					Printed on: 10/07/2015 09:05:18
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/2553/P	E SIMON	9 ELSWORTHY ROAD NW3 3DS	06/07/2015 14:21:45	OBJ	Over intense neighbourhood objection, #16 Elsworthy Road was granted the right to change the forecourt of his property to allow a parking space for one car. A plan was submitted, and approved, that I must assume balanced the desire of #16 to park one car in his forecourt, and for the neighbourhood to still retain its feel beauty, and consistency of the historical district. However, promptly upon completion, and since the building of the forecourt, the residents of #16 use the forecourt always for the parking of two vehicles, and often 3 vehicles.
					When Camden objected to this flouting of the permissions granted, #16 then applied for retroactive planning permission so that the nonconforming forecourt merely be approved. What he has requested is to remove the lawn and/or flower bed in the original plans submitted by number 16 and approved. Simply put, #16 simply did not conform to the plan that they themselves submitted and was subsequently approved, and has refused to comply for 2 years despite many notices to the contrary. It hardly seems fair to the neighbourhood to allow this unsightly forecourt to remain as existing. Should not the owners and builders of the forecourt comply with the plan that they themselves submitted and Camden approved? I heartily agree with the comments of our neighbourhood association, that does such a terrific job
					maintaining the character of our neighbourhood.
2015/2553/P	E SIMON	9 ELSWORTHY ROAD NW3 3DS	06/07/2015 14:22:00	ОВЈ	