight onto the synthetic material, but should be placed at one end and then pushed onto the load spreader between the retaining edges so that machinery is supported by the spread sub-base material rather than directly on the load-spreader and not on the ground either side of it.

Compact the sub-base to ensure binding with the load spreader and to minimise future rutting.

<sup>2</sup> When selecting a herbicide care must be taken to select a product which does not damage the roots of desirable vegetation that may extend into the treated area. Always read the product label before use.



A further geotextile may be placed over the sub-base to prevent dry bedding materials or surfacings merging with the sub-base.

Place the final surface. In the main it is likely that this will consist of gravel or tarmacadam, although paving slabs and brick paviours may be acceptable provided they are dry bedded on the sub-base and the joints are not sealed with grout, to allow for infiltration of water and gaseous diffusion<sup>3</sup>.

Where a mass concrete, or impervious surface material is required the specification for an adoptable road (see below) should be used.

### Sites are not all the Same!

The principles detailed above, if applied sensibly, should permit access to be constructed across the root system of a healthy tree. That is where the construction passes through the Root Protected Area retained around a tree as recommended by British Standard BS 5837:2005 *Trees in relation to construction - Recommendations*.

Why the 'sensibly'? No two sites are the same, in fact some are totally unsuitable for a no-dig construction and it may be necessary to admit that access to the site cannot be achieved if certain trees are so important/valuable that their retention is essential. For example, where trees grow on an old hedge bank excavation to cut through the bank may be unavoidable and so an unacceptable proportion of the root system would be severed. In contrast ditches that can be filled/piped/bridged (Figure 7) should be less problematic.




Figure 7. No-dig construction and a ditch.

When planning a driveway it is important to consider the ground levels on site and to relate them to the fixed level on the public thoroughfare into which the drive must connect and be tied. Where a roadside verge within the root protection area around a tree cannot be crossed without excavations then a different access point may be needed if the tree is deemed to be of very significant value to the amenities of the area.

Highway Authorities generally seek an 'apron' (upto 4m long), with a shallow or no gradient and a sealed surface at the entrance to a site where the drive joins the highway. This is to reduce the risk of loose material migrating onto

the footpath and road where it could become a hazard. Such an apron may involve excavation thus reducing the scope for a drive constructed using the no-dig principles.

The simplest site on which a no-dig construction can be used is where the ground falls into the site from the edge of the road. Level sites should not pose significant problems provided there is an adequately wide verge/pavement to accommodate the 'apron' without severing roots.

It is also important to remember that the no-dig construction needs to tie onto the road and also the levels of the garage or damp proof course of a building.

The roots of a tree will generally grow parallel with the ground surface – they do not grow preferentially up, down or across the slope! As such trees growing on a slope do not present any problems different from those of trees growing on a flat site – it is the engineering requirements that differ! Where the drive crosses the contours at a gentle angle, there is no reason why the depth of a no-dig construction should be constant across its width of a drive. The engineering problem may be how to retain the structure. The scope for increasing the lift on one side of a drive is not unlimited – probably 1:3 should be a maximum (Figure 8).




Figure 8. No-dig construction across a slope.

Permanently wet areas of ground should normally be drained, or they may be filled with no-fines stone, or if the water is flowing, they may be partially piped. In contrast, seasonally wet areas may benefit from drainage and building up the ground with coarse stone with a low fines component over which the drive is constructed.

The depth of each layer in the construction of a no-dig drive will be influenced by the bearing capacity of the ground over which the drive will pass. Also there must be consideration of the weight of traffic that will use the drive. The final design should, therefore, be achieved in discussion between a civil engineer and an arboriculturist.

### A Potential Benefit

Inclusion of a load spreader in a construction should offer resistance to direct damage often caused to drives and car parks by diameter growth of roots under the structure.

<sup>3</sup> For drives less than 5m wide the finished surface may be constructed of a less permeable material such as asphalt/or reinforced mass concrete.

## Adoptable Highways

The above construction is generally unacceptable where the finished structure is to be adopted by a Highway Authority - a more robust specification will be required for example pre-rutting, that is compaction of the ground under the driveway before construction commences, will be required. Such an engineering requirement will usually involve a vibrating roller or repeated tracking of heavy machinery, which is totally unacceptable for the welfare of the tree. The repeated tracking needed to deliver and consolidate layers of aggregate is likely to severely compact the underlying soil at increasing depth. A single pass of a vehicle can cause significant changes in the pore structure in the soil. Repeated passes will further compact the soil which will favour the needs of the engineer, but will eventually create conditions in the soil that are totally unsuitable for root activity and root death will result.

In such circumstances consideration must be given to designing and constructing a running surface which does not require either excavation, or direct compaction of the material under the construction and which does not place a dynamic force on the soil around tree roots. Further, an adopted road is likely to have a width greater than the 5m driveway considered above. The wider the construction the greater the impedance to gaseous exchange between the atmosphere and the soil around roots.

Where a load spreader is acceptable to the Highway Authority there will be need for a greater thickness of no-fines sub-base to support the loads carried by the finished structure<sup>4</sup>. It is then practical to include a system of perforated pipes laid in the sub-base material with venting either at the road surface or in the verges at the edge of the road. The finished surface over the sub-base may then be impermeable to gases (e.g. hot rolled asphalt, or concrete). Inclusion of a 'clay board', or similar over the sub-base may be appropriate to aid casting of the surface.

In the more extreme circumstances a construction to bridge the root system of a very high value tree could be based on an elevated 'board walk' or causeway. That is a series of pads sunk into the ground (causing only localised damage to the root system) supporting beams across which reinforced concrete beams are placed (c.f. a suspended floor in a building). Such a construction would not apply pressure to the ground and so there would not be any threat to underlying tree roots. This removes the need for a load spreader and specialized anchors and edgings.

## Final Remarks

Adoption of the no-dig principles for creating access and parking for light vehicles near to trees, which avoids root severance, should help to overcome concerns about possible adverse effects on trees. Nevertheless, successful retention of a tree will depend upon the site in relation to

the adjacent highway and strict adherence to the above principles, and upon the tree's condition - indicative of its ability to withstand changes in its rooting environment. This should be assessed by a qualified arboriculturist.

On completion a no-dig construction will be at least 300m above the original ground level.

## Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the valuable comments and suggestions made by colleagues and members of the Arboricultural Association.

## References and Further Reading

Biddle, P.G. (1998). Tree Roots and Foundations. *Arboriculture Research and Information Note 142/98/EXT*. Arboricultural Advisory and Information Service, Farnham, UK.

British Standards Institution (2005). *BS5837 Trees in Relation to Construction - Recommendations*. BSI, London, UK.

Dobson, M.C. (1995). Tree Root Systems. *Arboriculture Research and Information Note 130/95/ARB*. Arboricultural Advisory and Information Service, Farnham, UK.

Helliwell, D.R. and Fordham, S.J. (1992). *Tree Roots and Tree Growth*. Reading Agricultural Consultants, Didcot, UK.

Highways Agency (2004). Manual of Contract Documents for Highway Works Volume 1. Specification for Highway Works. HMSO, London.

© Copyright AAIS 2007.

Not to be reproduced without  
the publisher's permission

ISSN 1358-8249

<sup>4</sup> Type 1, as specified by the Highways Agency (2004) is not a recommended aggregate for use around tree roots because it contains a significant proportion of 'fines'.



## OTHER TITLES IN THE SERIES

### **APN 1** Driveways Close to Trees

(superseded by **APN 12**)

### **APN 2** Compost from Woody Wastes

### **APN 3** Trees in Dispute

(superseded by **APN 11**)

### **APN 4** Root Barriers and Building Subsidence

### **APN 5** Shaded by Trees

### **APN 6** Trees and Shrubs for Noise Control

### **APN 7** Grey Squirrels in Parks, Urban Woodlands and Amenity Plantings

### **APN 8** Trees Bleeding

### **APN 9** Management of Avenue Trees

### **APN 10** Ivy - Boon or Bain

### **APN 11** Trees and Hedges in Dispute

For details of subscriptions, costs of publications and services

**Telephone 01420 22022**








## **ARBORICULTURAL ADVISORY and INFORMATION SERVICE**

ALICE HOLT LODGE • WRECCLESHAM • FARNHAM • SURREY • GU10 4LH

**Tree Helpline: 09065 161147**

The Arboricultural Advisory and Information Service provides advice and information about trees based on research results and experience, both national and international, to arboriculturists, landscape architects, the construction industry and other professionals, also to private individuals. This service is part of the Tree Advice Trust.





**PLAN VIEW WITH CANOPY HIDDEN**  
1:25

**Package includes:**

GLSCMA StrataCells, 72 No. 500mm x 500mm x 250mm

RD1050A Root Director

RRPREC1C RootRain Precinct single inlet aeration/irrigation system with cast inlet

RRARBVDI3C Arborvent double inlet aeration/irrigation system with cast inlets

ADUR12B Adur 1200mm x 1200mm tree grille, finished in black with galvanised steel support frame

ULLS186A Ullswater vertical steel tree guard with rolled angle-section rings, 16mm rounds bars topped with 50mm diameter ball finials, finished in black

GLGTTA Tree guard tie

GLTWGNA twinwall geonet -10 Sq. m


SASLP Arboguy strapped anchor system - Large

**Note:**


Special drive rod required for SASLP installation

Volume of sandy loam required to fill Strata Cell and Root Director space (including root ball volume) = approximately 6 Cu. m. Additional allowance needs to be made for settlement


REVISION HISTORY			
REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROV



**ISOMETRIC VIEW WITH CUT-AWAY TO SHOW PRODUCTS**  
1:25



**PARTIAL SECTION A-A**  
1:25



**SIDE VIEW**  
1:25

NAME	DATE	Greenleaf urban tree and landscape products	
DRAWN	Adrian Wiggall 02/2011	3 Maywood Way, Incheon Industrial Estate, Hastings, East Sussex TN36 6PL	
CHECKED		Tel: +44 (0)1323 717 787 Fax: +44 (0)1323 200 299	
ENG APPR		E-mail: enquiries@greenleaf.co.uk Website: www.greenleaf.co.uk	
MGR APPR		TITLE	
		TREE PRODUCT PACK	
		GR1023 Tree pit system i	
		REV	
		GRE - 101115 - DFT	
		FILE NAME GR1023.dft	
		SCALE:	
		WEIGHT:	
		SHEET 1 OF 1	